

INFINITE GRASSMANNIANS AND MODULI SPACES OF G -BUNDLES

SHRAWAN KUMAR

Table of Contents.

Introduction

1. Affine flag variety as parameter set for G -bundles
2. Affine flag variety parametrizing an algebraic family and realizing Γ as an ind-group
3. Preliminaries on moduli space of G -bundles and the determinant bundle
4. A result on algebraic descent
5. Identification of the determinant bundle
6. Statement of the main theorem and its proof
7. Proof of Proposition (6.5)

Appendix A. Affine Lie algebras - Basic definitions and representations

Appendix B. An introduction to Ind-varieties

Appendix C. Affine Kac-Moody groups and their flag varieties

References

Introduction.

These are notes for my eight lectures given at the C.I.M.E. session on “Vector bundles on curves. New directions” held at Cetraro (Italy) in June 1995. The work presented here was done in collaboration with M.S. Narasimhan and A. Ramanathan and appeared in [KNR]. These notes differ from [KNR] in that we have added three appendices (A)-(C) containing basic definitions and results (we need) on ind-varieties, affine Kac-Moody Lie algebras, the associated groups and their flag varieties. We also have modified the proof (given in §7) of the basic extension result (Proposition 6.5), and we hope that it is more transparent than the one given in [KNR, §7]. We now describe the main result of this note.

Let C be a smooth projective irreducible algebraic curve over \mathbb{C} of any genus and G a connected simply-connected simple affine algebraic group over \mathbb{C} . In this note we elucidate the relationship between

- (1) the space of vacua (“conformal blocks”) defined in Conformal Field Theory, using an integrable highest weight representation of the affine Kac-Moody algebra associated to G and
- (2) the space of regular sections (“generalized theta functions”) of a line bundle on the moduli space \mathcal{M} of semistable principal G -bundles on C .

Fix a point p in C and let $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_p$ (resp. \hat{k}_p) be the completion of the local ring \mathcal{O}_p of C at p (resp. the quotient field of $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_p$). Let $\mathcal{G} := G(\hat{k}_p)$ (the \hat{k}_p -rational points of the algebraic group G) be the loop group of G and let $\mathcal{P} := G(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_p)$ be the standard maximal parahoric subgroup of \mathcal{G} . Then the generalized flag variety $X := \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P}$ is an inductive limit of projective varieties, in fact, of generalized Schubert varieties. One has a basic homogeneous line bundle $\mathcal{L}(\chi_o)$ on X (cf. §C.6), and the Picard group $\text{Pic}(X)$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} which is generated by $\mathcal{L}(\chi_o)$ (Proposition C.13). There is a central extension $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ of \mathcal{G} by the multiplicative group \mathbb{C}^* (cf. §C.4), which acts on the line bundle $\mathcal{L}(\chi_o)$. By an analogue of the Borel-Weil theorem proved in the Kac-Moody setting by Kumar (and also by Mathieu), the space $H^0(X, \mathcal{L}(d\chi_o))$ of the regular sections of the line bundle $\mathcal{L}(d\chi_o) := \mathcal{L}(\chi_o)^{\otimes d}$ (for any $d \geq 0$) is canonically isomorphic with the full vector space dual $L(\mathbb{C}, d)^*$ of the integrable highest weight (irreducible) module $L(\mathbb{C}, d)$ (with central charge d) of the affine Kac-Moody Lie algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ (cf. §A.2).

Using the fact that any principal G -bundle on $C \setminus p$ is trivial (Proposition 1.3), one sees easily that the set of isomorphism classes of principal G -bundles on C is in bijective correspondence with the double coset space $\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P}$, where $\Gamma := \text{Mor}(C \setminus p, G)$ is the subgroup of \mathcal{G} consisting of all the algebraic morphisms $C \setminus p \rightarrow G$. Moreover, X parametrizes an algebraic family \mathcal{U} of principal G -bundles on C (cf. Proposition 2.8). As an interesting byproduct of this parametrization and rationality of the generalized Schubert varieties, we obtain that the moduli space \mathcal{M} of semistable principal G -bundles on C is a unirational variety (cf. Corollary 6.3). Now, given a finite dimensional representation V of G , let $\mathcal{U}(V)$ be the family of associated vector bundles on C parametrized by X . We have then the determinant line bundle $\text{Det}(\mathcal{U}(V))$ on X , defined as the dual of the determinant of the cohomology of the family $\mathcal{U}(V)$ of vector bundles on C (cf. §3.7). As we mentioned above, $\text{Pic}(X)$ is freely generated by the homogeneous line bundle

$\mathcal{L}(\chi_o)$ on X , in particular, there exists a unique integer m_V (depending on the choice of the representation V) such that $\text{Det}(\mathcal{U}(V)) \simeq \mathcal{L}(m_V \chi_o)$. We determine this number explicitly in Theorem (5.4), the proof of which makes use of Riemann-Roch theorem. It is shown that the number m_V coincides with the Dynkin index of the representation V . For example, if we take V to be the adjoint representation of G , then $m_V = 2 \times$ dual Coxeter number of G (cf. Lemma 5.2 and Remark 5.3). The number m_V is also expressed in terms of the induced map at the third homotopy group level $\pi_3(G) \rightarrow \pi_3(SL(V))$ (cf. Corollary 5.6).

The action of Γ on X via left multiplication lifts to an action on the line bundle $\mathcal{L}(m_V \chi_o)$ (cf. §2.7). Suggested by Conformal Field Theory, we consider the space $H^0(X, \mathcal{L}(dm_V \chi_o))^\Gamma$ of Γ -invariant regular sections of the line bundle $\mathcal{L}(dm_V \chi_o)$ (for any $d \geq 0$). This space of invariants is called the space of vacua. More precisely, in Conformal Field Theory, the space of vacua is defined to be the space of invariants of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} \otimes R$ in $L(\mathbb{C}, d)^*$, where R is the ring of regular functions on the affine curve $C \setminus p$ and \mathfrak{g} is the Lie algebra of the group G . We have (by Proposition 6.7) $[L(\mathbb{C}, dm_V)^*]^\Gamma = [L(\mathbb{C}, dm_V)^*]^{\mathfrak{g} \otimes R}$ and, as already mentioned above, $H^0(X, \mathcal{L}(dm_V \chi_o)) \simeq L(\mathbb{C}, dm_V)^*$. The main result of this note (Theorem 6.6) asserts that (for any $d \geq 0$) the space $H^0(\mathfrak{M}, \Theta(V)^{\otimes d})$ of regular sections of the d -th power of the Θ -bundle $\Theta(V)$ (cf. §3.7) on the moduli space \mathfrak{M} is isomorphic with the space of vacua $[L(\mathbb{C}, dm_V)^*]^\Gamma = [L(\mathbb{C}, dm_V)^*]^{\mathfrak{g} \otimes R}$. Moreover, this isomorphism is canonical up to scalar multiples. This is the connection, alluded to in the beginning of the introduction, between the space of vacua and the space of generalized theta functions. This result has also independently been obtained by Faltings [Fa] and in the case of $G = SL_N$ by Beauville-Laszlo [BL], both by different methods.

We make crucial use of a ‘descent’ lemma (cf. Proposition 4.1), and an extension result (cf. Proposition 6.5) in the proof of Theorem (6.6). The proof of Proposition (6.5) is given in §7, and relies on the explicit GIT construction of the moduli space of vector bundles.

Our Theorem (6.6) can be generalized to the situation where the curve C has n marked points $\{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$ together with finite dimensional G -modules $\{V_1, \dots, V_n\}$ attached to them respectively, by bringing in moduli space of parabolic G -bundles on C .

A purely algebro-geometric study (which does not use loop groups) of generalized theta functions on the moduli space of (parabolic) rank two torsion-free sheaves on a nodal curve is made by Narasimhan-Ramadas [NRa]. A factorization theorem and a vanishing theorem for the theta line bundle are proved there. In addition, several other mathematicians (A. Bertram, S. Bradlow, S. Chang, G. Daskalopoulos, B. van Geemen, E. Previato, A. Szenes, M. Thaddeus, R. Wentworth, D. Zagier, ...) and physicists have studied the space of generalized theta functions (from different view points) in the case when $G = SL(2)$, in the last few years.

Even though we have taken the base field to be the field \mathbb{C} of complex numbers throughout the note, all the results of the note hold good over any algebraically closed field of char 0 (with minor or no modifications in the proofs).

The organization of the note is as follows:

Apart from introducing some notation in §1, we realize the affine flag variety

X as a parameter set for G -bundles. In section (2) we prove that X supports an algebraic family of G -bundles on the curve C (cf. Proposition 2.8). We also realize the group Γ as an ind-group, calculate its Lie algebra, and prove its splitting in this section. Section (3) is devoted to recalling some basic definitions and results on the moduli space of semistable G -bundles, including the definition of the determinant line bundle and the Θ -bundle on the moduli space. We prove a curious result (cf. Proposition 4.1) on algebraic descent in §4. Section (5) is devoted to identifying the determinant line bundle on X with a suitable power of the basic homogeneous line bundle on X . Section (6) contains the statement and the proof of the main result (Theorem 6.6). Finally in Section (7) we prove the basic extension result (Proposition 6.5), using Geometric Invariant Theory. Appendix (A) is devoted to recalling the definition of affine Kac-Moody Lie algebras and its representations. Appendix (B) is an introduction to ind-varieties and ind-groups. Finally in appendix (C), we recall the basic theory of affine Kac-Moody groups and their flag varieties.

1. Affine flag variety as parameter set for G -bundles.

(1.1) *Notation.* Throughout the note we take the field \mathbb{C} of complex numbers as the base field. By a scheme we will mean a scheme over \mathbb{C} . Let us fix a smooth irreducible projective curve C over \mathbb{C} , and a point $p \in C$. Let C^* denote the open set $C \setminus p$. We also fix an affine algebraic connected simply-connected simple group G over \mathbb{C} .

For any \mathbb{C} -algebra A , by $G(A)$ we mean the A -rational points of the algebraic group G . We fix the following notation to be used throughout the note:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{G} &= \mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{T}} = G(\hat{k}_p), \\ \mathcal{P} &= \mathcal{P}_{\mathfrak{T}} = G(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_p), \text{ and} \\ \Gamma &= \Gamma_{\mathfrak{T}} = G(\mathbb{C}[C^*]),\end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_p$ is the completion of the local ring \mathcal{O}_p of C at p , \hat{k}_p is the quotient field of $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_p$, $\mathbb{C}[C^*]$ is the ring of regular functions on the affine curve C^* (which can canonically be viewed as a subring of \hat{k}_p), and \mathfrak{T} is the triple (G, C, p) . We will freely use the notation and the results from the three appendices throughout Sections (1)-(7).

We recall the following

(1.2) *Definition.* Let H be any (not necessarily reductive) affine algebraic group. By a *principal H -bundle* (for short *H -bundle*) on an algebraic variety X , we mean an algebraic variety E on which H acts algebraically from the right and an H -equivariant morphism $\pi : E \rightarrow X$ (where H acts trivially on X), such that π is isotrivial (i.e. locally trivial in the étale topology).

Let H act algebraically on a quasi-projective variety F from the left. We can then form the *associated bundle with fiber F* , denoted by $E(F)$. Recall that $E(F)$ is the quotient of $E \times F$ under the H -action given by $g(e, f) = (eg^{-1}, gf)$, for $g \in H$, $e \in E$ and $f \in F$.

