

# Explicit determination of the Picard group of moduli spaces of semistable $G$ -bundles on curves

Arzu Boysal · Shrawan Kumar

Received: 29 February 2004 /

Published online: 14 June 2005 – © Springer-Verlag 2005

## Introduction

Let  $G$  be a connected, simply-connected, simple affine algebraic group and  $\mathcal{C}_g$  be a smooth irreducible projective curve of any genus  $g \geq 1$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Denote by  $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{C}_g}(G)$  the moduli space of semistable principal  $G$ -bundles on  $\mathcal{C}_g$ . Let  $\text{Pic}(\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{C}_g}(G))$  be the Picard group of  $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{C}_g}(G)$  and let  $X$  be the infinite Grassmannian of the affine Kac-Moody group associated to  $G$ . It is known that  $\text{Pic}(X) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$  and is generated by a homogenous line bundle  $\mathcal{L}_{\chi_0}$ . Also, as proved by Kumar-Narasimhan [KN], there exists a canonical injective group homomorphism

$$\beta : \text{Pic}(\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{C}_g}(G)) \hookrightarrow \text{Pic}(X),$$

which takes  $\Theta_V(\mathcal{C}_g, G) \mapsto \mathcal{L}_{\chi_0}^{m_V}$  for any finite dimensional representation  $V$  of  $G$ , where  $\Theta_V(\mathcal{C}_g, G)$  is the theta bundle associated to the  $G$ -module  $V$  and  $m_V$  is its Dynkin index (cf. Theorem 2.2). As an immediate corollary, they obtained that

$$\text{Pic}(\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{C}_g}(G)) \simeq \mathbb{Z},$$

generalizing the corresponding result for  $G = SL(n)$  proved by Drezet-Narasimhan [DN]. However, the precise image of  $\beta$  was not known for non-classical  $G$  excluding  $G_2$ . (For classical  $G$  and  $G_2$ , see [KN], [LS], [BLS].) The main aim of this paper is to determine the image of  $\beta$  for an arbitrary  $G$ . It is shown that the image of  $\beta$  is generated by  $\mathcal{L}_{\chi_0}^{m_G}$ , where  $m_G$  is the least common multiple of the coefficients of the coroot  $\theta^\vee$  written in terms of the simple coroots,  $\theta$  being the highest root of  $G$  (cf. Theorem 2.4, see also Proposition 2.3 and the subsequent discussion where  $m_G$  is explicitly given for each  $G$ ). As a consequence, we obtain that the theta bundles  $\Theta_V(\mathcal{C}_g, G)$ , where  $V$  runs over all the finite dimensional representations of  $G$ , generate  $\text{Pic}(\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{C}_g}(G))$  (cf. Theorem 1.3). In fact, it is shown that there is a fundamental weight  $\omega_d$  such that the theta bundle  $\Theta_{V(\omega_d)}(\mathcal{C}_g, G)$  corresponding to the irreducible highest weight  $G$ -module  $V(\omega_d)$  with highest weight

A. BOYSAL · S. KUMAR

Department of Mathematics, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, NC 27599-3250, USA  
(e-mail: shrawan@email.unc.edu; boysal@email.unc.edu)

$\omega_d$  generates  $\text{Pic}(\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{C}_g}(G))$  (cf. Theorem 2.4). All these fundamental weights  $\omega_d$  are explicitly determined in Proposition 2.3.

It may be mentioned that Picard group of the moduli *stack* of  $G$ -bundles is studied in [LS], [BLS], [T<sub>2</sub>].

We now briefly outline the idea of the proofs. Recall that, by a celebrated result of Narasimhan-Seshadri, the underlying real analytic space  $M_g(G)$  of  $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{C}_g}(G)$  admits a description as the space of representations of the fundamental group  $\pi_1(\mathcal{C}_g)$  into a fixed compact form of  $G$  up to conjugation. In particular,  $M_g(G)$  depends only upon  $g$  and  $G$  (and not on the specific choice of the projective curve  $\mathcal{C}_g$ ). Moreover, this description gives rise to a standard embedding  $i_g : M_g(G) \hookrightarrow M_{g+1}(G)$ .

Let  $V$  be any finite dimensional representation of  $G$ . We first show that the first Chern class of the theta bundle  $\Theta_V(\mathcal{C}_g, G)$  does not depend upon the choice of the smooth projective curve  $\mathcal{C}_g$ , as long as  $g$  is fixed (cf. Proposition 1.6).

We next show that the first Chern class of  $\Theta_V(\mathcal{C}_{g+1}, G)$  restricts to the first Chern class of  $\Theta_V(\mathcal{C}_g, G)$  under the embedding  $i_g$  (cf. Proposition 1.8). This result is proved by first reducing the case of general  $G$  to  $SL(n)$  and then reducing the case of  $SL(n)$  to  $SL(2)$ . The corresponding result for  $SL(2)$  is obtained by showing that the inclusion  $M_g(SL(2)) \hookrightarrow M_{g+1}(SL(2))$  induces isomorphism in cohomology  $H^2(M_{g+1}(SL(2)), \mathbb{Z}) \simeq H^2(M_g(SL(2)), \mathbb{Z})$  (cf. Proposition 1.7). The last result for  $H^2$  with rational coefficients is fairly well known (and follows easily by observing that the symplectic form on  $M_{g+1}(G)$  restricts to the symplectic form on  $M_g(G)$ ) but the result with integral coefficients is more delicate and is proved in Section 4. The proof involves the calculation of the determinant bundle of the Poincaré bundle on  $\mathcal{C}_g \times \mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}_g}$ ,  $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}_g}$  being the Jacobian of  $\mathcal{C}_g$  which consists of the isomorphism classes of degree 0 line bundles on  $\mathcal{C}_g$ .

By virtue of the above mentioned two propositions (Propositions 1.6 and 1.8), to prove our main result determining  $\text{Pic}(\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{C}_g}(G))$  stated in the first paragraph for any  $g \geq 1$ , it suffices to consider the case of genus  $g = 1$ .

In the genus  $g = 1$  case,  $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{C}_1}(G)$  admits a description as the weighted projective space  $\mathbb{P}(1, a_1^\vee, a_2^\vee, \dots, a_k^\vee)$ , where  $a_i^\vee$  are the coefficients of the coroot  $\theta^\vee$  written in terms of the simple coroots and  $k$  is the rank of  $G$  (cf. Theorems 3.1 and 3.3). The ample generator of the Picard group of  $\mathbb{P}(1, a_1^\vee, a_2^\vee, \dots, a_k^\vee)$  is known to be  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(1, a_1^\vee, a_2^\vee, \dots, a_k^\vee)}(m_G)$  (cf. Theorem 3.4). In section 3, we show that  $\Theta_{V(\omega_d)}(\mathcal{C}_1, G)$  is, in fact,  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(1, a_1^\vee, a_2^\vee, \dots, a_k^\vee)}(m_G)$ , and hence it is the ample generator of  $\text{Pic}(\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{C}_1}(G))$ . The proof makes use of the Verlinde formula determining the dimension of the space of global sections  $H^0(\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{C}_g}(G), \mathcal{L})$  (cf. Theorem 3.5).

We thank P. Belkale, L. Jeffrey and M.S. Narasimhan for some helpful correspondences/conversations. We are grateful to the referee for some very helpful comments; in particular, for pointing out Theorem 3.3, which simplified our original proof of Proposition 1.9. The second author was partially supported by the NSF.

### 1. Statement of the main theorem and its proof

For a topological space  $X$ ,  $H^i(X)$  denotes the singular cohomology of  $X$  with integral coefficients, unless otherwise explicitly stated.

Let  $G$  be a connected, simply-connected, simple affine algebraic group over  $\mathbb{C}$ . This will be our tacit assumption on  $G$  throughout the paper. Let  $\mathcal{C}_g$  be a smooth irreducible projective curve (over  $\mathbb{C}$ ) of genus  $g$ , which we assume to be  $\geq 1$ . Let  $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{C}_g} = \mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{C}_g}(G)$  be the moduli space of semistable principal  $G$ -bundles on  $\mathcal{C}_g$ .

We begin by recalling the following result due to Kumar-Narasimhan [KN, Theorem 2.4]. (In loc cit. the genus  $g$  is assumed to be  $\geq 2$ . For the genus  $g = 1$  case, the result follows from Theorems 3.1, 3.3 and 3.4.)

**Theorem 1.1.** *With the notation as above,*

$$\text{Pic}(\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{C}_g}) \simeq \mathbb{Z},$$

where  $\text{Pic}(\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{C}_g})$  is the group of isomorphism classes of algebraic line bundles on  $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{C}_g}$ .

