



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Algebra

[www.elsevier.com/locate/jalgebra](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/jalgebra)



# Subalgebra generated by ad-locally nilpotent elements of Borcherds Generalized Kac-Moody Lie algebras



Shrawan Kumar

*Department of Mathematics, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC 27599-3250, USA*

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 29 June 2021

Available online 13 December 2021

Communicated by David Hernandez

### Keywords:

Borcherds generalized Kac-Moody algebra

Ad-locally nilpotent elements

Levi subalgebra

Real simple roots

## ABSTRACT

We determine the Lie subalgebra  $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{nil}}$  of a Borcherds symmetrizable generalized Kac-Moody Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  generated by ad-locally nilpotent elements and show that it is ‘essentially’ the same as the Levi subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with its simple roots precisely the real simple roots of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

© 2021 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}(A)$  be the symmetrizable Generalized Kac-Moody (GKM) algebra associated to a  $\ell \times \ell$  matrix  $A$  (cf. Section 2). Let

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\text{nil}}^o := \{x \in \mathfrak{g} : \text{ad } x \text{ acts locally nilpotently on } \mathfrak{g}\},$$

and let  $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{nil}} \subset \mathfrak{g}$  be the Lie subalgebra generated by  $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{nil}}^o$ . Then, we prove the following theorem (cf. Theorem 3.1):

*E-mail address:* [shrawan@email.unc.edu](mailto:shrawan@email.unc.edu).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2021.11.038>

0021-8693/© 2021 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

**Theorem.** Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}(A)$  be as above, where  $\ell \geq 2$  and  $A$  is indecomposable, i.e., the corresponding Dynkin diagram is connected. Then,

$$\mathfrak{g}'(B) \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\text{nil}} \subset \mathfrak{g}'(B) + \mathfrak{h},$$

where  $B \subset A$  is the submatrix parameterized by those  $i$  such that  $a_{i,i} = 2$ ,  $\mathfrak{h}$  is the Cartan subalgebra and  $\mathfrak{g}'(B)$  is the derived subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}(B)$ .

As shown in Remark 3.2, the assumption  $\ell \geq 2$  in the above theorem is necessary in general.

**Acknowledgments.** We thank V. Kac for providing some references on generalized Kac-Moody Lie algebras. We also acknowledge partial support from the NSF grant number DMS-1802328.

**2. Basic definition**

In this section, we recall the definition of Borcherds Generalized Kac-Moody Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{g}$  (for short GKM algebras). For a more extensive treatment of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and its properties, see Chapters 1, 11 of [3] and the papers [1] and [2].

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $A = (a_{i,j})$  be a  $\ell \times \ell$  matrix (for  $\ell \geq 1$ ) with real entries, satisfying the following properties:

- (P1) either  $a_{i,i} = 2$  or  $a_{i,i} \leq 0$ ,
- (P2)  $a_{i,j} \leq 0$  if  $i \neq j$ , and  $a_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Z}$  if  $a_{i,i} = 2$ ,
- (P3)  $a_{i,j} = 0$  if and only if  $a_{j,i} = 0$ .

Fix a realization of  $A$ , which is a triple  $(\mathfrak{h}, \Pi, \Pi^\vee)$  consisting of a complex vector space  $\mathfrak{h}$ ,  $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell\} \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$  and  $\Pi^\vee = \{\alpha_1^\vee, \dots, \alpha_\ell^\vee\} \subset \mathfrak{h}$  are indexed subsets, satisfying the following three conditions:

- (Q1) both sets  $\Pi$  and  $\Pi^\vee$  are linearly independent,
- (Q2)  $\alpha_j(\alpha_i^\vee) = a_{i,j}$ , for all  $i, j$ ,
- (Q3)  $\ell - \text{rank } A = \dim \mathfrak{h} - \ell$ .

By [3], Proposition 1.1, such a realization is unique up to an isomorphism of the triple.

Now, the Borcherds Generalized Kac-Moody Lie algebra (for short GKM algebra)  $\mathfrak{g}(A)$  is defined as the Lie algebra generated by  $\{e_i, f_i, \mathfrak{h}\}_{1 \leq i \leq \ell}$  subject to the following relations:

- (R1)  $[e_i, f_j] = \delta_{ij} \alpha_i^\vee$ , for all  $i$ ,
- (R2)  $[h, h'] = 0$ , for all  $h, h' \in \mathfrak{h}$ ,
- (R3)  $[h, e_i] = \alpha_i(h)e_i$ ;  $[h, f_i] = -\alpha_i(h)f_i$ , for all  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$  and  $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ ,

- (R4)  $(\text{ad } e_i)^{1-a_{i,j}} e_j = (\text{ad } f_i)^{1-a_{i,j}} f_j = 0$ , if  $a_{i,i} = 2$  and  $i \neq j$ ,
- (R5)  $[e_i, e_j] = [f_i, f_j] = 0$ , if  $a_{i,j} = 0$ .