Reduction of structure group of E to a closed algebraic subgroup $K \subset H$ is, by definition, a K -bundle E_K such that $E_K(H) \approx E$, where K acts on H by left

multiplication. Reduction of structure group to K can canonically be thought of as a section of the associated bundle $E(H/K) \rightarrow X$.

Let $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}(H, C)$ denote the set of isomorphism classes of H -bundles on the base C , and $\mathcal{X}_o \subset \mathcal{X}$ denote the subset consisting of those H -bundles on C which are algebraically trivial restricted to C^* . We recall the following proposition essentially due to Harder [H₁, Satz 3.3 and the remark following it].

(1.3) Proposition. *Let H be a connected reductive algebraic group. Then the structure group of any H -bundle on a smooth affine curve Y can be reduced to the connected component $Z^o(H)$ of the centre $Z(H)$ of H .*

In particular, if H as above is semi-simple, then any H -bundle on Y is trivial.

The following map is of basic importance for us in this note. This provides a bridge between the moduli space of G -bundles and the affine (Kac-Moody) flag variety, where G is as in §1.1.

(1.4) Definition (of the map $\varphi : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_o$). Consider the canonical morphisms $i_1 : \text{Spec}(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_p) \rightarrow C$ and $i_2 : C^* \hookrightarrow C$. Let us take the trivial G -bundles on both the schemes $\text{Spec}(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_p)$ and C^* . The fiber product

$$F := \text{Spec}(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_p) \times_C C^*$$

of i_1 and i_2 can canonically be identified with $\text{Spec}(\hat{k}_p)$. This identification $F \simeq \text{Spec}(\hat{k}_p)$ is induced from the natural morphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \text{Spec}(\hat{k}_p) & & \\ & \swarrow & & \searrow & \\ \text{Spec}(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_p) & & \downarrow & & C^* \\ & \swarrow & & \searrow & \\ & & F & & \end{array}$$

By an analogue of “glueing” lemma of Grothendieck ([G, §§2.6, 2.7], [BL₂]), to give a G -bundle on C , it suffices to give an automorphism of the trivial G -bundle on $\text{Spec}(\hat{k}_p)$, i.e., to give an element of $\mathcal{G} := G(\hat{k}_p)$. (Observe that since we have a cover of C by only two schemes, the cocycle condition is vacuously satisfied.) This is, by definition, the map $\varphi : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_o$.

(1.5) Proposition. *The map φ (defined above) factors through the double coset space to give a bijective map (denoted by)*

$$\bar{\varphi} : \Gamma \backslash \mathcal{G} / \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_o.$$

(Observe that, by Proposition (1.3), $\mathcal{X}_o = \mathcal{X}$ since G is assumed to be connected and semi-simple.)

Proof. From the above construction, it is clear that for $g, g' \in \mathcal{G}$, $\varphi(g)$ is isomorphic with $\varphi(g')$ (written $\varphi(g) \approx \varphi(g')$) if and only if there exist two G -bundles

isomorphisms :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Spec}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_p) \times G & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\theta_1} & \mathrm{Spec}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_p) \times G \\ \searrow & & \swarrow \\ & \mathrm{Spec}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_p) & \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^* \times G & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\theta_2} & C^* \times G \\ \searrow & & \swarrow \\ & C^* & \end{array}$$

such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$(*) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Spec}(\hat{k}_p) \times G & \xrightarrow{\theta_1|_{\mathrm{Spec}(\hat{k}_p)}} & \mathrm{Spec}(\hat{k}_p) \times G \\ \downarrow g' & & \downarrow g \\ \mathrm{Spec}(\hat{k}_p) \times G & \xrightarrow{\theta_2|_{\mathrm{Spec}(\hat{k}_p)}} & \mathrm{Spec}(\hat{k}_p) \times G \end{array}$$

Any G -bundle isomorphism θ_1 (resp. θ_2) as above is given by an element $h \in \mathcal{P}$ (resp. $\gamma \in \Gamma$). In particular, from the commutativity of the above diagram (*), $\varphi(g) \approx \varphi(g')$ if and only if there exist $h \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $gh = \gamma g'$, i.e., $\gamma^{-1}gh = g'$. This shows that the map φ factors through $\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P}$ to give an injective map $\bar{\varphi}$. The surjectivity of $\bar{\varphi}$ follows immediately from the definition of \mathcal{X}_o , and the fact that any G -bundle on $\mathrm{Spec}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_p)$ is trivial. \square

(1.6) *Remark.* \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P} should be thought of as a parameter space for G -bundles E together with a trivialization of $E|_{\mathcal{O}}$. (cf. Proposition 2.8).

2. Affine flag variety parametrizing an algebraic family and realizing Γ as an ind-group.

Recall the definition of the group $\Gamma \subset \mathcal{G}$ from §1.1.

(2.1) **Lemma.** *The group Γ is an ind-group.*

*Proof.*¹ Embed $G \hookrightarrow SL_N \subset M_N$, where M_N is the space of $N \times N$ matrices over \mathbb{C} . This induces an injective map $i : \Gamma \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Mor}(C^*, M_N)$, where $\mathrm{Mor}(C^*, M_N)$ denotes the set of all the morphisms from C^* to M_N . Take a \mathbb{C} -basis $\{f_1, f_2, f_3, \dots\}$ of $\mathbb{C}[C^*]$ (the ring of regular functions) such that $\mathrm{ord}_p f_n \leq \mathrm{ord}_p f_{n+1}$ for any $n \geq 1$, where $\mathrm{ord}_p f_n$ denotes the order of the pole of f_n at p . The set $\mathrm{Mor}(C^*, M_N)$ has a filtration $\mathrm{Mor}_0 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathrm{Mor}_n \subseteq \dots$, where Mor_n is the (finite dimensional) vector

¹I thank R. Hammack for some simplification in my original argument.

space of all those morphisms $\theta : C^* \rightarrow M_N$ such that all its matrix entries have poles of order $\leq n$. Set $\Gamma_n = i^{-1}(\text{Mor}_n)$. Any $\theta = (\theta_{i,j}) \in \text{Mor}_n$ can be written as $\theta_{i,j} = \sum_{k=1}^{k(n)} z_{i,j}^k f_k$ (for some $k(n)$). We take $(z_{i,j}^k)$ as the coordinates on Mor_n . It is easy to see that $\Gamma_n \hookrightarrow \text{Mor}_n$ is given by the vanishing of some polynomials in $(z_{i,j}^k)$, in particular, Γ_n is a closed subvariety of the affine space Mor_n . (We put the reduced structure on Γ_n .) This gives rise to the ind-variety structure on Γ as a closed ind-subvariety of $\text{Mor}(C^*, M_N)$. It is easy to see (from the definition of the ind-variety structure on Γ) that Γ in fact is an ind-group. Moreover, this ind-variety structure on Γ does not depend upon the particular choice of the embedding $G \hookrightarrow SL_N$. \square

The following lemma determines the Lie algebra of the ind-group Γ .

(2.2) Lemma. *The Lie algebra $\text{Lie } \Gamma$ is isomorphic with $\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} R$, where $\mathfrak{g} := \text{Lie } G$, $R := \mathbb{C}[C^*]$, and the bracket in $\mathfrak{g} \otimes R$ is defined as $[X \otimes p, Y \otimes q] = [X, Y] \otimes pq$, for $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $p, q \in R$. The isomorphism $\text{Lie } \Gamma \simeq \mathfrak{g} \otimes R$ is obtained by considering the differential of the evaluation map at each point of C^* .*

Proof. Choose an embedding $G \hookrightarrow SL_N \subset M_N$ as in the proof of Lemma (2.1). This gives rise to a closed immersion $i : \Gamma \hookrightarrow \text{Mor}(C^*, M_N)$. In particular, it induces an injective map $di : T_e(\Gamma) = \text{Lie } \Gamma \hookrightarrow T_I(\text{Mor}) \simeq \text{Mor}$ at the Zariski tangent space level (where I is the identity matrix and $\text{Mor} = \text{Mor}(C^*, M_N)$). We claim that di is a Lie algebra homomorphism, if we endow $\text{Mor} \simeq M_N(R)$ with the standard Lie algebra structure, where $M_N(R)$ is the space of $N \times N$ matrices over R . To prove this, consider the following commutative diagram (for any fixed $x \in C^*$):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_e(\Gamma) & \xrightarrow{di} & M_N(R) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathfrak{g} = T_e(G) & \hookrightarrow & M_N \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are induced by the evaluation map $e_x : R \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by $p \mapsto p(x)$. Since the bottom horizontal map is a Lie algebra homomorphism, and so are the vertical maps, we obtain that di itself is a Lie algebra homomorphism. It is further clear, from the above commutative diagram, that the image of di is contained in $\mathfrak{g} \otimes R$, where \mathfrak{g} is identified with its image in M_N .

Next, we prove that the image of di contains at least the set $\mathfrak{g} \otimes R$:

Fix any vector $X \in \mathfrak{g} \subset M_N$ such that X is a nilpotent matrix and take $p \in R$, and define a morphism $\mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \Gamma$ by $z \mapsto \exp(zX \otimes p)$. (Since X is nilpotent, the image is indeed contained in Γ .) It is easy to see that the image of the induced map (at the tangent space level at 0) is precisely the space $\mathbb{C}(X \otimes p)$. But since the nilpotent matrices $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ span \mathfrak{g} , the assertion follows. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

We prove the following interesting lemma (even though we do not make use of it).

(2.3) Lemma. *Let Y be a connected variety (over \mathbb{C}). Then any regular map $Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$, which is null-homotopic in the topological category, is a constant.*

(Observe that if the singular cohomology $H^1(Y, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$, then any continuous map $Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ is null-homotopic.)

Proof. Assume, if possible, that there exists a null-homotopic non-constant regular map $\lambda : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$. Since λ is algebraic, there exists a number $N > 0$ such that the number of irreducible components of $\lambda^{-1}(z) \leq N$, for all $z \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Now we consider the N' -sheeted covering $\pi_{N'} : \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*(z \mapsto z^{N'})$, for any $N' > N$. Since λ is null-homotopic, there exists a (regular) lift $\tilde{\lambda} : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ (cf. [Se₁, Proposition 20]), making the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \mathbb{C}^* \\ & \nearrow \tilde{\lambda} & \downarrow \pi_{N'} \\ Y & \xrightarrow{\lambda} & \mathbb{C}^* \end{array}$$

Since $\tilde{\lambda}$ is regular and non-constant, by Chevalley's theorem, $\text{Im } \tilde{\lambda}$ (being a constructible set) misses only finitely many points of \mathbb{C}^* . In particular, there exists a $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}^*$ (in fact a Zariski-open set of points) such that $\pi_{N'}^{-1}(z_0) \subset \text{Im } \tilde{\lambda}$. But then the number of irreducible components of $\lambda^{-1}(z_0) = \tilde{\lambda}^{-1}(\pi_{N'}^{-1}(z_0)) \geq N' > N$, a contradiction to the choice of N . This proves the lemma. \square

We will use the following proposition in the proof of assertion (c) contained in the proof of Theorem (6.6).