*In particular, any nontrivial line bundle on  $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{C}_g}$  is ample or its inverse is ample.*

**Definition 1.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of vector bundles on  $\mathcal{C}_g$  parametrized by a variety  $X$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{F}$  is a vector bundle over  $\mathcal{C}_g \times X$ . Then, the ‘determinant of the cohomology’ gives rise to the determinant bundle  $\text{Det}(\mathcal{F})$  of the family  $\mathcal{F}$ , which is a line bundle over the base  $X$ . By definition, the fiber of  $\text{Det}(\mathcal{F})$  over any  $x \in X$  is given by the expression:*

$$\text{Det}(\mathcal{F})|_x = \wedge^{\text{top}}(H^0(\mathcal{C}_g, \mathcal{F}_x))^* \otimes \wedge^{\text{top}}(H^1(\mathcal{C}_g, \mathcal{F}_x)),$$

where  $\mathcal{F}_x$  is the restriction of  $\mathcal{F}$  to  $\mathcal{C}_g \times x$  (cf., e.g., [L, Chap. 6, §1], [KM]).

Let  $\mathcal{R}(G)$  denote the set of isomorphism classes of all the finite dimensional algebraic representations of  $G$ . For any  $V$  in  $\mathcal{R}(G)$ , we have the  $\Theta$ -bundle  $\Theta_V(\mathcal{C}_g) = \Theta_V(\mathcal{C}_g, G)$  on  $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{C}_g}$ , which is an algebraic line bundle whose fibre at any principal  $G$ -bundle  $E \in \mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{C}_g}$  is given by the expression

$$\Theta_V(\mathcal{C}_g)|_E = \wedge^{\text{top}}(H^0(\mathcal{C}_g, E_V))^* \otimes \wedge^{\text{top}}(H^1(\mathcal{C}_g, E_V)),$$

where  $E_V$  is the associated vector bundle  $E \times_G V$  on  $\mathcal{C}_g$ . Observe that the moduli space  $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{C}_g}$  does not parametrize a universal family of  $G$ -bundles, however, the theta bundle  $\Theta_V(\mathcal{C}_g)$  (which is essentially the determinant bundle if there were a universal family parametrized by  $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{C}_g}$ ) still exists (cf. [K1, §3.7]).

Now, we can state the main result of this paper.

**Theorem 1.3.**

$$\text{Pic}(\mathfrak{M}_{C_g}) = \langle \Theta_V(C_g), V \in \mathcal{R}(G) \rangle,$$

where the notation  $\langle \ \rangle$  denotes the group generated by the elements in the bracket.

**Lemma 1.4.**

$$c : \text{Pic}(\mathfrak{M}_{C_g}) \simeq H^2(\mathfrak{M}_{C_g}, \mathbb{Z}),$$

where  $c$  maps any line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  to its first Chern class  $c_1(\mathcal{L})$ .

In particular,

$$H^2(\mathfrak{M}_{C_g}, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}.$$

The first Chern class of the ample generator of  $\text{Pic}(\mathfrak{M}_{C_g})$  is called the *positive generator* of  $H^2(\mathfrak{M}_{C_g}, \mathbb{Z})$ .

*Proof.* Consider the following exact sequence of abelian groups:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $f(x) = e^{2\pi i x}$ . This gives rise to the following exact sequence of sheaves on  $\mathfrak{M}_{C_g}$  endowed with the analytic topology:

$$0 \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{M}_{C_g}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{M}_{C_g}}^* \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{M}_{C_g}}$  is the sheaf of holomorphic functions on  $\mathfrak{M}_{C_g}$ ,  $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{M}_{C_g}}^*$  is the sheaf of invertible elements of  $\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{M}_{C_g}}$  and  $\bar{\mathbb{Z}}$  is the constant sheaf corresponding to the abelian group  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

The above sequence, of course, induces the following long exact sequence in cohomology:

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \rightarrow H^1(\mathfrak{M}_{C_g}, \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{M}_{C_g}}) &\rightarrow H^1(\mathfrak{M}_{C_g}, \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{M}_{C_g}}^*) \xrightarrow{\bar{c}} H^2(\mathfrak{M}_{C_g}, \mathbb{Z}) \\ &\rightarrow H^2(\mathfrak{M}_{C_g}, \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{M}_{C_g}}) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

First of all,

$$\text{Pic}(\mathfrak{M}_{C_g}) \simeq H^1(\mathfrak{M}_{C_g}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{M}_{C_g}}^*), \tag{1}$$

where  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{M}_{C_g}}$  is the sheaf of algebraic functions on  $\mathfrak{M}_{C_g}$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{M}_{C_g}}^*$  is the subsheaf of invertible elements of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{M}_{C_g}}$ .

Moreover, by GAGA,  $\mathfrak{M}_{C_g}$  being a projective variety,

$$H^1(\mathfrak{M}_{C_g}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{M}_{C_g}}^*) \simeq H^1(\mathfrak{M}_{C_g}, \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{M}_{C_g}}^*), \tag{2}$$

and also, for any  $p \geq 0$ ,

$$H^p(\mathfrak{M}_{C_g}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{M}_{C_g}}) \simeq H^p(\mathfrak{M}_{C_g}, \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathfrak{M}_{C_g}}). \tag{3}$$

By Kumar-Narasimhan [KN, Theorem 2.8],  $H^i(\mathfrak{M}_{C_g}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{M}_{C_g}}) = 0$  for  $i > 0$ . Hence, under the identification (1), by (2)–(3) and the above long exact cohomology sequence,

$$\text{Pic}(\mathfrak{M}_{C_g}) \xrightarrow{\bar{c}} H^2(\mathfrak{M}_{C_g}, \mathbb{Z}),$$

where  $c$  is the map  $\bar{c}$  under the above identifications. Moreover, as is well known,  $c$  is the first Chern class map. □

Let us fix a maximal compact subgroup  $K$  of  $G$ . Denote the Riemann surface with  $g$  handles, considered only as a topological manifold, by  $C_g$ . Thus, the underlying topological manifold of  $\mathcal{C}_g$  is  $C_g$ . Define  $M_g(G) := \varphi^{-1}(1)/\text{Ad}K$ , where  $\varphi : K^{2g} \rightarrow K$  is the commutator map  $\varphi(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{2g}) = [k_1, k_2][k_3, k_4] \cdots [k_{2g-1}, k_{2g}]$  and  $\varphi^{-1}(1)/\text{Ad}K$  refers to the quotient of  $\varphi^{-1}(1)$  by  $K$  under the diagonal adjoint action of  $K$  on  $K^{2g}$ .

Now, we recall the following fundamental result due to Narasimhan-Seshadri [NS] for vector bundles and extended for an arbitrary  $G$  by Ramanathan [R<sub>1</sub>, R<sub>2</sub>].

Consider the standard generators  $a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2, \dots, a_g, b_g$  of  $\pi_1(C_g)$  (cf. [N, §14]). Then, we have the presentation:

$$\pi_1(C_g) = F[a_1, \dots, a_g, b_1, \dots, b_g] / \langle [a_1, b_1] \cdots [a_g, b_g] \rangle,$$

where  $F[a_1, \dots, a_g, b_1, \dots, b_g]$  denotes the free group generated by  $a_1, \dots, a_g, b_1, \dots, b_g$  and  $\langle [a_1, b_1] \cdots [a_g, b_g] \rangle$  denotes the normal subgroup generated by the single element  $[a_1, b_1] \cdots [a_g, b_g]$ .

**Theorem 1.5.** *Having chosen the standard generators  $a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2, \dots, a_g, b_g$  of  $\pi_1(C_g)$ , there exists a canonical isomorphism of real analytic spaces:*

$$\theta_{C_g}(G) : M_g(G) \simeq \mathfrak{M}_{C_g}(G).$$

In the sequel, we will often make this identification. Define a class  $\alpha \in H^2(M_g(G), \mathbb{Z})$  to be *positive* if it is a positive multiple of the positive generator of  $H^2(\mathfrak{M}_{C_g}(G), \mathbb{Z})$  under the identification  $\theta_{C_g}(G)^*$ . Then, for any fixed  $g$ , the positivity of  $\alpha$  does not depend upon the choice of the algebraic curve  $C_g$ . To prove this, follow the argument as in the proof of Proposition 1.8 to reduce it to the case of  $G = SL(2)$ . In this case it follows from the identity (11) of the proof of Lemma 4.1.