The matrix  $A$  (or the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ ) is called *symmetrizable* if there exists an invertible diagonal matrix  $D = \text{diag}(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_\ell)$  such that the matrix  $DA$  is symmetric.

### 3. Main theorem and its proof

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}(A)$  be the symmetrizable GKM algebra associated to a  $\ell \times \ell$  matrix  $A$  as in the last section. Assume further that  $\ell \geq 2$  and  $A$  is indecomposable, i.e., the corresponding Dynkin diagram is connected. Let*

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\text{nil}}^o := \{x \in \mathfrak{g} : \text{ad } x \text{ acts locally nilpotently on } \mathfrak{g}\},$$

and let  $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{nil}} \subset \mathfrak{g}$  be the Lie subalgebra generated by  $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{nil}}^o$ . Then,

$$\mathfrak{g}'(B) \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\text{nil}} \subset \mathfrak{g}'(B) + \mathfrak{h},$$

where  $B \subset A$  is the submatrix parameterized by those  $i$  such that  $a_{ii} = 2$ , i.e.,  $\alpha_i$  is a real root and  $\mathfrak{g}'(B)$  is the derived subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}(B)$ .

**Proof.** Consider the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -gradation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  induced from a homomorphism  $\theta : Q := \oplus_i \mathbb{Z}\alpha_i \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ . Then, for any  $x \in \mathfrak{g}_{\text{nil}}^o, x_+(\theta) \in \mathfrak{g}_{\text{nil}}^o$ , where  $x_+(\theta)$  is the top degree component of  $x$  in the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -gradation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  induced by  $\theta$ . To prove this, observe that for any  $y \in \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$  (where  $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$  is the root space corresponding to the root  $\alpha$  or 0),

$$(\text{ad } x)^n(y) = (\text{ad } x_+(\theta))^n(y) + \text{lower degree terms.}$$

Similarly, for  $x \in \mathfrak{g}_{\text{nil}}^o, x_-(\theta) \in \mathfrak{g}_{\text{nil}}^o$ , where  $x_-(\theta)$  is the lowest degree component of  $x$ .

Further, given any nonzero  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ , we can get a gradation  $\theta_x : Q \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  as above (depending upon  $x$ ) such that all the homogeneous degree components of  $x$  (under  $\theta_x$ ) belong to root spaces  $\mathfrak{g}_\beta$ . To prove this, write  $x = \sum_j x_{\beta_j}$ , where  $\beta_j$  are distinct roots or zero,  $x_{\beta_j} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\beta_j}$  and each  $x_{\beta_j} \neq 0$ . Consider the finite collection of weights:  $\{\beta_j - \beta_k\}_{j \neq k} \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$ . Now, we can find a vector  $\gamma = \gamma_x \in \mathbb{Q}^\ell = Q \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$  such that for the standard dot product  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  in  $\mathbb{Q}^\ell$ ,

$$\theta_x(\beta_j - \beta_k) := (\beta_j - \beta_k, \gamma) \neq 0, \text{ for any } j \neq k. \tag{1}$$

To prove the above equation, consider the  $(\ell - 1)$ -dimensional subspace  $V_{j,k} \subset \mathbb{Q}^\ell$  (for any  $j \neq k$ ) perpendicular to  $\beta_j - \beta_k$ . Since the collection  $\{\beta_j - \beta_k\}_{j \neq k}$  is finite, we can find a vector  $\gamma$  such that the equation (1) is satisfied. We can further take  $\gamma \in Q \simeq \mathbb{Z}^\ell$  by clearing the denominators.

So, if  $x \in \mathfrak{g}_{\text{nil}}^o$ , then either  $x$  belongs to the center  $Z(\mathfrak{g})$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  or the root component  $x_\beta \in \mathfrak{g}_{\text{nil}}^o$  for some root  $\beta$  ( $\beta \neq 0$ ). (To prove this: if  $x$  belongs to the Cartan subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}$ , then it will have to lie in  $Z(\mathfrak{g})$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  by [3], Proposition 1.6. But, if it does not lie in  $\mathfrak{h}$ , then, as observed in the beginning of the proof by making a choice of  $\theta_x$  as above,  $x_+(\theta_x) \in \mathfrak{g}_{\text{nil}}^o$  for the top degree component  $x_+(\theta_x)$  of  $x$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$ -gradation  $\theta_x$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .) Moreover, if some nonzero root component of  $x$  belongs to the root space  $\mathfrak{g}_\delta$  such that  $\delta$  contains an imaginary simple root  $\delta_p$  (i.e., with  $a_{p,p} \leq 0$ ) with nonzero coefficient, we can assume that  $x_\delta \in \mathfrak{g}_{\text{nil}}^o$  (possibly with a different nonzero root component of  $x$  corresponding to a root containing an imaginary simple root with nonzero coefficient). This is achieved by taking  $\gamma$  as above but requiring  $\theta_x(\alpha_p)$  to be much larger for all the imaginary simple roots  $\alpha_p$  as compared to the values  $\theta_x(\alpha_q)$  for all the real simple roots  $\alpha_q$  (i.e., those with  $a_{q,q} = 2$ ).