(2.4) Proposition. *There does not exist any non-constant regular map $\lambda : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$.*

Proof. Fix a Borel subgroup $B \subset G$ and let U be its unipotent radical. Fix any $g \in G$. Consider the subgroup $\text{Mor}(C^*, gUg^{-1}) \subset \Gamma$ consisting of all the regular maps $f : C^* \rightarrow gUg^{-1}$. We put the ind-group structure on $\text{Mor}(C^*, gUg^{-1})$ similar to that of Γ as in the proof of Lemma (2.1). We denote the inclusion (which is a regular map) by

$$\theta = \theta_g : \text{Mor}(C^*, gUg^{-1}) \hookrightarrow \Gamma.$$

Let $\lambda : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ be a regular map, and consider the regular map

$$\lambda \circ \theta : \text{Mor}(C^*, gUg^{-1}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*.$$

The exponential map induces an isomorphism of the ind-varieties $\text{Mor}(C^*, gUg^{-1}) \approx \text{Mor}(C^*, \mathfrak{n}) = \mathfrak{n} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[C^*]$, where $\mathfrak{n} := \text{Lie } U$. In particular, $\text{Mor}(C^*, gUg^{-1})$ is an inductive limit of (finite dimensional) affine spaces and hence the regular map $\lambda \circ \theta$ is constant. So the derivative map at the tangent level $d(\lambda \circ \theta) : T_e(\text{Mor}(C^*, gUg^{-1})) \rightarrow T_{\lambda(e)}(\mathbb{C}^*)$ is the zero map.

As seems to be well known, the group Γ is connected. I do not know to whom this result should be attributed, but there is an interesting proof of this due to Drinfeld.

Now assume (if possible) that λ is non-constant. Then (using connectedness of Γ) there exists a positive integer n and a point $h \in \Gamma_n$ such that the derivative map $d(\lambda|_{\Gamma_n}) : T_h(\Gamma_n) \rightarrow T_{\lambda(h)}(\mathbb{C}^*)$ is non-zero (where Γ_n is the filtration of Γ as in the proof of Lemma 2.1). In particular, the derivative map $(d\lambda)_h : T_h(\Gamma) \rightarrow T_{\lambda(h)}(\mathbb{C}^*)$ is non-zero. By translating the map λ , if necessary, we can assume that $h = e$. But since $T_e(\text{Mor}(C^*, gUg^{-1})) = gng^{-1} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[C^*]$ (by the same proof as of Lemma 2.2), we obtain that $(d\lambda)_e$ vanishes on the sum $\mathfrak{s} := \sum_{g \in G} (gng^{-1}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[C^*]$. Further $\sum_{g \in G} gng^{-1} = \mathfrak{g}$ and hence $T_e(\Gamma) = \mathfrak{s}$ (by Lemma 2.2). In particular, $(d\lambda)_e$ vanishes on the whole tangent space $T_e(\Gamma)$, a contradiction! This proves that the map λ is constant on Γ , proving the proposition. \square

Remark. Simple-connectedness of Γ of course will imply the above proposition (in view of Lemma 2.3). In fact, it is very likely that the space Γ is homotopically equivalent to the corresponding space Γ_{top} consisting of all the continuous maps $C^* \rightarrow G$ under the compact-open topology. This of course will give the connected and simple-connectedness of Γ , by using a result of Thom [GK, Theorem 5.10]. A student of mine R. Hammack is trying to give a proof of this homotopy equivalence by using some ideas similar to [PS, Proof of Proposition 8.11.6], albeit in the algebraic category, together with a variant of a result of Hurtubise [Hur, Theorem 1.3].

Recall from Proposition (C.12) that $X = X_{\text{rep}} = X_{\text{lat}}$ is a projective ind-variety.

(2.5) Lemma. *The left multiplication of Γ on X is a morphism $\delta : \Gamma \times X \rightarrow X$.*

Proof. We will consider the X_{lat} description of X (cf. §C.9). It is clear that for any non-negative integers m, n , $\delta(\Gamma_n \times \hat{X}_m) \subseteq \hat{X}_{k(n,m)}$, for some $k(n, m)$. Now from the explicit description of the variety structures (on Γ and X_{lat}), it is easy to check that $\delta_{n,m} := \delta|_{\Gamma_n \times \hat{X}_m}$ is a morphism.

This proves the lemma. \square

Restrict the central extension (1) of §(C.4) to get a central extension

$$(1) \quad 1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow \tilde{\Gamma} \xrightarrow{\pi} \Gamma \rightarrow 1,$$

where $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is by definition $\pi^{-1}(\Gamma)$.

(2.6) Splitting of the central extension over Γ (SL_N case). The basic reference for this subsection is [PS, §7.7]. We first consider the case of $G = SL_N$ and follow the same notation as in §(C.7). In particular, $\mathcal{G}^\circ := SL_N(\mathbb{C}((t)))$, $\mathcal{P}^\circ = SL_N(\mathbb{C}[t])$, $X^\circ = \mathcal{G}^\circ / \mathcal{P}^\circ$, $V = \mathbb{C}^N$, $V((t)) = V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}((t))$, and $L_o = V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[t]$. Let $GL(W)$ denote the group of \mathbb{C} -linear isomorphisms of a vector space W .

Define the subgroup \mathcal{H} of $\mathcal{G}^\circ \times GL(L_o)$ by

$$\mathcal{H} = \{(g, E) \in \mathcal{G}^\circ \times GL(L_o) : g^+ - E : L_o \rightarrow L_o \text{ has finite rank}\},$$

where $g = \begin{pmatrix} g^+ & * \\ * & * \end{pmatrix}$ with respect to the decomposition

$$(1) \quad V((t)) = L_o \oplus (V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} t^{-1} \mathbb{C}[t^{-1}]).$$

Let $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{H}$ be the normal subgroup defined as $\mathcal{N} = \{(1, E) \in \mathcal{H} : \det E = 1\}$. (Observe that since $I - E : L_o \rightarrow L_o$ has finite rank, i.e., has finite dimensional image, the determinant of E is well defined.)

It is not difficult to see that the projection on the first factor gives rise to a central extension:

$$(2) \quad 1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{H}/\mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^o \rightarrow 1.$$

We now give an alternative description of the line bundle $\mathcal{L}(\chi_o)$ on X^o (cf. §C.6):

Recall the definition of the set \mathcal{F} and the map $\beta : X^o \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ from §(C.7). For any $W \in \mathcal{F}$, define S_W as the set of \mathbb{C} -linear isomorphisms $\theta : L_o \rightarrow W$ such that $\pi_1 \theta - I : L_o \rightarrow L_o$ has finite rank, where $\pi_1 : V((t)) \rightarrow L_o$ is the projection on the L_o factor with respect to the decomposition (1).

Define the vector space \mathcal{V}_W over \mathbb{C} with basis parametrized by the set S_W , i.e., an element of \mathcal{V}_W is of the form $\sum_{\theta \in S_W} z_\theta \theta$, where all but finitely many $z_\theta \in \mathbb{C}$ are zero. Let $\mathcal{V}'_W \subset \mathcal{V}_W$ be the subspace spanned by $\{\theta - \det(\theta'^{-1} \theta) \theta'\}_{\theta, \theta' \in S_W}$ and let $\mathcal{L}_W = \mathcal{V}_W / \mathcal{V}'_W$. (Note that $\theta'^{-1} \theta - I$ has finite rank as an endomorphism of L_o and hence $\det(\theta'^{-1} \theta)$ is well defined.) Then \mathcal{L}_W is a 1-dimensional vector space. Now define the line bundle $\mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathcal{F}$, where $\eta^{-1}(W) = \mathcal{L}_W$ for any $W \in \mathcal{F}$. As proved in [PS, §7.7], the line bundle \mathcal{L} is an algebraic line bundle on \mathcal{F} (with respect to the ind-variety structure on \mathcal{F} as in §C.7). It is easy to see that $\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{F}_1}$ is the restriction of the basic (negative ample) line bundle on $Gr(N, 2N)$ under the identification $\mathcal{F}_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} Gr(N, 2N)^{1+\bar{1}_1}$ (cf. §C.7). Let \mathcal{L}_o be the pull-back of the line bundle \mathcal{L} to X^o via the isomorphism $\beta : X^o \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}$. In view of Proposition (C.13), it is easy to see that the dual line bundle \mathcal{L}_o^* is isomorphic with the line bundle $\mathcal{L}(\chi_o)$.

Now we define an action α of the group \mathcal{H}/\mathcal{N} on \mathcal{L} as follows: For $(g, E) \in \mathcal{H}$, define

$$\alpha(g, E)[z, \theta]_W = [z, g\theta E^{-1}]_{gW},$$

where for $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\theta \in S_W$, $[z, \theta]_W$ denotes the equivalence class of $z\theta$. This action factors through an action of \mathcal{H}/\mathcal{N} and moreover for any fixed $(g, E) \in \mathcal{H}$, $\alpha(g, E)$ is an algebraic automorphism of the line bundle \mathcal{L} (and hence of \mathcal{L}_o) inducing the map L_g on the base (cf. §C.6). Using this, the group \mathcal{H}/\mathcal{N} can canonically be identified with the Mumford group $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{L}_o)$ defined in §(C.6). In particular, the central extension \mathcal{H}/\mathcal{N} is isomorphic with $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$.

Finally we construct a splitting of \mathcal{H}/\mathcal{N} over Γ as follows:

Choose an element $g_o \in \mathcal{G}^o$ such that the associated rank- N vector bundle $\tilde{\varphi}(g_o)$ on C twisted by $\mathcal{O}((g-1)p)$, $E := \tilde{\varphi}(g_o)((g-1)p)$ (where g is the genus of the curve C) has all its cohomology 0. Then considering the local cohomology sequence (for the curve C with support in p) with coefficients in the vector bundle E , we deduce that

$$(3) \quad V((t)) = L_o \oplus t^{1-g} g_o^{-1} (V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[C^*]),$$

where $V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[C^*]$ is identified as a subspace of $V((t))$ by choosing a parameter t around $p \in C$.

We first construct the splitting of \mathcal{H}/\mathcal{N} over $\Gamma_{g_o} := g_o^{-1}\Gamma g_o$. Define the group homomorphism $\sigma_{g_o} : \Gamma_{g_o} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ by $\sigma_{g_o}(\gamma) = (\gamma, \gamma^{+'})$, where $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma^{+'} & 0 \\ * & * \end{pmatrix}$ with respect to the decomposition (3). (Observe that Γ_{g_o} keeps the second factor stable and hence $\gamma^{+'} \in GL(L_o)$.) The group homomorphism $\bar{\sigma}_{g_o} : \Gamma_{g_o} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}/\mathcal{N}$ (where $\bar{\sigma}_{g_o}$ is the map σ_{g_o} followed by the canonical map $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}/\mathcal{N}$) splits the central extension (2) over Γ_{g_o} . Now take any preimage \bar{g}_o of g_o in \mathcal{H}/\mathcal{N} , and define the splitting $\bar{\sigma} : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{H}/\mathcal{N}$ ($\gamma \mapsto \bar{g}_o \bar{\sigma}_{g_o}(g_o^{-1}\gamma g_o)\bar{g}_o^{-1}$).

Since \mathcal{H}/\mathcal{N} acts on the line bundle \mathcal{L}_o , so is Γ (via the homomorphism $\bar{\sigma}$). It can be easily seen that the action $\Gamma \times \mathcal{L}_o \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_o$ is a morphism of ind-varieties. Moreover, let $\bar{\sigma}' : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{H}/\mathcal{N}$ be another splitting of Γ such that the induced action $\Gamma \times \mathcal{L}_o \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_o$ is again a morphism of ind-varieties. Then we claim that $\bar{\sigma}' = \alpha \bar{\sigma}$: There is a group homomorphism $\alpha : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ such that (cf.(2)) $\bar{\sigma}' = \alpha \bar{\sigma}$. Further α is a morphism of ind-varieties (since the action of Γ on \mathcal{L}_o in both the cases is regular). But then α is identically 1 (cf. Proposition 2.4, see also Remark 6.8(c)). This proves the uniqueness of such a splitting.