**Proposition 1.6.** *For any  $V \in \mathcal{R}(G)$ ,  $c(\Theta_V(C_g, G))$ , under the above identification  $\theta_{C_g}(G)$ , does not depend on the choice of the projective variety structure  $C_g$  on the Riemann surface  $C_g$  for any fixed  $g$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\rho : G \rightarrow SL(V)$  be the given representation. By taking a  $K$ -invariant Hermitian form on  $V$  we get  $\rho(K) \subset SU(n)$ , where  $n = \dim V$ . For any principal  $G$ -bundle  $E$  on  $C_g$ , let  $E_{SL(V)}$  be the principal  $SL(V)$ -bundle over  $C_g$

obtained by the extension of the structure group via  $\rho$ . Then, if  $E$  is semistable, so is  $E_{SL(V)}$ , giving rise to a variety morphism  $\hat{\rho} : \mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{C}_g}(G) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{C}_g}(SL(V))$  (cf. [RR, Theorem 3.18]). Hence, we get the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{C}_g}(G) & \xrightarrow{\hat{\rho}} & \mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{C}_g}(SL(V)) \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 M_g(G) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\rho}} & M_g(SL(V)),
 \end{array} \tag{D_1}$$

where  $\bar{\rho}$  is induced from the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 K^{2g} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & K \\
 \downarrow \rho^{\times 2g} & & \downarrow \rho \\
 SU(n)^{2g} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & SU(n).
 \end{array}$$

The diagram (D<sub>1</sub>) induces the following commutative diagram in cohomology:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 H^2(\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{C}_g}(SL(V)), \mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\hat{\rho}^*} & H^2(\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{C}_g}(G), \mathbb{Z}) \\
 \downarrow \parallel & & \downarrow \parallel \\
 H^2(M_g(SL(V)), \mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\rho}^*} & H^2(M_g(G), \mathbb{Z}).
 \end{array} \tag{D_2}$$

By the construction of the  $\Theta$ -bundle,  $\hat{\rho}^*(\Theta_V(\mathcal{C}_g, SL(V))) = \Theta_V(\mathcal{C}_g, G)$ , where  $\hat{\rho}^*$  also denotes the pullback of line bundles and  $V$  is thought of as the standard representation of  $SL(V)$ .

Thus, using the functoriality of the Chern class, we get

$$\hat{\rho}^*(c(\Theta_V(\mathcal{C}_g, SL(V)))) = c(\Theta_V(\mathcal{C}_g, G)). \tag{1}$$

By Drezet-Narasimhan [DN],  $c(\Theta_V(\mathcal{C}_g, SL(V)))$  is the unique positive generator of  $H^2(\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{C}_g}(SL(V)), \mathbb{Z})$  and thus is independent of the choice of  $\mathcal{C}_g$  under the identification  $\theta_{\mathcal{C}_g}(SL(V))^*$ . Consequently, by (1) and the above commutative diagram (D<sub>2</sub>),  $c(\Theta_V(\mathcal{C}_g, G))$  is independent of the choice of  $\mathcal{C}_g$ .  $\square$

From now on we will denote the cohomology class  $c(\Theta_V(\mathcal{C}_g, G))$  in  $H^2(M_g(G), \mathbb{Z})$ , under the identification  $\theta_{\mathcal{C}_g}(G)^*$ , by  $c(\Theta_V(g, G))$ .

Consider the embedding

$$i_g = i_g(G) : M_g(G) \hookrightarrow M_{g+1}(G)$$

induced by the inclusion of  $K^{2g} \rightarrow K^{2g+2}$  via  $(k_1, \dots, k_{2g}) \mapsto (k_1, \dots, k_{2g}, 1, 1)$ .

By virtue of the map  $i_g$ , we will identify  $M_g(G)$  as a subspace of  $M_{g+1}(G)$ . In particular, we get the following induced sequence of maps in the second cohomology.

$$H^2(M_1(G), \mathbb{Z}) \xleftarrow{i_1^*} H^2(M_2(G), \mathbb{Z}) \xleftarrow{i_2^*} H^2(M_3(G), \mathbb{Z}) \xleftarrow{i_3^*} \dots$$

**Proposition 1.7.** *For  $G = SL(2)$ , the maps  $i_g^* : H^2(M_{g+1}(G), \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(M_g(G), \mathbb{Z})$  take the positive generator of  $H^2(M_{g+1}(SL(2)), \mathbb{Z})$  to the positive generator of  $H^2(M_g(SL(2)), \mathbb{Z})$ .*

*In particular,  $i_g^*$  are isomorphisms for any  $g \geq 1$ .*

We shall prove this proposition in Section 4.

**Proposition 1.8.** *For any  $V \in \mathcal{R}(G)$  and any  $g \geq 1$ ,  $i_g^*(c(\Theta_V(g + 1, G))) = c(\Theta_V(g, G))$ .*

*Proof.* We first claim that it suffices to prove the above proposition for  $G = SL(n)$  and the standard  $n$ -dimensional representation  $V$  of  $SL(n)$ .

Let  $\rho : G \rightarrow SL(V)$  be the given representation. Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{CD} M_g(G) @<i_g<< M_{g+1}(G) \\ @V{\bar{\rho}}VV @VV{\bar{\rho}}V \\ M_g(SL(V)) @<i_g<< M_{g+1}(SL(V)), \end{CD}$$

where  $\bar{\rho}$  is the map defined in the proof of Proposition 1.6. It induces the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{CD} H^2(M_g(G), \mathbb{Z}) @<i_g^* << H^2(M_{g+1}(G), \mathbb{Z}) \\ @V{\bar{\rho}^*}VV @VV{\bar{\rho}^*}V \\ H^2(M_g(SL(V)), \mathbb{Z}) @<i_g^* << H^2(M_{g+1}(SL(V)), \mathbb{Z}). \end{CD}$$

Therefore, using the commutativity of the above diagram and equation (1) of Proposition 1.6, supposing that  $i_g^*(c(\Theta_V(g + 1, SL(V)))) = c(\Theta_V(g, SL(V)))$ , we get  $i_g^*(c(\Theta_V(g + 1, G))) = c(\Theta_V(g, G))$ . Hence, Proposition 1.8 is established for any  $G$  provided we assume its validity for  $G = SL(V)$  and its standard representation in  $V$ .

We further reduce the proposition from  $SL(n)$  to  $SL(2)$ . As in the proof of Proposition 1.6, consider the mappings

$$\bar{\rho} : M_g(SL(2)) \rightarrow M_g(SL(n)), \text{ and}$$

$$\hat{\rho} : \mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{C}_g}(SL(2)) \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{C}_g}(SL(n))$$

induced by the inclusions

$$SU(2) \rightarrow SU(n) \text{ and } SL(2) \rightarrow SL(n),$$

given by  $m \mapsto \text{diag}(m, 1, \dots, 1)$ .

The maps  $\bar{\rho}$  and  $\hat{\rho}$  induce the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{CD} H^2(M_g(SL(n)), \mathbb{Z}) @>\bar{\rho}^*>> H^2(M_g(SL(2)), \mathbb{Z}) \\ @AA\bar{\rho}^*A @AA\bar{\rho}^*A \\ H^2(\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{C}_g}(SL(n)), \mathbb{Z}) @>\hat{\rho}^*>> H^2(\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{C}_g}(SL(2)), \mathbb{Z}). \end{CD}$$

By the construction of the  $\Theta$ -bundle,  $\hat{\rho}^*(\Theta_V(\mathcal{C}_g, SL(n))) = \Theta_{V_2}(\mathcal{C}_g, SL(2))$ , where  $V_2$  is the standard 2-dimensional representation of  $SL(2)$ .

Thus, using the functoriality of the Chern class, we get

$$\hat{\rho}^*(c(\Theta_V(\mathcal{C}_g, SL(n)))) = c(\Theta_{V_2}(\mathcal{C}_g, SL(2))). \tag{1}$$

Using one more time the result of Drezet-Narasimhan that  $c(\Theta_V(\mathcal{C}_g, SL(n)))$  is the unique positive generator of  $H^2(\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{C}_g}(SL(n)))$  for any  $n$  (cf. Proof of Proposition 1.6), we see that  $\hat{\rho}^*$  is surjective and hence an isomorphism by Lemma 1.4.

Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{CD} H^2(M_g(SL(n)), \mathbb{Z}) @<i_g^*<< H^2(M_{g+1}(SL(n)), \mathbb{Z}) \\ @V\bar{\rho}^*VV @V\bar{\rho}^*VV \\ H^2(M_g(SL(2)), \mathbb{Z}) @<i_g^*<< H^2(M_{g+1}(SL(2)), \mathbb{Z}). \end{CD}$$

Suppose that the proposition is true for  $G = SL(2)$  and the standard representation  $V_2$ , i.e.,

$$i_g^*(c(\Theta_{V_2}(g + 1, SL(2)))) = c(\Theta_{V_2}(g, SL(2))). \tag{2}$$

Then, using the commutativity of the above diagram and (1) together with the fact that  $\bar{\rho}^*$  is an isomorphism, we get that

$$i_g^*(c(\Theta_V(g + 1, SL(n)))) = c(\Theta_V(g, SL(n))).$$

Finally, (2) follows from the result of Drezet-Narasimhan cited above and Proposition 1.7. Hence the proposition is established for any  $G$  (once we prove Proposition 1.7). □

**Proposition 1.9.** *For  $g = 1$ , Theorem 1.3 is true.*

The proof of this proposition will be given in Section 3.