By using the Cartan involution  $\omega$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  (i.e.,  $\omega(e_i) = -f_i, \omega(f_i) = -e_i, \omega(h) = -h \forall h \in \mathfrak{h}$ ), if needed, we can further assume that  $\delta$  is a positive root. Write

$$\delta = \sum_p (m_p \alpha_p) + \sum_q (n_q \alpha_q), \text{ for } m_p, n_q \geq 0,$$

where  $\alpha_p$  (resp.  $\alpha_q$ ) run over all the imaginary (resp. real) simple roots. In particular, some  $m_p > 0$ . By [3], Exercise 11.21, the support  $\text{supp}(\delta)$  is connected. Assume first that  $\delta$  is not an imaginary simple root. Further, taking some  $W$ -translate (where  $W$  is the Weyl group of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , cf. [3], §11.13), we can assume that  $\delta(\alpha_q^\vee) \leq 0$  for all the real simple coroots  $\alpha_q^\vee$  (cf. [3], Identity 11.13.3). Now, with respect to the  $W$ -invariant symmetric bilinear form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on  $\mathfrak{h}^*$  (cf. [3], §2.1),

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \delta, \delta \rangle &= \sum_p m_p \langle \delta, \alpha_p \rangle + \sum_q n_q \langle \delta, \alpha_q \rangle \\ &= \sum_q n_q \langle \delta, \alpha_q \rangle + \sum_{p,q} m_p n_q \langle \alpha_q, \alpha_p \rangle + \sum_{p,p'} m_p m_{p'} \langle \alpha_{p'}, \alpha_p \rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where  $\alpha_{p'}$  also runs over imaginary simple roots. Now, by assumption,

$$\langle \delta, \alpha_q \rangle \leq 0, \text{ for all the real simple roots.} \tag{3}$$

For any imaginary simple root  $\alpha_p$  and any real simple root  $\alpha_q$ , we have

$$\langle \alpha_q, \alpha_p \rangle \leq 0, \text{ since } a_{p,q} \leq 0. \tag{4}$$

Further, for imaginary simple roots  $\alpha_p, \alpha_{p'}$ ,

$$\langle \alpha_{p'}, \alpha_p \rangle \leq 0, \text{ by [3], Identity 2.1.6.} \tag{5}$$

Observe that we can take the normalizing factor  $\epsilon_i > 0$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$  as can be seen from the identity:

$$\epsilon_i a_{i,j} = \epsilon_j a_{j,i}, \text{ for all } 1 \leq i, j \leq \ell,$$

where the diagonal matrix  $D = \text{diag}(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_\ell)$  is such that  $DA$  is a symmetric matrix. Moreover, since there exists  $p$  with  $m_p \neq 0$  and since  $\text{supp } \delta$  is connected and  $\delta$  is not a simple root, by [3], Identity 2.1.6,

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \alpha_{p'}, \alpha_p \rangle < 0, \text{ for some } p' \neq p \text{ with } m_{p'} \neq 0 \text{ and } \alpha_{p'} \text{ an imaginary simple root} \\ &\text{or } \langle \alpha_q, \alpha_p \rangle < 0 \text{ for some } q \text{ with } n_q \neq 0 \text{ and } \alpha_q \text{ a real simple root.} \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Thus, combining the equations (2) - (6), we get:

$$\langle \delta, \delta \rangle < 0.$$

By [3], Corollary 9.12,  $\oplus_{k>0} \mathfrak{g}_{k\delta}$  is a free Lie algebra on a basis of the form  $\oplus_{k>0} \mathfrak{g}_{k\delta}^o$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{g}_{k\delta}^o := \{x \in \mathfrak{g}_{k\delta} : \langle x, y \rangle = 0 \forall y \text{ in the Lie subalgebra generated by} \\ \mathfrak{g}_{-\delta}, \mathfrak{g}_{-2\delta}, \dots, \mathfrak{g}_{-(k-1)\delta}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe next that  $\mathfrak{g}_{k\delta} \neq 0$  for any  $k > 0$  by [3], Identity 11.13.3. If  $\mathfrak{g}_\delta$  is one dimensional, then so is  $\mathfrak{g}_{-\delta}$  and hence  $\mathfrak{g}_{2\delta}^o \neq 0$ . (To prove  $\dim \mathfrak{g}_{-\delta} = 1$ , observe that, due to the existence of the Cartan involution,  $\dim \mathfrak{g}_\beta = \dim \mathfrak{g}_{-\beta}$  for any root  $\beta$ , cf. [3], Identity 1.3.5 and Theorem 11.13.1. Moreover,  $\mathfrak{g}_{-\delta}$  being one dimensional, the Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  generated by  $\mathfrak{g}_{-\delta}$  is  $\mathfrak{g}_{-\delta}$  itself. Thus,  $\mathfrak{g}_{2\delta}^o \neq 0$  by the definition.) Thus,  $\oplus_{k>0} \mathfrak{g}_{k\delta}$  is a free Lie algebra on at least 2 generators. If  $\dim \mathfrak{g}_\delta \geq 2$ , then  $\oplus_{k>0} \mathfrak{g}_{k\delta}$  is again a free Lie algebra on at least two generators (since  $\mathfrak{g}_\delta^o = \mathfrak{g}_\delta$ ). Thus,  $\text{ad}(x_\delta)$  can not act locally nilpotently on  $\oplus_{k>0} \mathfrak{g}_{k\delta}$  and hence on  $\mathfrak{g}$  (since the enveloping algebra of a free Lie algebra is the tensor algebra on the same generators and now use [4], Identity (3) of Definition 1.3.2).

Now, let  $\delta = \alpha_p$  be an imaginary simple root. Then, again  $x_\delta = e_p$  can not act nilpotently on any  $e_i, i \neq p$  such that  $a_{i,p} \neq 0$ . (This is where we have used the assumption that  $A$  is indecomposable and  $\ell \geq 2$ .) To prove this, use [3], Identity 11.13.3 by observing that  $(n\alpha_p + \alpha_i) \in K$  for all  $n \geq 2$  in the notation of [3].

Thus, we conclude that any  $x \in \mathfrak{g}_{\text{nil}}^o$  must be of the form  $x \in \mathfrak{g}(B) + \mathfrak{h}$ . Hence,

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\text{nil}} \subset \mathfrak{g}'(B) + \mathfrak{h}.$$

Further, by [4], Lemma 1.3.3(a) and the defining relations of  $\mathfrak{g}(A)$ ,  $e_i, f_i \in \mathfrak{g}_{\text{nil}}^o$  for any real simple root  $\alpha_i$ . Thus,

$$\mathfrak{g}'(B) \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\text{nil}}.$$

This proves the theorem.  $\square$

**Remark 3.2.** (a) Define

$$\mathfrak{g}'_{\text{nil}} := \{x \in \mathfrak{g}' : \text{ad } x \text{ acts locally nilpotently on } \mathfrak{g}'\}$$

and let  $\mathfrak{g}'_{\text{nil}} \subset \mathfrak{g}'$  be the Lie subalgebra generated by  $\mathfrak{g}'_{\text{nil}}$ . Then, by the same proof as above,

$$\mathfrak{g}'(B) \subset \mathfrak{g}'_{\text{nil}} \subset (\mathfrak{g}'(B) + \mathfrak{h}) \cap \mathfrak{g}'.$$

(b) It is easy to see that the above theorem remains true in the case  $A$  is parameterized by  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ .

(c) For the  $1 \times 1$ -matrix  $A = (0)$ , following [3], §2.9,  $\mathfrak{g}(A) = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathbb{C}e_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}f_1$ , where  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathbb{C}\alpha_1^\vee \oplus \mathbb{C}d$  and  $[e_1, f_1] = \alpha_1^\vee$ ,  $[\alpha_1^\vee, \mathfrak{g}] = 0$ ,  $[d, e_1] = e_1$ ,  $[d, f_1] = -f_1$ . Thus, in this case,  $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{nil}} = \mathfrak{g}'$ . Hence, the assumption  $\ell \geq 2$  in the above theorem is necessary in general.

(d) One interesting consequence of the above theorem is that the only connected ‘reasonable’ group attached to a GKM algebra  $\mathfrak{g}(A)$  is the one coming from its subalgebra  $\mathfrak{g}(B)$  (up to an  $\mathfrak{h}$ -factor).

## References

- [1] R. Borcherds, Generalized Kac-Moody algebras, *J. Algebra* 115 (1988) 501–512.
- [2] R. Borcherds, Central extensions of generalized Kac-Moody algebras, *J. Algebra* 140 (1991) 330–335.
- [3] V. Kac, *Infinite Dimensional Lie Algebras (Third Edition)*, Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- [4] S. Kumar, *Kac–Moody Groups, Their Flag Varieties and Representation Theory*, Birkhäuser, 2002.