Since the line bundle \mathcal{L}_o is isomorphic with the homogeneous line bundle $\mathcal{L}(-\chi_o)$, it is easy to see that the group Γ acts morphically on the representation $L(\mathbb{C}, 1)$ and hence on any $L(\mathbb{C}, d)$ (for $d \geq 0$, where $L(\mathbb{C}, d)$ is the irreducible representation of the affine Lie algebra sl_N with central charge d , cf. §A.2).

(2.7) Splitting of the central extension over Γ (general case). We now come to the case of general G as in §1.1. Take a finite dimensional representation V of G such that the group homomorphism $\gamma : G \rightarrow SL(V)$ has finite kernel, and consider the induced map at the Lie algebra level $d\gamma : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow sl(V)$, where $sl(V)$ is the Lie algebra of trace 0 endomorphisms of V . We denote the Lie algebra $sl(V)$ by \mathfrak{g}^o . The Lie algebra homomorphism $d\gamma$ induces a Lie algebra homomorphism $\tilde{\gamma} : \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^o$ defined by (cf. §A.1)

$$X \otimes p \mapsto (d\gamma(X)) \otimes p, \text{ and } K \mapsto m_V K^o,$$

for $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $p \in \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$; where K (resp. K^o) is the canonical central element of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ (resp. $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^o$), and m_V is the Dynkin index of the representation V (cf. §5.1).

To distinguish the objects corresponding to $SL(V)$ from that of G , we denote the former by a superscript o . Let us consider the irreducible representation $L^o(\mathbb{C}, 1)$ for the Lie algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^o$ with central charge 1 and restrict it to the Lie algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ via the homomorphism $\tilde{\gamma}$. It can be seen that the $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ -submodule of $L^o(\mathbb{C}, 1)$ generated by the highest weight vector v_o is isomorphic with $L(\mathbb{C}, m_V)$.

The representation γ also gives rise to a morphism of the corresponding affine flag varieties $\hat{\gamma} : X \rightarrow X^o$, and a morphism of ind-groups $\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma^o$. It is easy to see that the basic homogeneous line bundle $\mathcal{L}^o(\chi_o)$ on X^o pulls-back to the line bundle $\mathcal{L}(m_V \chi_o)$ on X . In particular, the group Γ acts morphically on the line bundles $\mathcal{L}(dm_V \chi_o)$ (for any $d \in \mathbb{Z}$) and hence Γ also acts morphically on the representation space $L(\mathbb{C}, dm_V)$.

We come now to the following proposition, asserting that $X = \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P}$ supports an algebraic family.

(2.8) Proposition. (a) *There is an algebraic G -bundle $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow C \times X$ such that for any $x \in X$ the G -bundle $\mathcal{U}_x := \mathcal{U}|_{C \times x}$ is isomorphic with $\varphi(x)$ (where φ is the map of §1.4). Moreover, the bundle $\mathcal{U}|_{C^* \times X}$ comes equipped with a trivialization $\alpha : \epsilon \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{U}|_{C^* \times X}$, where ϵ is the trivial G -bundle on $C^* \times X$.*

(b) *Let $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow C \times Y$ be an algebraic family of G -bundles (parametrized by an algebraic variety Y), such that \mathcal{E} is trivial over $C^* \times Y$ and also over $(\text{Spec } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_p) \times Y$. Then, if we choose a trivialization $\beta : \epsilon' \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E}|_{C^* \times Y}$, we get a Schubert variety X_w and a unique morphism $f : Y \rightarrow X_w$ together with a G -bundle morphism $\hat{f} : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}|_{C \times X_w}$ inducing the map $I \times f$ at the base such that $\hat{f} \circ \beta = \alpha \circ \theta$, where ϵ' is the trivial bundle on $C^* \times Y$ and θ is the canonical G -bundle morphism $\epsilon' \rightarrow \epsilon$ inducing the map $I \times f$ at the base.*

Proof. Let R be a \mathbb{C} -algebra and let $Y := \text{Spec } R$ be the corresponding scheme. Suppose $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow C \times Y$ is a G -bundle with trivializations β of \mathcal{E} over $C^* \times Y$ and τ of \mathcal{E} over $(\text{Spec } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_p) \times Y$. Note that the fiber product $(\text{Spec } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_p \times Y) \times_{C \times Y} (C^* \times Y)$ is canonically isomorphic with $(\text{Spec } \hat{k}_p) \times Y$ (cf. §1.4). Therefore the trivializations β and τ give rise to an element $\beta\tau^{-1} \in G(\hat{k}_p \otimes R)$. Conversely, given an element $g \in G(\hat{k}_p \otimes R)$, we can construct the family $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow C \times Y$ by taking the trivial bundles on $C^* \times Y$ and $(\text{Spec } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_p) \times Y$ and glueing them via the element g (cf. §1.4). Moreover, if g_1 and g_2 are two elements of $G(\hat{k}_p \otimes R)$ such that $g_2 = g_1 h$ with $h \in G(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_p \otimes R)$, then h induces a canonical isomorphism of the bundles corresponding to g_1 and g_2 . All these assertions are easily verified.

Choose a local parameter t around $p \in C$. Let $\text{ev}_\infty : G(\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}]) \rightarrow G$ be the group homomorphism induced from the algebra homomorphism $\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ taking $t^{-1} \mapsto 0$, and let $N^- := \ker(\text{ev}_\infty)$. Then the image U^- of N^- in X under the map $i : N^- \rightarrow X$, taking $g \mapsto g\mathcal{P}$, is an open subset of X . To construct a family of G -bundles on X , we first construct a family on the open sets $wU^- \subset X$, for $w \in \text{Mor}_1(C^*, T)$ as follows (cf. proof of Lemma C.10 for the notation $\text{Mor}_1(C^*, T)$):

From the discussion in the first paragraph, it suffices to construct an element $\theta_w \in G(\hat{k}_p \otimes \mathbb{C}[wU^-])$ such that, for every $wx \in wU^-$, the element θ_w evaluated at wx (i.e. the image of θ_w under the evaluation map $G(\hat{k}_p \otimes \mathbb{C}[wU^-]) \rightarrow G(\hat{k}_p)$ at wx) satisfies $\theta_w(wx) = wi^{-1}(x) \bmod \mathcal{P}$. But, by definition, $N^- \subset G(\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}])$ and hence we get a tautological map $\theta : (\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \setminus \{0\}) \times N^- \rightarrow G$. It is easy to see that θ is a morphism under the ind-variety structure on N^- . (Observe that U^- being an open subset of X_{lat} has an ind-variety structure and hence N^- acquires an ind-variety structure via the bijection i .) Think of $C^* = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \setminus \{0, \infty\}$ and define $\bar{\theta}_w : \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \setminus \{0, \infty\} \times wU^- \rightarrow G$ by $\bar{\theta}_w(z, wi(g)) = w(z)\theta(z, g)$, for $z \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \setminus \{0, \infty\}$ and $g \in N^-$. The morphism $\bar{\theta}_w$ of course gives rise to an element $\theta_w \in G(\hat{k}_p \otimes \mathbb{C}[wU^-])$, and hence a G -bundle on $C \times wU^-$.

To prove that the bundles on $C \times wU^-$ got from the elements θ_w patch together to give a bundle on $C \times X$, it suffices to show that the map

$$\bar{\theta}_v^{-1} \bar{\theta}_w : \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \setminus \{0, \infty\} \times (wU^- \cap vU^-) \rightarrow G$$

extends to a morphism (again denoted by) $\bar{\theta}_v^{-1} \bar{\theta}_w : \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \setminus \{\infty\} \times (wU^- \cap vU^-) \rightarrow G$: But for any fixed $x \in wU^- \cap vU^-$, the map $\bar{\theta}_v^{-1} \bar{\theta}_w : \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \setminus \{0, \infty\} \times x \rightarrow G$ in

fact is an element of $\mathcal{P} = G(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_p)$, i.e., $\bar{\theta}_v^{-1}\bar{\theta}_w$ does not have a pole at 0, for any fixed $x \in wU^- \cap vU^-$. From this it is easy to see that $\bar{\theta}_v^{-1}\bar{\theta}_w$ extends to a morphism $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \setminus \{\infty\} \times (wU^- \cap vU^-) \rightarrow G$. Clearly the maps $\bar{\theta}_v^{-1}\bar{\theta}_w$ satisfy the ‘cocycle condition’ and hence we get a G -bundle on the whole of $C \times X$.

To prove the (b) part, let us choose a trivialization τ of the bundle \mathcal{E} restricted to $(\text{Spec } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_p) \times Y$. As above, this (together with the trivialization β) gives rise to a map $f_\tau : Y \rightarrow G$ and hence a map $f : Y \rightarrow X$. (It is easy to see that the map f does not depend upon the choice of the trivialization τ .) We claim that there exists a large enough \mathfrak{w} such that $\text{Im } f \subset X_{\mathfrak{w}}$ and moreover $f : Y \rightarrow X_{\mathfrak{w}}$ is a morphism:

For both of these assertions, we can assume that Y is an affine variety $Y = \text{Spec } R$, for some \mathbb{C} -algebra R . Then the map f_τ can be thought of as an element (again denoted by) $f_\tau \in G(\hat{k}_p \otimes R)$. Choose an imbedding $G \hookrightarrow SL_N$. Then we can write $f_\tau = (f_\tau^{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq N}$, with $f_\tau^{i,j} \in \hat{k}_p \otimes R$. In particular, there exists a large enough $l \geq 0$ such that (for any $1 \leq i,j \leq N$) $f_\tau^{i,j} \in t^{-l}\mathbb{C}[[t]] \otimes R$. From this (together with Lemma C.10) one can easily see that $\text{Im } f$ is contained in a Schubert variety $X_{\mathfrak{w}}$. Now the assertion that $f : Y \rightarrow X_{\mathfrak{w}}$ is a morphism follows from the description of the map f^τ as an element of $G(\hat{k}_p \otimes R)$ together with the description of the variety structure X_{lat} on X . The remaining assertions of (b) are easy to verify, thereby completing the proof of (b). \square

3. Preliminaries on moduli space of G -bundles and the determinant bundle.

Throughout this section, we allow G to be a connected reductive group (over \mathbb{C}).

We recall some basic concepts and results on semistable G -bundles on C . The references are [NS], [R₁], [R₂], and [RR]. Recall the definition of G -bundles and reduction of structure group from §1.2.

(3.1) Definition. Let $E \rightarrow C$ be a G -bundle. Then E is said to be *semistable* (resp. *stable*), if for any reduction of structure group E_P to any parabolic subgroup $P \subset G$ and any non-trivial character $\chi : P \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ which is dominant with respect to some Borel subgroup contained in P , the degree of the associated line bundle $E_P(\chi)$ is ≤ 0 (resp. < 0). (Note that, by definition, a dominant character is taken to be trivial on the connected component of the centre of G .)

(3.2) Remark. When $G = GL_n$, this definition coincides with the usual definition of semistability (resp. stability) due to Mumford (cf. [NS]) viz. a vector bundle $V \rightarrow C$ is semistable (resp. stable) if for every subbundle $W \subsetneq V$, we have $\mu(W) \leq \mu(V)$ (resp. $\mu(W) < \mu(V)$), where $\mu(V) := \deg V / \text{rank } V$.

Let $V \rightarrow C$ be a semistable vector bundle. Then there exists a filtration by subbundles

$$V_0 = 0 \subsetneq V_1 \subsetneq V_2 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq V,$$

such that $\mu(V_i) = \mu(V)$ and V_i/V_{i-1} are stable. Though such a filtration in general is not unique, the associated graded

$$\text{gr } V := \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} V_i/V_{i-1}$$

is uniquely determined by V (up to an isomorphism).