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* Denote the subgroup  $\langle \Theta_V(\mathcal{C}_g, G), V \in \mathcal{R}(G) \rangle$  of  $\text{Pic}(\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{C}_g}(G))$  by  $\text{Pic}^\Theta(\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{C}_g}(G))$ .

Set  $H_\Theta^2(M_g(G)) := c(\text{Pic}^\Theta(\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{C}_g}(G)))$ . By virtue of Proposition 1.6, this is well defined, i.e.,  $H_\Theta^2(M_g(G))$  does not depend upon the choice of the projective variety structure  $\mathcal{C}_g$  on  $C_g$ . Moreover, by Proposition 1.8,  $i_g^*(H_\Theta^2(M_{g+1}(G))) = H_\Theta^2(M_g(G))$ .



Thus, we get the following commutative diagram, where the upward arrows are inclusions and the maps in the bottom horizontal sequence are induced from the maps  $i_g^*$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 H^2(M_1(G)) & \xleftarrow{i_1^*} & H^2(M_2(G)) & \xleftarrow{i_2^*} & H^2(M_3(G)) & \xleftarrow{i_3^*} & \dots \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\
 H_{\Theta}^2(M_1(G)) & \leftarrow & H_{\Theta}^2(M_2(G)) & \leftarrow & H_{\Theta}^2(M_3(G)) & \leftarrow & \dots
 \end{array}$$

By Proposition 1.9 and Lemma 1.4,  $H^2(M_1(G)) = H_{\Theta}^2(M_1(G))$ . Then,  $i_1^*$  is surjective and hence an isomorphism (by using Lemma 1.4 again). Thus, by the commutativity of the above diagram, the inclusion  $H_{\Theta}^2(M_2(G)) \hookrightarrow H^2(M_2(G))$  is an isomorphism. Arguing the same way, we get that  $H^2(M_g(G)) = H_{\Theta}^2(M_g(G))$  for all  $g$ . This completes the proof of the theorem by virtue of the isomorphism  $c$  of Lemma 1.4. □

### 2. Comparison of the Picard groups of $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{C}_g}$ and the infinite Grassmannian

As earlier, let  $G$  be a connected, simply-connected, simple affine algebraic group over  $\mathbb{C}$ . We fix a Borel subgroup  $B$  of  $G$  and a maximal torus  $T \subset B$ . Let  $\mathfrak{h}$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{b}$ ) be the Lie algebra of  $T$  (resp.  $B$ ). Let  $\Delta_+ \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$  be the set of positive roots (i.e., the roots of  $\mathfrak{b}$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{h}$ ) and let  $\{\omega_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq k} \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$  be the set of fundamental weights, where  $k$  is the rank of  $G$ . As earlier,  $\mathcal{R}(G)$  denotes the set of isomorphism classes of all the finite dimensional algebraic representations of  $G$ . This is a semigroup under the direct sum of two representations. Let  $R(G)$  denote the associated Grothendieck group. Then,  $R(G)$  is a ring, where the product is induced from the tensor product of two representations. Then, the fundamental representations  $\{V(\omega_i)\}_{1 \leq i \leq k}$  generate the representation ring  $R(G)$  as a ring  $[A]$ .

Let  $X$  be the infinite Grassmannian associated to the affine Kac-Moody group  $\mathcal{G}$  corresponding to  $G$ , i.e.,  $X := \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P}$ , where  $\mathcal{P}$  is the standard maximal parabolic subgroup of  $\mathcal{G}$  (cf. [K<sub>2</sub>, §13.2.12]; in loc cit.,  $X$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{X}^Y$ ). It is known that  $\text{Pic}(X)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}$  and is generated by the homogenous line bundle  $\mathcal{L}_{\chi_0}$  (cf. [K<sub>2</sub>, Proposition 13.2.19]).

We recall the following definition from [D,§2].

**Definition 2.1.** *Let  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_2$  be two (finite dimensional) complex simple Lie algebras and  $\varphi : \mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2$  be a Lie algebra homomorphism. There exists a unique number  $m_{\varphi} \in \mathbb{C}$ , called the Dynkin index of the homomorphism  $\varphi$ , satisfying*

$$\langle \varphi(x), \varphi(y) \rangle = m_{\varphi} \langle x, y \rangle, \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathfrak{g}_1,$$

where  $\langle, \rangle$  is the Killing form on  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  (and  $\mathfrak{g}_2$ ) normalized so that  $\langle \theta, \theta \rangle = 2$  for the highest root  $\theta$ .

For a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  as above and a finite dimensional representation  $V$  of  $\mathfrak{g}_1$ , by the Dynkin index  $m_V$  of  $V$ , we mean the Dynkin index of the Lie algebra homomorphism  $\rho : \mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{sl}(V)$ , where  $\mathfrak{sl}(V)$  is the Lie algebra of trace 0 endomorphisms of  $V$ .

Then, for any two finite dimensional representations  $V$  and  $W$  of  $\mathfrak{g}_1$ , we have, by [D, Chap. 1, §2] or [KN, Lemma 4.5],

$$m_{V \otimes W} = m_V \dim W + m_W \dim V. \tag{1}$$

We recall the following main result of Kumar-Narasimhan [KN, Theorem 2.4]. In loc cit., it is proved under the assumption  $g \geq 2$ . But it remains true for  $g = 1$  by virtue of Theorem 1.1 and the following identity (1) which is proved in [KNR] for any  $g \geq 0$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** *There exists a ‘natural’ injective group homomorphism*

$$\beta : \text{Pic}(\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{C}_g}(G)) \hookrightarrow \text{Pic}(X).$$

Moreover, by [KNR, Theorem 5.4] (see also [Fa]), for any  $V \in \mathcal{R}(G)$ ,

$$\beta(\Theta_V(\mathcal{C}_g, G)) = \mathcal{L}_{\chi_0}^{\otimes m_V}, \tag{1}$$

where  $V$  is thought of as a module for  $\mathfrak{g}$  under differentiation and  $m_V$  is its Dynkin index.

We also recall the following result from [D, Table 5], [KN, Proposition 4.7], or [LS, §2]. We follow the indexing convention as in [B, Planche I-IX].

**Proposition 2.3.** *For any simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , there exists a (not unique in general) fundamental weight  $\omega_d$  such that  $m_{V(\omega_d)}$  divides each of  $\{m_{V(\omega_i)}\}_{1 \leq i \leq k}$ . Thus, by (1) of Definition 2.1,  $m_{V(\omega_d)}$  divides  $m_V$  for any  $V \in \mathcal{R}(G)$ .*

The following table gives the list of all such  $\omega_d$ ’s and the corresponding Dynkin index  $m_{V(\omega_d)}$ .

Type of $G$	$\omega_d$	$m_{V(\omega_d)}$
$A_k (k \geq 1)$	$\omega_1, \omega_k$	1
$C_k (k \geq 2)$	$\omega_1$	1
$B_k (k \geq 3)$	$\omega_1$	2
$D_k (k \geq 4)$	$\omega_1$	2
$G_2$	$\omega_1$	2
$F_4$	$\omega_4$	6
$E_6$	$\omega_1, \omega_6$	6
$E_7$	$\omega_7$	12
$E_8$	$\omega_8$	60.

For  $B_3$ ,  $\omega_3$  also satisfies  $m_{V(\omega_3)} = 2$ ; for  $D_4$ ,  $\omega_3$  and  $\omega_4$  both have  $m_{V(\omega_3)} = m_{V(\omega_4)} = 2$ .

Let  $\theta$  be the highest root of  $G$ . Observe that, for any  $G$ ,  $m_{V(\omega_d)}$  is the least common multiple of the coefficients of the coroot  $\theta^\vee$  written in terms of the simple coroots. We shall denote  $m_{V(\omega_d)}$  by  $m_G$ .

Combining the above result with Theorem 1.3, we get the following.

**Theorem 2.4.** *For any  $C_g$  with  $g \geq 1$  and  $G$  as in Section 1, the Picard group  $\text{Pic}(\mathfrak{M}_{C_g}(G))$  is freely generated by the  $\Theta$ -bundle  $\Theta_{V(\omega_d)}(C_g, G)$ , where  $\omega_d$  is any fundamental weight as in the above proposition.*

*In particular,*

$$\text{Im}(\beta) \text{ is freely generated by } \mathfrak{L}_{\chi_0}^{\otimes m_G}. \tag{1}$$

*Proof.* By Theorem 1.3,

$$\text{Pic}(\mathfrak{M}_{C_g}(G)) = \langle \Theta_V(C_g, G), V \in \mathcal{R}(G) \rangle .$$

Thus, by Theorem 2.2 and Proposition 2.3,

$$\text{Im}(\beta) = \langle \mathfrak{L}_{\chi_0}^{\otimes m_V}, V \in \mathcal{R}(G) \rangle = \langle \mathfrak{L}_{\chi_0}^{\otimes m_G} \rangle .$$

This proves (1).

Since  $\beta$  is injective, by the above description of  $\text{Im}(\beta)$ ,  $\Theta_{V(\omega_d)}(C_g, G)$  freely generates  $\text{Pic}(\mathfrak{M}_{C_g}(G))$ , proving the theorem. □

Following the same argument as in [So, §4], using Theorem 2.4 and Proposition 2.3, we get the following corollary for genus  $g \geq 2$ . For genus  $g = 1$ , use Theorems 3.1 and 3.3 together with [BR, Theorem 7.1.d]. This corollary is due to [BLS], [So].

**Corollary 2.5.** *Let  $G$  be any group and  $C_g$  be any curve as in Section 1. Then, the moduli space  $\mathfrak{M}_{C_g}(G)$  is locally factorial if and only if  $G$  is of type  $A_k$  ( $k \geq 1$ ) or  $C_k$  ( $k \geq 2$ ).*

### 3. Proof of Proposition 1.9

Let  $G$  be as in the beginning of Section 1. In this section, we identify  $\mathfrak{M}_{C_1}(G)$  with a weighted projective space and show that the generator of  $\text{Pic}(\mathfrak{M}_{C_1}(G))$  is  $\Theta_{V(\omega_d)}(C_1, G)$  as claimed.

We recall the following theorem due independently to Laszlo [La, Theorem 4.16] and Friedman-Morgan-Witten [FMW, §2].

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $C_1$  be a smooth, irreducible projective curve of genus 1. Then, there is a natural variety isomorphism between the moduli space  $\mathfrak{M}_{C_1}(G)$  and  $(C_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} Q^\vee) / W$ , where  $Q^\vee$  is the coroot lattice of  $G$  and  $W$  is its Weyl group acting canonically on  $Q^\vee$  (and acting trivially on  $C_1$ ).*

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $N = (n_0, \dots, n_k)$  be a  $k + 1$ -tuple of positive integers. Consider the polynomial ring  $\mathbb{C}[z_0, \dots, z_k]$  graded by  $\deg z_i = n_i$ . The scheme  $\text{Proj}(\mathbb{C}[z_0, \dots, z_k])$  is said to be the weighted projective space of type  $N$  and we denote it by  $\mathbb{P}(N)$ .

Consider the standard (nonweighted) projective space  $\mathbb{P}^k := \text{Proj}(\mathbb{C}[w_0, \dots, w_k])$ , where each  $\deg w_i = 1$ . Then, the graded algebra homomorphism  $\mathbb{C}[z_0, \dots, z_k] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[w_0, \dots, w_k], z_i \mapsto w_i^{n_i}$ , induces a morphism  $\delta : \mathbb{P}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(N)$ .

The following theorem is due to Looijenga [Lo]. His proof had a gap; a complete proof of a more general result is outlined by Bernshtein-Shvartsman [BS].

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $C_1$  be an elliptic curve. Then, the variety  $(C_1 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} Q^\vee)/W$  is the weighted projective space of type  $(1, a_1^\vee, a_2^\vee, \dots, a_k^\vee)$ , where  $a_i^\vee$  are the coefficients of the coroot  $\theta^\vee$  written in terms of the simple coroots  $\{\alpha_i^\vee\}$  (and, as earlier,  $k$  is the rank of  $G$ ).

The following table lists the weighted projective space isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{M}_{C_1}(G)$  corresponding to any  $G$ . In this table the entries beyond 1 are precisely the numbers  $(a_1^\vee, a_2^\vee, \dots, a_k^\vee)$  following the convention as in Bourbaki [B, Planche I-IX].

Type of $G$	Type of the weighted projective space
$A_k (k \geq 1), C_k (k \geq 2)$	$(1, 1, 1, \dots, 1)$
$B_k (k \geq 3)$	$(1, 1, 2, \dots, 2, 1)$
$D_k (k \geq 4)$	$(1, 1, 2, \dots, 2, 1, 1)$
$G_2$	$(1, 1, 2)$
$F_4$	$(1, 2, 3, 2, 1)$
$E_6$	$(1, 1, 2, 2, 3, 2, 1)$
$E_7$	$(1, 2, 2, 3, 4, 3, 2, 1)$
$E_8$	$(1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 5, 4, 3, 2)$

We recall the following result from the theory of weighted projective spaces (see, e.g., Beltrametti-Robbiano [BR, Lemma 3B.2.c and Theorem 7.1.c]).

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $N = (n_0, \dots, n_k)$  and assume  $\gcd\{n_0, \dots, n_k\} = 1$ . Then, we have the following.

(a)  $\text{Pic}(\mathbb{P}(N)) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ . In fact, the morphism  $\delta$  of Definition 3.2 induces an injective map  $\delta^* : \text{Pic}(\mathbb{P}(N)) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\mathbb{P}^k)$ .

Moreover, the ample generator of  $\text{Pic}(\mathbb{P}(N))$  maps to  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^k}(s)$  under  $\delta^*$ , where  $s$  is the least common multiple of  $\{n_0, \dots, n_k\}$ . We denote this ample generator by  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(N)}(s)$ .

(b) For any  $d \geq 0$ ,

$$H^0(\mathbb{P}(N), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(N)}(s)^{\otimes d}) = \mathbb{C}[z_0, \dots, z_k]_{ds},$$

where  $\mathbb{C}[z_0, \dots, z_k]_{ds}$  denotes the subspace of  $\mathbb{C}[z_0, \dots, z_k]$  consisting of homogeneous elements of degree  $ds$ .

Using Theorems 3.1, 3.3 and 3.4 and the fact that the least common multiple of the numbers  $\{1, a_1^\vee, a_2^\vee, \dots, a_k^\vee\}$  for each  $G$  is the Dynkin index  $m_G = m_{V(\omega_d)}$ , we have

$$\Theta_{V(\omega_d)}(\mathcal{C}_1, G) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(1, a_1^\vee, a_2^\vee, \dots, a_k^\vee)}(m_G)^{\otimes p} \tag{*}$$

for some positive integer  $p$ . The value of  $m_G$  is given in Proposition 2.3 for any  $G$ .

We recall the following basic result, the first part of which is due independently to Beauville-Laszlo [BL], Faltings [Fa] and Kumar-Narasimhan-Ramathanan [KNR]. The second part of the theorem as in (1) is the celebrated Verlinde formula for the dimension of the space of conformal blocks essentially due to Tsuchiya-Ueno-Yamada [TUY] (together with works [Fa, Appendix] and [T<sub>1</sub>]).

**Theorem 3.5.** *For any ample line bundle  $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(\mathfrak{M}_{C_g}(G))$  and  $\ell \geq 0$ , there is an isomorphism (canonical up to scalar multiples):*

$$H^0(\mathfrak{M}_{C_g}(G), \mathcal{L}^{\otimes \ell}) \simeq L(C_g, \ell m_{\mathcal{L}}),$$

where  $L(C_g, \ell)$  is the space of conformal blocks corresponding to the one marked point on  $C_g$  and trivial representation attached to it with central charge  $\ell$  (cf., e.g., [TUY] for the definition of conformal blocks) and  $m_{\mathcal{L}}$  is the positive integer such that  $\beta(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{L}_{\chi_0}^{\otimes m_{\mathcal{L}}}$ ,  $\beta$  being the map as in Theorem 2.2.

Moreover, the dimension  $F_g(\ell)$  of the space  $L(C_g, \ell)$  is given by the following Verlinde formula:

$$F_g(\ell) = t_\ell^{g-1} \sum_{\mu \in P_\ell} \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} \left| 2 \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{\ell + h} \langle \alpha, \mu + \rho \rangle \right) \right|^{2-2g}, \tag{1}$$

where

$\langle , \rangle :=$  Killing form on  $\mathfrak{h}^*$  normalized so that  $\langle \theta, \theta \rangle = 2$  for the highest root  $\theta$ ,

$\Delta_+ :=$  the set of positive roots,

$P_\ell := \{\text{dominant integral weights } \mu \mid \langle \mu, \theta \rangle \leq \ell\}$ ,

$\rho :=$  half sum of positive roots,

$h := \langle \rho, \theta \rangle + 1$ , the dual Coxeter number,

$t_\ell := (\ell + h)^{\text{rank } G} (\#P/Q_{lg})$ ,

and  $P$  is the weight lattice and  $Q_{lg}$  is the sublattice of the root lattice  $Q$  generated by the long roots.