We will now describe the corresponding notion of $\text{gr}E$ for a semistable G -bundle E .

(3.3) Definition. A reduction of structure group of a G -bundle $E \rightarrow C$ to a parabolic subgroup P is called *admissible* if for any character of P , which is trivial on the connected component of the centre of G , the associated line bundle of the reduced P -bundle has degree 0.

It is easy to see that if E_P is an admissible reduction of structure group to a parabolic subgroup P , then E is semistable if and only if the P/U -bundle $E_P(P/U)$ is semistable, where U is the unipotent radical of P . Moreover, a semistable G -bundle E admits an admissible reduction to some parabolic subgroup P such that $E_P(P/U)$ is, in fact, a stable P/U -bundle. Let M be a Levi component of P . Then $M \approx P/U$ (as algebraic groups) and thus we get a stable M -bundle $E_P(M)$. Extend the structure group of this M -bundle to G to get a semistable G -bundle denoted by $\text{gr}(E)$. Then $\text{gr}(E)$ is uniquely determined by E (up to an isomorphism).

Two semistable G -bundles E_1 and E_2 are said to be *S-equivalent* if $\text{gr}(E_1) \approx \text{gr}(E_2)$. A semistable G -bundle E is said to be *quasistable* if $E \approx \text{gr}(E)$. (It can be seen that a semistable vector bundle is quasistable if and only if it is a direct sum of stable vector bundles with the same μ .)

Two G -bundles E_1 and E_2 on C are said to be of the *same topological type* if they are isomorphic as G -bundles in the topological category. The topological types of all the algebraic G -bundles on C are bijectively parametrized by the first fundamental group $\pi_1(G)$ (cf. [R₂, §5]).

(3.4) Theorem. *The set \mathfrak{M} of S-equivalence classes of all the semistable G -bundles on C of a fixed topological type admits the structure of a normal, irreducible, projective variety (over \mathbb{C}), making it into a coarse moduli.*

In particular, for any algebraic family $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow C \times Y$ of semistable G -bundles of the same topological type (parametrized by a variety Y), the set map $\beta : Y \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$, which takes $y \in Y$ to the S-equivalence class of \mathcal{E}_y is a morphism.

The details can be found in [NS], [R₁], [R₂],

(3.5) Lemma. *Let H be a connected affine algebraic group. Then any principal H -bundle on C is locally trivial in the Zariski topology.*

Proof. Let E be a principal H -bundle on C and U the unipotent radical of H . Since the group $M = H/U$ is connected and reductive, the M -bundle $E(M)$, obtained from E by extension of structure group to M , is locally trivial in the Zariski topology [R₃, Proposition 4.3].

Let W be a non-empty affine open subset of C such that the restriction of $E(M)$ to W is trivial. We show that $E|_W$ is trivial (which will of course prove the lemma): Observe that a trivialization of $E(M)$ on W gives a reduction of the structure group H of $E|_W$ to the subgroup U . So, it suffices to show that any (principal) U -bundle on W is trivial:

We may assume $U \neq e$. Then there exists a (finite) filtration of U by closed normal subgroups such that the successive quotients are isomorphic to the additive group \mathbb{G}_a . Now the assertion follows since any principal \mathbb{G}_a -bundle on W is trivial, W being affine (see [Se₁, §5.1]). \square

Let P be a parabolic subgroup of G and $P = MU$ a Levi decomposition, where U is the unipotent radical of P and M a Levi component. The next proposition will be used in §6 in the case of an admissible reduction of a semistable bundle E .

(3.6) Proposition. *Let G be a connected semisimple algebraic group. Let E be a G -bundle on C and E_P a reduction of the structure group of E to P . Denote by $gr(E_P)$ the G -bundle on C obtained from the P -bundle E_P by extension of the structure group via the composite homomorphism*

$$P \rightarrow P/U \approx M \hookrightarrow G.$$

Then there exists a G -bundle \mathcal{E} on $C \times \mathbb{A}^1$, where \mathbb{A}^1 is the affine line, such that we have

- (a) $\mathcal{E}|_{C \times (\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\})} \approx p_C^*(E)$, $\mathcal{E}|_{C \times \{0\}} \approx gr(E_P)$, and
- (b) $\mathcal{E}|_{C^* \times \mathbb{A}^1}$ is trivial and also the pull-back of \mathcal{E} to $(\text{Spec } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_P) \times \mathbb{A}^1$ is trivial,

where p_C is the projection on the C -factor.

Proof. By [R₁, Lemma 2.5.12], there exists a one-parameter group $\lambda : \mathbb{G}_m := \mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow M$, such that the regular map

$$\mathbb{G}_m \times P \rightarrow P, \text{ given by } (t, p) \mapsto \lambda(t)p\lambda(t)^{-1}, \text{ for } t \in \mathbb{G}_m, p \in P,$$

extends to a regular map $\phi : \mathbb{A}^1 \times P \rightarrow P$ satisfying $\phi(0, mu) = m$, for $m \in M, u \in U$. By Lemma (3.5), the P -bundle E_P is locally trivial in the Zariski topology. Let $\{U_i\}$ be an affine open covering of C in which the bundle E_P is given by the transition functions $p_{ij} : U_i \cap U_j \rightarrow P$. Let \mathcal{F} be the (Zariski locally trivial) P -bundle on $C \times \mathbb{A}^1$ defined by the covering $\{U_i \times \mathbb{A}^1\}$ and the transition functions

$$h_{ij} : (U_i \cap U_j) \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow P,$$

where $h_{ij}(z, t) = \phi(t, p_{ij}(z))$, for $t \in \mathbb{A}^1, z \in U_i \cap U_j$. Now let \mathcal{E} be the G -bundle obtained from the P -bundle \mathcal{F} by extension of the structure group to G . Then clearly \mathcal{E} satisfies condition (a).

We next show that for any non-empty affine open subset W of C , the restriction of \mathcal{E} to $W \times \mathbb{A}^1$ is trivial (which will, in particular, imply that condition b is satisfied): Note that, by our construction, there exists a finite open covering W_i of W such that $\mathcal{E}|_{W_i \times \mathbb{A}^1}$ is trivial, for every i . Now by an analogue of a result of Quillen (cf. [Ra, Theorem 2]) $\mathcal{E}|_{W \times \mathbb{A}^1}$ is the pull-back of a G -bundle on W . But by Proposition (1.3), any G -bundle on W is trivial. \square

(3.7) Determinant bundle and Θ -bundle. We briefly recall a few definitions and facts on the determinant bundles and Θ -bundles associated to families of bundles on C . We follow [DN], [NRa].

Let $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow C \times Y$ be a vector bundle. Then there exists a complex of vector bundles \mathcal{V}_i on Y (with $\mathcal{V}_i = 0$, for all $i \geq 2$):

$$\mathcal{V}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_1 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \dots$$

such that for any base change $f : Z \rightarrow Y$, the i^{th} direct image (under the projection $C \times Z \rightarrow Z$) of the pull-back $(\text{id} \times f)^* \mathcal{V}$ is given by the i^{th} cohomology of the pull-back of the above complex to Z . We define the *determinant line bundle* $\text{Det } \mathcal{V}$ on Y to be the product $\bigwedge^{\text{top}}(\mathcal{V}_1) \otimes (\bigwedge^{\text{top}}(\mathcal{V}_0)^*)$. (Notice that our $\text{Det } \mathcal{V}$ is dual to the determinant line bundle as defined, e.g., in [L, Chapter 6, §1].)

The above base change property gives rise to the base change property for $\text{Det } \mathcal{V}$, i.e., if $f : Z \rightarrow Y$ is a morphism then $\text{Det}((\text{id} \times f)^* \mathcal{V}) = f^*(\text{Det } \mathcal{V})$.

Let \mathcal{L} be a line bundle on Y , and let $p_2 : C \times Y \rightarrow Y$ be the projection on the second factor. Then for the family $\mathcal{V} \otimes p_2^* \mathcal{L} \rightarrow C \times Y$, we have $\text{Det } (\mathcal{V} \otimes p_2^* \mathcal{L}) = (\text{Det } \mathcal{V}) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-\chi(\mathcal{V})}$, where $\chi(\mathcal{V}) := h^0(\mathcal{V}_t) - h^1(\mathcal{V}_t)$ is the Euler characteristic and $\mathcal{V}_t := \mathcal{V}|_{C \times t}$. (Observe that $h^0(\mathcal{V}_t) - h^1(\mathcal{V}_t)$ remains constant on any connected component of Y .)

We now define the Θ -*bundle* $\Theta(\mathcal{V})$ of a family of rank r and degree 0 bundles $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow C \times Y$ to be the modified determinant bundle given by $(\text{Det } \mathcal{V}) \otimes (\det(\mathcal{V}_p))^{\chi(\mathcal{V})/r}$, where \mathcal{V}_p is the bundle $\mathcal{V}|_{p \times Y}$ on Y , and $\det \mathcal{V}_p$ is its usual determinant line bundle. It follows then that $\Theta(\mathcal{V}) = \Theta(\mathcal{V} \otimes p_2^* \mathcal{L})$, for any line bundle \mathcal{L} on Y . Moreover $\Theta(\mathcal{V})$ also has the functorial property $\Theta((\text{id} \times f)^* \mathcal{V}) = f^*(\Theta(\mathcal{V}))$.

If $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow C \times Y$ is a family of G -bundles (where G is semisimple and connected) and V is a G -module, then $\text{Det } (\mathcal{E}(V))$ and $\Theta(\mathcal{E}(V))$ are defined to be the corresponding line bundles of the associated family of vector bundles, via the representation V of G .

For the family $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow C \times X$ (cf. Proposition 2.8), the line bundles $\Theta(\mathcal{U}(V))$ and $\text{Det}(\mathcal{U}(V))$ coincide, since $\mathcal{U}|_{p \times X}$ is trivial.

It is known ([DN], [NRa]) that there exists a line bundle Θ on the moduli space \mathfrak{M}_o of rank r and degree 0 semistable bundles, such that for any family \mathcal{V} of rank r and degree 0 semistable bundles parametrized by Y , we have $f^*(\Theta) \simeq \Theta(\mathcal{V})$, where $f : Y \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_o$ is the morphism given by the coarse moduli property of \mathfrak{M}_o (cf. Theorem 3.4).

Let V be a representation of G of dimension r (G semisimple and connected). Then for any semistable G -bundle on C , the associated vector bundle (via the representation V) is semistable (cf. [RR, Theorem 3.18]). Thus, given a family of semistable G -bundles on C parametrized by Y , we have a canonical morphism (induced from the representation V) $Y \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_o$ (where \mathfrak{M}_o as above is the moduli space of semistable bundles of rank r and degree 0). Let \mathfrak{M} be the moduli space of semistable G -bundles. By the coarse moduli property of \mathfrak{M} , we see that we have a canonical morphism $\phi_V : \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_o$. We define the *theta bundle* $\Theta(V)$ on \mathfrak{M} associated to V to be the pull-back of the line bundle Θ on \mathfrak{M}_o via the morphism ϕ_V . It can be easily seen that for any family $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow C \times Y$ of semistable G -bundles, $f^*(\Theta(V)) \simeq \Theta(\mathcal{V}(V))$, where $f : Y \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$ is the morphism (induced from the family \mathcal{V}) given by the coarse moduli property of \mathfrak{M} .

4. A result on algebraic descent.

We prove the following technical result, which will crucially be used in the note. Even though we believe that it should be known, we did not find a precise reference.