In fact, we only need to use the above theorem for the case of genus  $g = 1$ . For  $g = 1$ , the Verlinde formula (1) clearly reduces to the identity:

$$F_1(\ell) = \#P_\ell.$$

Of course,

$$P_\ell = \{(n_1, \dots, n_k) \in (\mathbb{Z}_+)^k : \sum_{i=1}^k n_i a_i^\vee \leq \ell\}.$$

*Proof of Proposition 1.9.* Using the specialization of Theorem 3.5 to  $g = 1$ , we see that

$$\dim H^0(\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{C}_1}(G), \Theta_{V(\omega_d)}(\mathcal{C}_1, G)) = \#P_{m_G}.$$

On the other hand, by Theorems 3.1, 3.3 and 3.4(b),

$$\dim H^0(\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{C}_1}(G), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(1, a_1^\vee, a_2^\vee, \dots, a_k^\vee)}(m_G)^{\otimes p}) = \dim(\mathbb{C}[z_0, \dots, z_k]_{pm_G}) = \#P_{pm_G}.$$

Hence, in the equation (\*) following Theorem 3.4,  $p = 1$  and  $\Theta_{V(\omega_d)}(\mathcal{C}_1, G)$  is the (ample) generator of  $\text{Pic}(\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{C}_1}(G))$ . This proves Proposition 1.9.  $\square$

#### 4. Proof of Proposition 1.7

In this section, we take  $G = SL(2)$  and abbreviate  $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{C}_g}(SL(2))$  by  $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{C}_g}$  etc. Let  $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{C}_g}^{\text{red}}$  be the closed subvariety of the moduli space  $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{C}_g}$  consisting of decomposable bundles on  $\mathcal{C}_g$  (which are semistable of rank-2 with trivial determinant). Let  $\mathfrak{J}_{\mathcal{C}_g}$  be the Jacobian of  $\mathcal{C}_g$ . Recall that the underlying set of the variety  $\mathfrak{J}_{\mathcal{C}_g}$  consists of all the isomorphism classes of line bundles on  $\mathcal{C}_g$  with trivial first Chern class. Then, there is a surjective morphism  $\xi = \xi_{\mathcal{C}_g} : \mathfrak{J}_{\mathcal{C}_g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{C}_g}^{\text{red}} \subset \mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{C}_g}$ , taking  $\mathcal{L} \mapsto \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{-1}$ . Moreover,  $\xi^{-1}(\xi(\mathcal{L})) = \{\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}^{-1}\}$ . The Jacobian  $\mathfrak{J}_{\mathcal{C}_g}$  admits the involution  $\tau$  taking  $\mathcal{L} \mapsto \mathcal{L}^{-1}$ .

Let  $T$  be a maximal torus of the maximal compact subgroup  $SU(2)$  of  $SL(2)$ , which we take to be the diagonal subgroup of  $SU(2)$ . Similar to the identification  $\theta_{\mathcal{C}_g}$  as in Theorem 1.5, setting  $J_g := T^{2g}$ , there is an isomorphism of real analytic spaces  $\bar{\theta}_{\mathcal{C}_g} : J_g \rightarrow \mathfrak{J}_{\mathcal{C}_g}$  making the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} J_g & \xrightarrow{\bar{\theta}_{\mathcal{C}_g}} & \mathfrak{J}_{\mathcal{C}_g} \\ f_g \downarrow & & \downarrow \xi_{\mathcal{C}_g} \\ M_g & \xrightarrow{\theta_{\mathcal{C}_g}} & \mathfrak{M}_{\mathcal{C}_g}, \end{array} \tag{E}$$

where  $f_g : J_g \rightarrow M_g$  is induced from the standard inclusion  $T^{2g} \subset SU(2)^{2g}$ . We will explicitly describe the isomorphism  $\bar{\theta}_{\mathcal{C}_g}$  in the proof of the following lemma.

Recall the definition of the map  $i_g : M_g \rightarrow M_{g+1}$  from Section 1 and let  $r_g : J_g \rightarrow J_{g+1}$  be the map  $(t_1, \dots, t_{2g}) \mapsto (t_1, \dots, t_{2g}, 1, 1)$ . Then, we have the

following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 J_g & \xrightarrow{f_g} & M_g \\
 r_g \downarrow & & \downarrow i_g \\
 J_{g+1} & \xrightarrow{f_{g+1}} & M_{g+1}.
 \end{array} \tag{F}$$

Let  $x_{g+1}$  denote the positive generator of  $H^2(M_{g+1}, \mathbb{Z})$ . Then, by Lemma 1.4 and Theorem 1.5,

$$i_g^*(x_{g+1}) = d_g x_g,$$

for some integer  $d_g$ . We will prove that  $d_g = 1$ , which will of course prove Proposition 1.7. Set  $y_g := f_g^*(x_g)$ ;  $f_g^* : H^2(M_g, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(J_g, \mathbb{Z})$  being the map in cohomology induced from  $f_g$ .

**Lemma 4.1.**  $y_g \neq 0$  and  $r_g^*(y_{g+1}) = y_g$  as elements of  $H^2(J_g, \mathbb{Z})$ .

*Proof.* There exists a unique universal line bundle  $\mathcal{P}$ , called the *Poincaré bundle* on  $\mathcal{C}_g \times \mathfrak{J}_{\mathcal{C}_g}$  such that, for each  $\mathfrak{L} \in \mathfrak{J}_{\mathcal{C}_g}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}$  restricts to the line bundle  $\mathfrak{L}$  on  $\mathcal{C}_g \times \mathfrak{L}$ , and  $\mathcal{P}$  restricted to  $x_o \times \mathfrak{J}_{\mathcal{C}_g}$  is trivial for a fixed base point  $x_o \in \mathcal{C}_g$  (cf. [ACGH, Chap. IV, §2]).

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the rank-2 vector bundle  $\mathcal{P} \oplus \hat{\tau}^*(\mathcal{P})$  over the base space  $\mathcal{C}_g \times \mathfrak{J}_{\mathcal{C}_g}$ , and think of  $\mathcal{F}$  as a family of rank-2 bundles on  $\mathcal{C}_g$  parametrized by  $\mathfrak{J}_{\mathcal{C}_g}$ , where  $\hat{\tau} : \mathcal{C}_g \times \mathfrak{J}_{\mathcal{C}_g} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_g \times \mathfrak{J}_{\mathcal{C}_g}$  is the involution  $I \times \tau$ .

By Drezet-Narasimhan [DN], we have  $x_g = c_1(\Theta_{V_2}(\mathcal{C}_g, SL(2)))$  for the standard representation  $V_2$  of  $SL(2)$ . Using the functoriality of Chern class,

$$\xi_{\mathcal{C}_g}^*(x_g) = c_1(\text{Det } \mathcal{F}), \tag{1}$$

where  $\text{Det } \mathcal{F}$  denotes the determinant line bundle over  $\mathfrak{J}_{\mathcal{C}_g}$  associated to the family  $\mathcal{F}$  (cf. Definition 1.2). Recall that the fiber of  $\text{Det } \mathcal{F}$  at any  $\mathfrak{L} \in \mathfrak{J}_{\mathcal{C}_g}$  is given by the expression

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Det } \mathcal{F}|_{\mathfrak{L}} &= \wedge^{\text{top}}(H^0(\mathcal{C}_g, \mathfrak{L} \oplus \mathfrak{L}^{-1})^*) \otimes \wedge^{\text{top}}(H^1(\mathcal{C}_g, \mathfrak{L} \oplus \mathfrak{L}^{-1})) \\
 &= \wedge^{\text{top}}(H^0(\mathcal{C}_g, \mathfrak{L})^* \oplus H^0(\mathcal{C}_g, \mathfrak{L}^{-1})^*) \\
 &\quad \otimes \wedge^{\text{top}}(H^1(\mathcal{C}_g, \mathfrak{L}) \oplus H^1(\mathcal{C}_g, \mathfrak{L}^{-1})) \\
 &= \wedge^{\text{top}}(H^0(\mathcal{C}_g, \mathfrak{L})^*) \otimes \wedge^{\text{top}}(H^0(\mathcal{C}_g, \mathfrak{L}^{-1})^*) \otimes \wedge^{\text{top}}(H^1(\mathcal{C}_g, \mathfrak{L})) \\
 &\quad \otimes \wedge^{\text{top}}(H^1(\mathcal{C}_g, \mathfrak{L}^{-1})) \\
 &= (\text{Det } \mathcal{P})|_{\mathfrak{L}} \otimes (\tau^*(\text{Det } \mathcal{P}))|_{\mathfrak{L}}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Applying the Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch theorem (cf. [F, Example 15.2.8]) for the projection  $\mathcal{C}_g \times \mathfrak{J}_{\mathcal{C}_g} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathfrak{J}_{\mathcal{C}_g}$  gives

$$\text{ch}(R\pi_*\mathcal{P}) = \pi_*(\text{ch } \mathcal{P} \cdot \text{Td } T_\pi), \tag{3}$$

where  $\text{ch}$  is the Chern character and  $\text{Td } T_\pi$  denotes the Todd genus of the relative tangent bundle of  $\mathcal{C}_g \times \mathfrak{J}_{\mathcal{C}_g}$  along the fibers of  $\pi$ . By the definition of  $\text{Det } \mathcal{P}$  and  $R\pi_* \mathcal{P}$ ,

$$c_1(\text{Det } \mathcal{P}) = -\text{ch}(R\pi_* \mathcal{P})_{[2]}, \tag{4}$$

where, for a cohomology class  $y$ ,  $y_{[n]}$  denotes the component of  $y$  in  $H^n$ . Since  $\mathcal{P}$  restricted to  $x_o \times \mathfrak{J}_{\mathcal{C}_g}$  is trivial and for any  $\mathcal{L} \in \mathfrak{J}_{\mathcal{C}_g}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}$  restricts to the line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $\mathcal{C}_g \times \mathcal{L}$  (with the trivial Chern class), we get

$$c_1(\mathcal{P}) \in H^1(\mathcal{C}_g) \otimes H^1(\mathfrak{J}_{\mathcal{C}_g}). \tag{5}$$

Thus, using (3)–(4),

$$\begin{aligned} -c_1(\text{Det } \mathcal{P}) &= \pi_* \left( (\text{ch } \mathcal{P} \cdot \text{Td } T_\pi)_{[4]} \right) \\ &= \pi_* \left( \frac{c_1(\mathcal{P})^2}{2} + \frac{c_1(\mathcal{P}) \cdot c_1(T_\pi)}{2} \right) \\ &= \pi_* (c_1(\mathcal{P})^2) / 2. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

The last equality follows from (5), since the cup product  $c_1(\mathcal{P}) \cdot c_1(T_\pi)$  vanishes,  $c_1(T_\pi)$  being in  $H^2(\mathcal{C}_g) \otimes H^0(\mathfrak{J}_{\mathcal{C}_g})$ .

Recall the presentation of  $\pi_1(\mathcal{C}_g)$  given just above Theorem 1.5. Then,  $H_1(\mathcal{C}_g, \mathbb{Z}) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^g \mathbb{Z}a_i \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^g \mathbb{Z}b_i$ . Moreover, the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module dual basis  $\{a_i^*, b_i^*\}_{i=1}^g$  of  $H^1(\mathcal{C}_g, \mathbb{Z}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H_1(\mathcal{C}_g, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z})$  satisfies  $a_i^* \cdot a_j^* = 0 = b_i^* \cdot b_j^*$ ,  $a_i^* \cdot b_j^* = \delta_{ij} [C_g]$ , where  $[C_g]$  denotes the positive generator of  $H^2(\mathcal{C}_g, \mathbb{Z})$ .

Having fixed a base point  $x_o$  in  $\mathcal{C}_g$ , define the algebraic map

$$\psi : \mathcal{C}_g \rightarrow \mathfrak{J}_{\mathcal{C}_g}, \quad x \mapsto \mathcal{O}(x - x_o).$$

Of course,  $\mathfrak{J}_{\mathcal{C}_g}$  is canonically identified as  $H^1(\mathcal{C}_g, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_g})/H^1(\mathcal{C}_g, \mathbb{Z})$ . Thus, as a real analytic space, we can identify

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{J}_{\mathcal{C}_g} &\simeq H^1(\mathcal{C}_g, \mathbb{R})/H^1(\mathcal{C}_g, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq H^1(\mathcal{C}_g, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H_1(\mathcal{C}_g, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) = J_g \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

obtained from the  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space isomorphism

$$H^1(\mathcal{C}_g, \mathbb{R}) \simeq H^1(\mathcal{C}_g, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_g}),$$

induced from the inclusion  $\mathbb{R} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_g}$ , where the last equality in (7) follows by using the basis  $\{a_1, b_1, \dots, a_g, b_g\}$  of  $H_1(\mathcal{C}_g, \mathbb{Z})$ . The induced map, under the identification (7),

$$\psi_* : H_1(\mathcal{C}_g, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(\mathfrak{J}_{\mathcal{C}_g}, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq H^1(\mathcal{C}_g, \mathbb{Z})$$

is the Poincaré duality isomorphism. To see this, identify

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H_1(\mathcal{C}_g, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H^1(\mathcal{C}_g, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \tag{8}$$



using the Poincaré duality isomorphism:  $H_1(\mathcal{C}_g, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq H^1(\mathcal{C}_g, \mathbb{Z})$ . Then, under the identifications (7)–(8), the map

$$\psi : \mathcal{C}_g \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H^1(\mathcal{C}_g, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$$

can be described as

$$\psi(x)([\omega]) = e^{2\pi i \int_{x_o}^x \omega},$$

for any closed 1-form  $\omega$  on  $\mathcal{C}_g$  representing the cohomology class  $[\omega] \in H^1(\mathcal{C}_g, \mathbb{Z})$  (cf. [M, Theorem 2.5]), where  $\int_{x_o}^x \omega$  denotes the integral of  $\omega$  along any path in  $\mathcal{C}_g$  from  $x_o$  to  $x$ .

Since

$$\psi_* : H_1(\mathcal{C}_g, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(\mathfrak{J}_{\mathcal{C}_g}, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq H^1(\mathcal{C}_g, \mathbb{Z})$$

is the Poincaré duality isomorphism, it is easy to see that the cohomology map induced from  $\psi$ :

$$\psi^* : H^1(\mathfrak{J}_{\mathcal{C}_g}, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq H_1(\mathcal{C}_g, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{C}_g, \mathbb{Z})$$

is given by

$$\psi^*(a_i) = b_i^*, \quad \psi^*(b_i) = -a_i^* \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq g. \tag{9}$$

In particular,  $\psi^*$  is an isomorphism. Moreover, the isomorphism does not depend on the choice of  $x_o$ .

Consider the map

$$\mathcal{C}_g \times \mathcal{C}_g \xrightarrow{I \times \psi} \mathcal{C}_g \times \mathfrak{J}_{\mathcal{C}_g}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{P}' := (I \times \psi)^*(\mathcal{P})$ . Then,  $\mathcal{P}'$  is the unique line bundle over  $\mathcal{C}_g \times \mathcal{C}_g$  satisfying the following properties:

$$\mathcal{P}'|_{\mathcal{C}_g \times x} = \mathcal{O}(x - x_o) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{P}'|_{x_o \times \mathcal{C}_g} \text{ is trivial.}$$

Consider the following line bundle over  $\mathcal{C}_g \times \mathcal{C}_g$ :

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_g \times \mathcal{C}_g}(\Delta) \otimes (\mathcal{O}(-x_o) \boxtimes 1) \otimes (1 \boxtimes \mathcal{O}(-x_o)),$$

where  $\Delta$  denotes the diagonal in  $\mathcal{C}_g \times \mathcal{C}_g$ . One sees that this bundle also satisfies the restriction properties mentioned above and hence it must be isomorphic with  $\mathcal{P}'$ . Consequently,

$$c_1(\mathcal{P}') = c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_g \times \mathcal{C}_g}(\Delta)) + c_1(\mathcal{O}(-x_o) \boxtimes 1) + c_1(1 \boxtimes \mathcal{O}(-x_o)).$$

Using the definition of  $\mathcal{P}'$  and the functoriality of the Chern classes,

$$c_1(\mathcal{P}') = c_1((I \times \psi)^*(\mathcal{P})) = (I \times \psi)^*c_1(\mathcal{P}). \tag{10}$$

By (5),  $c_1(\mathcal{P}) \in H^1(\mathcal{C}_g) \otimes H^1(\mathfrak{J}_{\mathcal{C}_g})$ , and hence  $c_1(\mathcal{P}') \in H^1(\mathcal{C}_g) \otimes H^1(\mathcal{C}_g)$ . Moreover,

$$c_1(\mathcal{O}(-x_o) \boxtimes 1) + c_1(1 \boxtimes \mathcal{O}(-x_o)) \in H^2(\mathcal{C}_g) \otimes H^0(\mathcal{C}_g) \oplus H^0(\mathcal{C}_g) \otimes H^2(\mathcal{C}_g).$$

Thus,  $c_1(\mathcal{P}')$  is the component of  $c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}_g \times \mathcal{C}_g}(\Delta))$  in  $H^1(\mathcal{C}_g) \otimes H^1(\mathcal{C}_g)$ . Hence, by Milnor-Stasheff [MS, Theorem 11.11],

$$c_1(\mathcal{P}') = - \sum_{i=1}^g a_i^* \otimes b_i^* + \sum_{i=1}^g b_i^* \otimes a_i^*.$$