(4.1) Proposition. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a surjective morphism between irreducible algebraic varieties X and Y over an algebraically closed field k of char 0. Assume that Y is normal and let $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow Y$ be an algebraic vector bundle on Y .*

Then any set theoretic section σ of the vector bundle \mathcal{E} is regular if and only if the induced section $f^(\sigma)$ of the induced bundle $f^*(\mathcal{E})$ is regular.*

Proof. The ‘only if’ part is of course trivially true. So we come to the ‘if’ part.

Since the question is local (in Y), we can assume that Y is affine and moreover the vector bundle \mathcal{E} is trivial, i.e., it suffices to show that any (set theoretic) map $\sigma : Y \rightarrow k$ is regular, provided $\bar{\sigma} := \sigma \circ f : X \rightarrow k$ is regular (under the assumption that $Y = \text{Spec } R$ is irreducible normal and affine):

Since the map f is surjective (in particular dominant), the ring R is canonically embedded in $\Gamma(X) := H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$, where \mathcal{O}_X is the structure sheaf of X . Let $R[\bar{\sigma}]$ denote the subring of $\Gamma(X)$ generated by R and $\bar{\sigma} \in \Gamma(X)$. Then $R[\bar{\sigma}]$ is a (finitely generated) domain (as X is irreducible by assumption), and we get a dominant morphism $\hat{f} : Z \rightarrow \text{Spec } R$, where $Z := \text{Spec } (R[\bar{\sigma}])$. Consider the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & X & \\ \theta \swarrow & & \searrow f \\ Z & \xrightarrow{\hat{f}} & Y \end{array}$$

where θ is the dominant morphism induced from the inclusion $R[\bar{\sigma}] \hookrightarrow \Gamma(X)$. In particular, $\text{Im } \theta$ contains a non-empty Zariski-open subset U of Z . Let $x_1, x_2 \in X$ be closed points such that $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$. Then $r(x_1) = r(x_2)$, for all $r \in R$ and also $\bar{\sigma}(x_1) = \bar{\sigma}(x_2)$. This forces $\theta(x_1) = \theta(x_2)$, in particular, $\hat{f}|_U$ is injective on the closed points of U .

Since \hat{f} is dominant, by cutting down U if necessary, we can assume that $\hat{f}|_U : U \rightarrow V$ is a bijection, for some open subset $V \subset Y$. Now since Y is (by assumption) normal and Z is irreducible, by Zariski’s main theorem (cf. [Mum, Page 288, I. Original form] together with [Ha, Lemma 10.5, Chap. III]), $\hat{f}|_U : U \rightarrow V$ is an isomorphism, and hence σ is regular on V .

Assume, if possible, that $\sigma|_V$ does not extend to a regular function on the whole of Y . Then, by [B, Lemma 18.3, Chapter AG], there exists a point $y_o \in Y$ and a regular function h on a Zariski neighborhood W of y_o such that $h(y_o) = 0$ and $h\sigma \equiv 1$ on $W \cap V$. But then $\bar{h}\bar{\sigma} \equiv 1$ on $f^{-1}(W \cap V)$ (where $\bar{h} := h \circ f$) and hence, $\bar{\sigma}$ being regular on the whole of X , $\bar{h}\bar{\sigma} \equiv 1$ on $f^{-1}(W)$. Taking $\bar{y}_o \in f^{-1}(y_o)$ (f is, by assumption, surjective), we get $\bar{h}(\bar{y}_o)\bar{\sigma}(\bar{y}_o) = 0$. This contradiction shows that $\sigma|_V$ does extend to some regular function (say σ') on the whole of Y . Hence $\bar{\sigma} = \bar{\sigma}'$, in particular, by the surjectivity of f , $\sigma = \sigma'$. This proves the proposition. \square

5. Identification of the determinant bundle.

(5.1) Recall from §2.8 that \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P} is a parameter space for an algebraic family \mathcal{U} of G -bundles on C . Let us fix a (finite dimensional) representation V of G . In

particular, we can talk of the determinant line bundle $\text{Det}(\mathcal{U}(V))$ (cf. §3.7). Also recall the definition of the fundamental homogeneous line bundle $\mathcal{L}(\chi_o)$ on \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P} from §(C.6). Our aim in this section is to determine the line bundle $\text{Det}(\mathcal{U}(V))$ in terms of $\mathcal{L}(\chi_o)$. We begin with the following preparation.

Let θ be the highest root of \mathfrak{g} . Define the following Lie subalgebra $sl_2(\theta)$ of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of G :

$$(1) \quad sl_2(\theta) := \mathfrak{g}_{-\theta} \oplus \mathbb{C}\theta^\vee \oplus \mathfrak{g}_\theta,$$

where \mathfrak{g}_θ is the θ -th root space, and θ^\vee is the corresponding coroot. Clearly $sl_2(\theta) \approx sl_2$ as Lie algebras. Decompose

$$(2) \quad V = \oplus_i V_i,$$

as a direct sum of irreducible $sl_2(\theta)$ -modules V_i of dim m_i . Now we define

$$(3) \quad m_V = \sum_i \binom{m_i + 1}{3}, \quad \text{where we set } \binom{2}{3} = 0.$$

Let \mathfrak{g}_1 and \mathfrak{g}_2 be two (finite dimensional) complex simple Lie algebras and $\varphi : \mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_2$ be a Lie algebra homomorphism. There exists a unique number $m_\varphi \in \mathbb{C}$, called the *Dynkin index of the homomorphism* φ (cf. [D, §2]), satisfying

$$\langle \varphi(x), \varphi(y) \rangle = m_\varphi \langle x, y \rangle, \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathfrak{g}_1,$$

where \langle, \rangle is the Killing form on \mathfrak{g}_1 (and \mathfrak{g}_2) normalized so that $\langle \theta, \theta \rangle = 2$ for the highest root θ .

It is easy to see, from the next Lemma (5.2), that for a finite dimensional representation V of \mathfrak{g}_1 given by a Lie algebra homomorphism $\varphi : \mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow sl(V)$, we have $m_\varphi = m_V$, where (as earlier) $sl(V)$ is the Lie algebra of trace 0 endomorphisms of V .

We give an expression for m_V in the following lemma. Write the formal character

$$(4) \quad ch V = \sum n_\lambda e^\lambda.$$

(5.2) Lemma.

$$(1) \quad m_V = \frac{1}{2} \sum_\lambda n_\lambda \langle \lambda, \theta^\vee \rangle^2.$$

In particular, for the adjoint representation ad of \mathfrak{g} we have

$$(2) \quad m_{ad} = 2(1 + \langle \rho, \theta^\vee \rangle),$$

where ρ as usual is the half sum of the positive roots of \mathfrak{g} .

Similarly, for the standard n -dimensional representation V^n of $sl_n, m_{V^n} = 1$.

Proof. To prove the first part, it suffices to show that, for the irreducible representation $W(m)$ (of $\dim m + 1$) of sl_2 ,

$$(3) \quad \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^m \langle m\rho_1 - n\alpha, H \rangle^2 = \binom{m+2}{3},$$

where α is the unique positive root of sl_2 , H the corresponding coroot and $\rho_1 := \frac{1}{2}\alpha$. Now the left side of (3) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \sum_{n=0}^m \left(\frac{m}{2} - n\right)^2 &= 4 \sum_{k=1}^{k_o} k^2 = \frac{m(m+1)(m+2)}{6}, \text{ if } m = 2k_o \text{ is even; and} \\ 2 \sum_{n=0}^m \left(\frac{m}{2} - n\right)^2 &= 2 \sum_{n=0}^m \left(k_o - \frac{1}{2} - n\right)^2, \text{ if } m = 2k_o - 1 \text{ is odd} \\ &= 4 \sum_{k=1}^{k_o} \left(k - \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 = \left(4 \sum_{k=1}^{k_o} k^2\right) + k_o - 4 \sum_{k=1}^{k_o} k \\ &= \frac{m(m+1)(m+2)}{6}. \end{aligned}$$

So, in either case, the left side of (3) $= \frac{m(m+1)(m+2)}{6} = \binom{m+2}{3}$. This proves the first part of the lemma.

For the assertion regarding the adjoint representation, we have

$$ch(ad) = (\dim \mathfrak{h})e^0 + \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_+} (e^\beta + e^{-\beta}),$$

where Δ_+ is the set of positive roots.

$$\text{So } m_{ad} = \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_+} \langle \beta, \theta^\vee \rangle^2$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= 4 + \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_+ \setminus \theta} \langle \beta, \theta^\vee \rangle, \text{ since } \langle \beta, \theta^\vee \rangle = 0 \text{ or } 1, \text{ for any } \beta \in \Delta_+ \setminus \theta \\ &= 4 + \langle 2\rho - \theta, \theta^\vee \rangle \\ &= 2(1 + \langle \rho, \theta^\vee \rangle). \end{aligned}$$

The assertion about m_{V^n} is easy to verify.

(5.3) *Remark.* The number $(1 + \langle \rho, \theta^\vee \rangle)$ is called the *dual Coxeter number* of \mathfrak{g} .

Its value is given as below.

Type of \mathfrak{g}	dual Coxeter number
$A_\ell(\ell \geq 1)$	$\ell + 1$
$B_\ell(\ell \geq 2)$	$2\ell - 1$
$C_\ell(\ell \geq 3)$	$\ell + 1$
$D_\ell(\ell \geq 4)$	$2\ell - 2$
E_6	12
E_7	18
E_8	30
G_2	4
F_4	9

Now we can state the main theorem of this section.

(5.4) Theorem. *With the notation as in §5.1*

$$\text{Det}(\mathcal{U}(V)) \simeq \mathcal{L}(m_V \chi_o),$$

for any finite dimensional representation V of G , where the number m_V is defined by (3) of §5.1.

Proof. By Proposition (C.13), there exists an integer m such that

$$\text{Det}(\mathcal{U}(V)) = \mathcal{L}(m \chi_o) \in \text{Pic}(\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P}).$$

We want to prove that $m = m_V$: Set $\mathcal{U}_o := \mathcal{U}(V)|_{C \times X_o}$ as the family restricted to the Schubert variety $X_o := X_{\mathbf{e}_o}$ (cf. proof of Proposition C.13). Denote by α (resp. β) the canonical generator of $H^2(X_o, \mathbb{Z})$ (resp. $H^2(C, \mathbb{Z})$). Then it suffices to show that $\text{Det} \mathcal{U}_o \simeq \mathcal{L}(m_V \chi_o)|_{X_o}$, which is equivalent to showing that the first Chern class

$$(1) \quad c_1(\text{Det} \mathcal{U}_o) = m_V \alpha :$$

From the definition of the determinant bundle we have

$$(2) \quad c_1(\text{Det} \mathcal{U}_o) = -c_1(R\pi_{2*} \mathcal{U}_o),$$

where π_2 is the projection $C \times X_o \rightarrow X_o$, and $R\pi_{2*} \mathcal{U}_o := \sum (-1)^i R^i \pi_{2*} \mathcal{U}_o$.