Therefore, by (10),

$$c_1(\mathcal{P}) = - \sum_{i=1}^g a_i^* \otimes \psi^{*-1}(b_i^*) + \sum_{i=1}^g b_i^* \otimes \psi^{*-1}(a_i^*),$$

and thus, by (6),

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(\text{Det } \mathcal{P}) &= -\frac{1}{2} \pi_* (c_1(\mathcal{P})^2) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \pi_* \left( \left( - \sum_{i=1}^g a_i^* \otimes \psi^{*-1}(b_i^*) + \sum_{i=1}^g b_i^* \otimes \psi^{*-1}(a_i^*) \right)^2 \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \pi_* \left( \sum_{i=1}^g a_i^* \cdot b_i^* \otimes \psi^{*-1}(b_i^*) \cdot \psi^{*-1}(a_i^*) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{i=1}^g b_i^* \cdot a_i^* \otimes \psi^{*-1}(a_i^*) \cdot \psi^{*-1}(b_i^*) \right) \\ &= - \sum_{i=1}^g \psi^{*-1}(b_i^*) \cdot \psi^{*-1}(a_i^*) \in H^2(\mathfrak{J}_{\mathcal{C}_g}, \mathbb{Z}). \end{aligned}$$

Now, the involution  $\tau$  of  $\mathfrak{J}_{\mathcal{C}_g}$  induces the map  $-I$  on  $H^1(\mathfrak{J}_{\mathcal{C}_g}, \mathbb{Z})$  (since, under the identification  $\bar{\theta}_{\mathcal{C}_g} : J_g \rightarrow \mathfrak{J}_{\mathcal{C}_g}$ ,  $\tau$  corresponds to the map  $x \mapsto x^{-1}$  for  $x \in J_g$ ). Therefore,

$$\tau^*(c_1(\text{Det } \mathcal{P})) = c_1(\text{Det } \mathcal{P}).$$

Hence, by the identities (1)–(2),

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{\mathcal{C}_g}^*(x_g) &= c_1(\text{Det } \mathcal{F}) \\ &= 2c_1(\text{Det } \mathcal{P}) \\ &= 2 \sum_{i=1}^g \psi^{*-1}(a_i^*) \cdot \psi^{*-1}(b_i^*), \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

which is clearly a nonvanishing class in  $H^2(\mathfrak{J}_{C_g}, \mathbb{Z})$ . Moreover, for any  $g \geq 2$ , under the last equality of (7), the map  $r_{g-1} : J_{g-1} \rightarrow J_g$  corresponds to the map  $H_1(C_g, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(C_{g-1}, \mathbb{Z})$ ,  $a_i \mapsto a_i$ ,  $b_i \mapsto b_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq g-1$ ,  $a_g \mapsto 0$ ,  $b_g \mapsto 0$ . Thus, by (9) and (11),  $\xi_{C_g}^*(x_g)$  restricts, via  $r_{g-1}^*$ , to the class  $\xi_{C_{g-1}}^*(x_{g-1})$  for any  $g \geq 2$ . But, by the commutative diagram (E),  $\xi_{C_g}^*(x_g) = y_g$ . This proves Lemma 4.1.  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 1.7.* By the above Lemma 4.1 and the commutative diagram (F), we see that

$$f_g^*(d_g x_g) = f_g^{*} \iota_g^*(x_{g+1}) = r_g^*(f_{g+1}^*(x_{g+1})), \text{ i.e., } d_g y_g = y_g.$$

Since the cohomology of  $J_g$  is torsion free and  $y_g$  is a nonvanishing class, we get  $d_g = 1$ . This concludes the proof of Proposition 1.7.  $\square$

## References

- [A] Adams, F.: Lectures on Lie Groups. W. A. Benjamin, Inc., 1969
- [ACGH] Arbarello, E., Cornalba, M., Griffiths, P., Harris, J.: Geometry of Algebraic Curves. Springer-Verlag, 1985
- [BL] Beauville, A., Laszlo, Y.: Conformal blocks and generalized theta functions. Commun. Math. Phys. **164**, 385–419 (1994)
- [BLS] Beauville, A., Laszlo, Y., Sorger, C.: The Picard group of the moduli of  $G$ -bundles on a curve. Compositio Math. **112**, 183–216 (1998)
- [BR] Beltrametti, M., Robbiano, L.: Introduction to the theory of weighted projective spaces. Expo. Math. **4**, 111–162 (1986)
- [BS] Bernshtein, I.N., Shvartsman, O.V.: Chevalley’s theorem for complex crystallographic Coxeter groups. Funct. Anal. Appl. **12**, 308–310 (1978)
- [B] Bourbaki, N.: Groupes et Algèbres de Lie, Chap. 4–6. Masson, Paris, 1981
- [DN] Drezet, J.–M., Narasimhan, M.S.: Groupe de Picard des variétés de modules de fibrés semi-stables sur les courbes algébriques. Invent. Math. **97**, 53–94 (1989)
- [D] Dynkin, E.B.: Semisimple subalgebras of semisimple Lie algebras. Am. Math. Soc. Transl. (Ser. II) **6**, 111–244 (1957)
- [Fa] Faltings, G.: A proof for the Verlinde formula. J. Alg. Geom. **3**, 347–374 (1994)
- [FMW] Friedman, R., Morgan, J.W., Witten, E.: Principal  $G$ -bundles over elliptic curves. Mathematical Research Letters **5**, 97–118 (1998)
- [F] Fulton, W.: Intersection Theory. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, 1998
- [KM] Knudsen, F., Mumford, D.: The projectivity of the moduli space of stable curves I: preliminaries on “det” and “div”. Math. Scand. **39**, 19–55 (1976)
- [K<sub>1</sub>] Kumar, S.: Infinite Grassmannians and moduli spaces of  $G$ -bundles. In: Vector Bundles on Curves - New Directions, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1649**, pp. 1–49, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, 1997
- [K<sub>2</sub>] Kumar, S.: Kac-Moody Groups, their Flag Varieties and Representation Theory. Progress in Mathematics vol. **204**, Birkhäuser, 2002
- [KN] Kumar, S., Narasimhan, M.S.: Picard group of the moduli spaces of  $G$ -bundles. Math. Annalen **308**, 155–173 (1997)
- [KNR] Kumar, S., Narasimhan, M.S., Ramanathan, A.: Infinite Grassmannians and moduli spaces of  $G$ -bundles. Math. Annalen **300**, 41–75 (1994)

- [L] Lang, S.: Introduction to Arakelov Theory. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, 1988
- [La] Laszlo, Y.: About  $G$ -bundles over elliptic curves. Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble **48**, 413–424 (1998)
- [LS] Laszlo, Y., Sorger, C.: The line bundles on the moduli of parabolic  $G$ -bundles over curves and their sections. Ann. Scient. Éc. Norm. Sup. **30**, 499–525 (1997)
- [Lo] Looijenga, E.: Root systems and elliptic curves. Invent. Math. **38**, 17–32 (1976)
- [M] Milne, J.S.: Chap. VII–Jacobian varieties. In: Arithmetic Geometry, Cornell, G., et al., (eds.), Springer, Berlin Heidelberg 1986, 167–212
- [MS] Milnor, J.W., Stasheff, J.D.: Characteristic Classes. Annals of Mathematics Studies vol. **76**, Princeton University Press, 1974
- [NS] Narasimhan, M.S., Seshadri, C.S.: Stable and unitary vector bundles on a compact Riemann surface. Ann. of Math. **82**, 540–567 (1965)
- [N] Narasimhan, R.: Compact Riemann Surfaces. Birkhäuser Verlag, 1992
- [RR] Ramanan, S., Ramanathan, A.: Some remarks on the instability flag. Tôhoku Math. J. **36**, 269–291 (1984)
- [R<sub>1</sub>] Ramanathan, A.: Stable principal bundles on a compact Riemann surface- Construction of moduli space. Thesis, University of Bombay, 1976
- [R<sub>2</sub>] Ramanathan, A.: Stable principal bundles on a compact Riemann surface. Math. Ann. **213**, 129–152 (1975)
- [So] Sorger, C.: On moduli of  $G$ -bundles on a curve for exceptional  $G$ . Ann. Scient. Éc. Norm. Sup. **32**, 127–133 (1999)
- [S] Szenes, A.: The combinatorics of the Verlinde formulas In: Vector Bundles in Algebraic Geometry, Hitchin, N.J., et al., (eds.), Cambridge University Press, 1995
- [T<sub>1</sub>] Teleman, C.: Lie algebra cohomology and the fusion rules. Commun. Math. Phys. **173**, 265–311 (1995)
- [T<sub>2</sub>] Teleman, C.: Borel-Weil-Bott theory on the moduli stack of  $G$ -bundles over a curve. Invent. Math. **134**, 1–57 (1998)
- [TUY] Tsuchiya, A., Ueno, K., Yamada, Y.: Conformal field theory on universal family of stable curves with gauge symmetries. Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **19**, 459–565 (1989)