Since G is semisimple, the associated vector bundle $\mathcal{U}(V)$ has

$$(3) \quad c_1(\mathcal{U}_o) = 0.$$

Let $\tilde{\alpha}$ (resp. $\tilde{\beta}$) be the pull-back of α (resp. β) under π_2 (resp. $\pi_1 : C \times X_o \rightarrow C$). Now write

$$(4) \quad c_2(\mathcal{U}_o) = l \tilde{\alpha} \tilde{\beta}, \text{ for some (unique) } l \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Let T_{π_2} be the relative tangent bundle along the fibers of π_2 . Let us abbreviate by c_1 (resp. c_2) the first (resp. second) Chern class of \mathcal{U}_o . By the Grothendieck's Riemann-Roch theorem [F, §9.1] applied to the (proper) map π_2 , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch}(R\pi_{2*}\mathcal{U}_o) &= \pi_{2*}(\text{ch}(\mathcal{U}_o) \cdot \text{td}(T_{\pi_2})) \\ &= \pi_{2*}[(\text{rk } \mathcal{U}_o + c_1 + \frac{1}{2}(c_1^2 - 2c_2))(1 + \frac{1}{2}c_1(T_{\pi_2}))] \\ &= \pi_{2*}[(\text{rk } \mathcal{U}_o - c_2)(1 + \frac{1}{2}c_1(T_{\pi_2}))], \text{ by (3),} \end{aligned}$$

where ch denotes the Chern character and td denotes the Todd class. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} c_1(R\pi_{2*}\mathcal{U}_o) &= \pi_{2*}(-c_2) \\ &= \pi_{2*}(-l\tilde{\alpha}\tilde{\beta}), \text{ by (4)} \\ (5) \quad &= -l\alpha, \text{ since } \pi_{2*}(\tilde{\alpha}\tilde{\beta}) = \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

So to prove the theorem, by (1),(2) and (5), we need to show that $l = m_V$, where l is given by (4):

It is easy to see (from its definition) that topologically the bundle \mathcal{U}_o is pull-back of the bundle \mathcal{U}'_o (Where \mathcal{U}'_o is the same as \mathcal{U}_o for $C = \mathbb{P}^1$) on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times X_o$ via the map

$$C \times X_o \xrightarrow{\delta \times \text{Id}} \mathbb{P}^1 \times X_o,$$

where $\delta : C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ pinches all the points outside a small open disc around p to a point. Of course the map δ is of degree 1, so the cohomology generator α pulls back to the generator β (observe that $X_o \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ as shown below). Hence it suffices to compute the second Chern class of the bundle \mathcal{U}'_o on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times X_o$:

Choose $X_\theta \in \mathfrak{g}_\theta$ (where θ is the highest root of \mathfrak{g}) such that $\langle X_\theta, -\omega X_\theta \rangle = 1$, where ω is the Cartan involution of \mathfrak{g} and \langle, \rangle is the Killing form on \mathfrak{g} , normalized so that $\langle \theta, \theta \rangle = 2$. Set $Y_\theta := -\omega(X_\theta) \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\theta}$. Define a Lie algebra homomorphism $sl_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$, by

$$\begin{aligned} X &\mapsto Y_\theta \otimes t \\ Y &\mapsto X_\theta \otimes t^{-1} \\ H &\mapsto -\theta^\vee \otimes 1, \end{aligned}$$

where $\{X, Y, H\}$ is the standard basis of sl_2 . The corresponding group homomorphism (choosing a local parameter t around p) $\eta : SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ induces a biregular isomorphism $\bar{\eta} : \mathbb{P}^1 \approx SL_2(\mathbb{C})/B_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} X_o$, where B_1 is the standard Borel subgroup of $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ consisting of the upper triangular matrices. In what follows, we will identify X_o with \mathbb{P}^1 under $\bar{\eta}$. The representation V of G on restriction gives rise to a continuous group homomorphism (cf. the decomposition (2) of §5.1)

$$\psi : SU_2(\theta) \rightarrow \prod_i (\text{Aut } V_i),$$

where $SU_2(\theta)$ is the compact form (given by the involution ω) of the group $SL_2(\theta)$ (with Lie algebra $sl_2(\theta)$).

There is a principal SU_2 -bundle \mathcal{W} on S^4 (in the topological category) got by the clutching construction from the identity map $S^3 \approx SU_2 \rightarrow SU_2$. In particular, we obtain the vector bundle $\mathcal{W}(\psi) \rightarrow S^4$ associated to the principal bundle \mathcal{W} via the representation ψ , which breaks up as a direct sum of subbundles $\mathcal{W}_i(\psi)$ (got from the representations V_i).

We further choose a degree 1 continuous map $\nu : \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow S^4$. We claim that the vector bundle \mathcal{U}'_o on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is isomorphic (in the topological category) with the pull-back $\nu^*(\mathcal{W}(\psi))$:

Define the map $\Phi : S^1 \times (SU_2/D) \rightarrow SU_2$ by

$$\left(t, \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \bmod D \right) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} d & ct^{-1} \\ bt & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d & c \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}^{-1},$$

for $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SU_2$ and $t \in S^1$; where D is the diagonal subgroup of SU_2 . It is easy to see that the principal SU_2 -bundle $\nu^*(\mathcal{W})$ on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ is isomorphic with the principal SU_2 -bundle obtained by the clutching construction from the map Φ (by covering $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 = S^2 \times S^2 = H^+ \times S^2 \cup H^- \times S^2$, where H^+ and H^- are resp. the upper and lower closed hemispheres). By composing Φ with the isomorphism $SU_2 \rightarrow SU_2(\theta)$ (induced from the Lie algebra homomorphism $sl_2 \rightarrow sl_2(\theta)$ taking $X \mapsto X_\theta, Y \mapsto Y_\theta$, and $H \mapsto \theta^\vee$), and using the isomorphism $\bar{\eta}$ together with the definition of the vector bundle \mathcal{U}'_o , we get the assertion that $\mathcal{U}'_o \approx \nu^*(\mathcal{W}(\psi))$. So

$$\begin{aligned} c_2(\mathcal{U}'_o) &= \nu^*(c_2(\mathcal{W}(\psi))) = \nu^* \sum_i c_2(\mathcal{W}_i(\psi)) \\ &= \sum_i \binom{m_i + 1}{3} \tilde{\alpha} \tilde{\beta}, \text{ by the following lemma (since } \nu \text{ is a map of degree 1).} \end{aligned}$$

Hence $l = \sum_i \binom{m_i + 1}{3} = m_V$, proving the theorem modulo the next lemma. \square

(5.5) Lemma. *Let $W(m)$ be the $(m + 1)$ -dimensional irreducible representation of SU_2 and let $\mathcal{W}(m)$ be the vector bundle on S^4 associated to the principal SU_2 -bundle \mathcal{W} on S^4 (defined in the proof of Theorem 5.4) by the representation $W(m)$ of SU_2 . Then*

$$(1) \quad c_2(\mathcal{W}(m)) = \binom{m + 2}{3} \Omega,$$

where Ω is the fundamental generator of the cohomology $H^4(S^4, \mathbb{Z})$.

Proof. By the Clebsch -Gordan theorem (cf. [Hu, Page 126]), we have the following decomposition as SU_2 -module:

$$W(m) \otimes W(1) = W(m + 1) \oplus W(m - 1), \text{ for any } m \geq 1.$$

In particular, the Chern character

$$(2) \quad \text{ch} \mathcal{W}(m) \cdot \text{ch} \mathcal{W}(1) = \text{ch} \mathcal{W}(m + 1) + \text{ch} \mathcal{W}(m - 1).$$

Assume, by induction, that (1) is true for all $l \leq m$. (The validity of (1) for $l = 1$ is trivial to see.) Then by (2) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch } \mathcal{W}(m+1) &= \text{ch } \mathcal{W}(m) \cdot \text{ch } \mathcal{W}(1) - \text{ch } \mathcal{W}(m-1) \\ &= ((m+1) \cdot 1 - c_2 \mathcal{W}(m))(2 \cdot 1 - c_2 \mathcal{W}(1)) - (m \cdot 1 - c_2 \mathcal{W}(m-1)), \end{aligned}$$

since $c_1 \mathcal{W}(l) = 0$ as $\mathcal{W}(l)$ is a SU_2 -bundle. Hence by induction

$$(3) \quad \text{ch } \mathcal{W}(m+1) = \left((m+1) \cdot 1 - \binom{m+2}{3} \Omega \right) (2 \cdot 1 - \Omega) - \left(m \cdot 1 - \binom{m+1}{3} \Omega \right).$$

Writing $\text{ch } \mathcal{W}(m+1) = (m+2) \cdot 1 - c_2 \mathcal{W}(m+1)$, and equating the coefficients from (3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} c_2 \mathcal{W}(m+1) &= \left(2 \binom{m+2}{3} + m + 1 - \binom{m+1}{3} \right) \Omega \\ &= \binom{m+3}{3} \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the induction and hence proves the lemma. \square

Recall that for any connected complex simple group G , the third homotopy group $\pi_3(G)$ is canonically isomorphic with \mathbb{Z} .

(5.6) Corollary. *For any representation ρ of G in a finite dimensional vector space V , the induced map $\pi_3(G) \rightarrow \pi_3(SL(V))$ is multiplication by the number m_V .*

Proof. The representation $\rho : G \rightarrow SL(V)$ gives rise to a morphism $\tilde{\rho} : \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^\circ/\mathcal{P}^\circ$, where $\mathcal{G}^\circ := SL(V)(\hat{k}_p)$ and $\mathcal{P}^\circ := SL(V)(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_p)$. Moreover, the family $\mathcal{U}^\circ(V)$ parametrized by $\mathcal{G}^\circ/\mathcal{P}^\circ$ (got from the standard representation of $SL(V)$ in V) pulls-back to the family $\mathcal{U}(V)$ (parametrized by \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P}). In particular, from the functoriality of the determinant bundle (cf. §3.7, Theorem (5.4), and Lemma (5.2), we see that the induced map $\tilde{\rho}^* : H^2(\mathcal{G}^\circ/\mathcal{P}^\circ, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P}, \mathbb{Z})$ is multiplication by the number m_V (under the canonical identifications $H^2(\mathcal{G}^\circ/\mathcal{P}^\circ, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \simeq H^2(\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P}, \mathbb{Z})$). But the flag variety \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P} is homotopic to the based continuous loop group $\Omega_e(K)$ (where K is a compact form of G), and similarly $\mathcal{G}^\circ/\mathcal{P}^\circ$ is homotopic to $\Omega_e(SU(V))$. In particular, by the Hurewicz's theorem and the long exact homotopy sequence corresponding to the fibration $\Omega_e(K) \rightarrow P(K) \rightarrow K$ (where $P(K)$ is the path space of K consisting of all the continuous paths starting at the base point e), the corollary follows. \square

6. Statement of the main theorem and its proof.

(6.1) Definition. Recall the definition of the homogeneous line bundle $\mathcal{L}(m\chi_o)$ on $X := \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P}$ (for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$) from §(C.6). Define, for any $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, (cf. [Ku₁, §3.8])

$$(1) \quad H^p(X, \mathcal{L}(m\chi_o)) = \varprojlim_{\mathfrak{w} \in \widetilde{W}/W} H^p(X_{\mathfrak{w}}, \mathcal{L}(m\chi_o)|_{X_{\mathfrak{w}}}),$$

where the notation is as in §(C.1). Since any $g \in \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ acts as an algebraic automorphism of the line bundle $\mathcal{L}(m\chi_o)$ (cf. §C.6), $H^p(X, \mathcal{L}(m\chi_o))$ is canonically a $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ -module. This module is determined in [Ku₁] (and also in [M]). We summarize the results :

- (2) $H^p(X, \mathcal{L}(m\chi_o)) = 0$, if $p > 0$ and $m \geq 0$,
- (3) $H^0(X, \mathcal{L}(m\chi_o)) = 0$, if $m < 0$, and
- (4) $H^0(X, \mathcal{L}(m\chi_o)) \simeq L(\mathbb{C}, m)^*$ for $m \geq 0$, as $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ -modules,

where $L(\mathbb{C}, m)$ is the integrable highest weight (irreducible) $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module corresponding to the trivial \mathfrak{g} -module \mathbb{C} and the central charge m (cf. §A.2), and $L(\mathbb{C}, m)^*$ denotes its full vector space dual. (By §C.4, $L(\mathbb{C}, m)$ and hence $L(\mathbb{C}, m)^*$ acquires a canonical structure of $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ -module.) For any subgroup $H \subset \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$, by $H^p(X, \mathcal{L}(m\chi_o))^H$ we mean the space of H -invariants in $H^p(X, \mathcal{L}(m\chi_o))$.

Recall the definition of the map $\varphi : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_o$ from §1.4, and the family \mathcal{U} parametrized by X from Proposition (2.8). Now define

$$\begin{aligned} X^s &= \{gP \in X : \varphi(g) \text{ is semistable}\} \\ &= \{x \in X : \mathcal{U}|_{C \times x} \text{ is semistable}\}, \end{aligned}$$

and set (for any $\mathfrak{w} \in \tilde{W}/W$)

$$X_{\mathfrak{w}}^s = X^s \cap X_{\mathfrak{w}}.$$

Then by [R₁, Proposition (4.8)], $X_{\mathfrak{w}}^s$ is a Zariski-open (and non-empty, since $1 \in X_{\mathfrak{w}}^s$) subset of $X_{\mathfrak{w}}$, in particular, X^s is a Zariski-open subset of X . Now define

$$(5) \quad H^p(X^s, \mathcal{L}(m\chi_o)) = \varprojlim_{\mathfrak{w} \in \tilde{W}/W} H^p(X_{\mathfrak{w}}^s, \mathcal{L}(m\chi_o)|_{X_{\mathfrak{w}}^s}).$$

Clearly Γ keeps X^s stable and, by §2.7, Γ acts morphically on the line bundle $\mathcal{L}(m\chi_o)$ for any m which is a multiple of m_V (for some finite dimensional representation V of G), in particular, Γ acts on the cohomology $H^p(X^s, \mathcal{L}(m\chi_o))$, and we can talk of the space of Γ -invariants $H^p(X^s, \mathcal{L}(m\chi_o))^{\Gamma}$. The space $H^p(X, \mathcal{L}(m\chi_o))^{\Gamma}$ has a similar meaning.

The family $\mathcal{U}|_{X^s}$ yields a morphism $\psi : X^s \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$, which maps any $x \in X^s$ to the S -equivalence class of the semistable bundle \mathcal{U}_x , where \mathfrak{M} is the moduli space of semistable G -bundles on C (cf. Theorem 3.4).

(6.2) Lemma. *There exists a $\mathfrak{v}_o \in \tilde{W}/W$ such that*

$$\psi(X_{\mathfrak{v}_o}^s) = \mathfrak{M}.$$

Proof. Since $\bigcup_{\mathfrak{w}} X_{\mathfrak{w}}^s = X^s$ and $\psi(X^s) = \mathfrak{M}$, we get that $\mathfrak{M} = \bigcup_{\mathfrak{w}} \psi(X_{\mathfrak{w}}^s)$. But by a result of Chevalley (cf. [B, Chapter AG, Corollary 10.2]), $\psi(X_{\mathfrak{w}}^s)$ is a finite union of locally closed subvarieties $\{\mathfrak{M}_{\mathfrak{w}}^i\}$ of \mathfrak{M} . Hence \mathfrak{M} is a countable union $\bigcup \mathfrak{M}_{\mathfrak{w}}^i$ of locally closed subvarieties. But then, by a Baire category argument, \mathfrak{M} is a certain finite union of (locally closed) subvarieties $\{\mathfrak{M}_{\mathfrak{v}_1}^1, \dots, \mathfrak{M}_{\mathfrak{v}_n}^n\}$. Now choosing a $\mathfrak{v}_o \in \tilde{W}/W$ such that $\mathfrak{v}_o \geq \mathfrak{v}_i$, for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, we get that $\mathfrak{M} = \psi(X_{\mathfrak{v}_o}^s)$. This proves the lemma. \square

(6.3) Corollary. *The moduli space \mathfrak{M} is a unirational variety.*

Proof. Since $X_{\mathfrak{w}}^*$ is an open subset of $X_{\mathfrak{w}}$ and $X_{\mathfrak{w}}$ is a rational variety (by the Bruhat decomposition), the corollary follows from the above lemma (6.2). \square

(6.4) Proposition. *For any $d \geq 0$ and any finite dimensional representation V of G , the canonical map*

$$\psi^* : H^0(\mathfrak{M}, \Theta(V)^{\otimes d}) \rightarrow H^0(X^*, \psi^*(\Theta(V))^{\otimes d})^\Gamma$$

is an isomorphism, where $\Theta(V)$ is the theta bundle on the moduli space \mathfrak{M} associated to the representation V (cf. §3.7), and the vector space on the right denotes the space of Γ -invariants under its natural action on the line bundle $\psi^(\Theta(V))$. (Since the map $\psi : X^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$ is Γ -equivariant, with trivial action of Γ on \mathfrak{M} , the pull-back bundle $\psi^*(\Theta(V))$ has a natural Γ -action.)*

Proof. Using Lemma (6.2), we see that the map ψ^* is injective. Now the (b)-part of Proposition (2.8), and Proposition (3.6) show that if x and y are two points in X^* with $\mathcal{U}_y \simeq \text{gr}(\mathcal{U}_x)$, then y belongs to the Zariski closure of the Γ -orbit of x . In particular, two points in X^* are in the same fiber of ψ if and only if the closures of their Γ -orbits intersect. This, in turn, shows that if σ is a Γ -invariant regular section of $\psi^*(\Theta(V))^{\otimes d}$ on X^* , it is induced from a set theoretic section $\underline{\sigma}$ of $\Theta(V)^{\otimes d}$ on \mathfrak{M} . That $\underline{\sigma}$ is regular, is seen by taking any Schubert variety $X_{\mathfrak{w}}$ such that $\psi(X_{\mathfrak{w}}^*) = \mathfrak{M}$ (cf. Lemma 6.2) and applying Proposition (4.1) to the morphism $\psi|_{X_{\mathfrak{w}}^*} : X_{\mathfrak{w}}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$. \square

By the functorial property of the theta bundle, $\Theta(\mathcal{U}(V))|_{X^*}$ is canonically isomorphic to $\psi^*(\Theta(V))$, since ψ is defined using the restriction of the family $\mathcal{U}(V)$ to X^* (cf. §3.7). Moreover, as observed in §3.7, the line bundles $\Theta(\mathcal{U}(V))$ and $\text{Det}(\mathcal{U}(V))$ coincide on the whole of X .

(6.5) Proposition. *Any Γ -invariant regular section of $\psi^*(\Theta(V))^{\otimes d}$ on X^* extends uniquely to a regular section of $(\text{Det } \mathcal{U}(V))^{\otimes d}$ on X .*

This proposition will be proved in the next section.

We now state and prove our main theorem, assuming the validity of Proposition (6.5).

(6.6) Theorem. *Let the triple $\mathfrak{T} = (G, C, p)$ be as in §1.1, and let V be a finite dimensional representation of G . Then, for any $d \geq 0$,*

$$H^0(\mathfrak{M}, \Theta(V)^{\otimes d}) \simeq H^0(\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}(dm_V \chi_o))^\Gamma,$$

where the latter space of Γ -invariants is defined in §6.1, the integer m_V is the Dynkin index of V defined in §5.1, and the moduli space \mathfrak{M} and the theta bundle $\Theta(V)$ are as in §§3.4 and 3.7 respectively.

In particular, $H^0(\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}(dm_V \chi_o))^\Gamma$ is finite dimensional.

(Observe that by (4) of §6.1, $H^0(\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L}(dm_V \chi_o))^\Gamma$ is isomorphic with the space of Γ -invariants in the dual space $L(\mathbb{C}, dm_V)^$.)*

Proof. We first begin with some simple observations:

(a) For any algebraic line bundle \mathcal{L} on X , the canonical restriction map $H^0(X, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow H^0(X^*, \mathcal{L}|_{X^*})$ is injective: This is seen by restricting a section to each Schubert variety $X_{\mathfrak{m}}$, and observing that $X_{\mathfrak{m}}^*$ is non-empty, and open (and hence dense) in the irreducible variety $X_{\mathfrak{m}}$.

(b) If \mathcal{L} is a Γ -equivariant algebraic line bundle on X (with respect to the standard action of Γ on X) (cf. §B.7) and σ is a regular section of \mathcal{L} such that its restriction to X^* is Γ -invariant, then σ itself is Γ -invariant: By Γ -invariance, for $\gamma \in \Gamma$, the section $\gamma^*(\sigma) - \sigma$ vanishes on X^* (and hence on the whole of X).

(c) Suppose that \mathcal{L}' and \mathcal{L}'' are two Γ -equivariant algebraic line bundles on X^* . Then any biregular isomorphism of line bundles $\xi : \mathcal{L}' \rightarrow \mathcal{L}''$ (inducing the identity on the base) in fact is Γ -equivariant. In particular, ξ induces an isomorphism of the corresponding spaces of Γ -invariant regular sections:

Define a map $\epsilon : \Gamma \times X^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ by

$$\epsilon(\gamma, x) = L_{\gamma^{-1}} \xi_{\gamma x} L_{\gamma} (\xi_x)^{-1} \in \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{L}''_x) = \mathbb{C}^*,$$

for $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $x \in X^*$, where L_{γ} is the action of γ on the appropriate line bundles, and ξ_x denotes the restriction of ξ to the fiber over $x \in X^*$. It is easy to see that ϵ is a regular map, and of course $\epsilon(1, x) = 1$ for all $x \in X^*$. In particular, by Proposition (2.4), $\epsilon(\gamma, x) = 1$, for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. This proves assertion (c).

We now consider $(\text{Det } \mathcal{U}(V))|_{X^*}^{\otimes d}$ as a Γ -equivariant line bundle by transporting the natural Γ -action on $\psi^*(\Theta(V))^{\otimes d}$ (cf. Proposition 6.4), via the canonical identification

$$(1) \quad (\text{Det } \mathcal{U}(V))|_{X^*} \simeq \psi^*(\Theta(V)).$$

Choose an isomorphism of line bundles on X

$$\xi_o : (\text{Det } \mathcal{U}(V))^{\otimes d} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\chi_o)^{\otimes d m_V},$$

which exists by Theorem (5.4). Recall from §2.7 that $\mathcal{L}(\chi_o)^{\otimes d m_V}$ is a Γ -equivariant line bundle on X . Hence by (c) above, the map $\xi := \xi_o|_{X^*}$ is automatically Γ -equivariant. We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^0(X, (\text{Det } \mathcal{U}(V))^{\otimes d}) & \xrightarrow{\overline{\xi_o}} & H^0(X, \mathcal{L}(\chi_o)^{\otimes d m_V}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^0(X^*, (\text{Det } \mathcal{U}(V))^{\otimes d}) & \xrightarrow{\overline{\xi}} & H^0(X^*, \mathcal{L}(\chi_o)^{\otimes d m_V}) \end{array}$$

where $\overline{\xi}$ (resp. $\overline{\xi_o}$) is induced from ξ (resp. ξ_o), and the vertical maps are the canonical restriction maps. Observe that $\overline{\xi}$ is Γ -equivariant (since ξ is so).

Further we have

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(\mathfrak{M}, \Theta(V)^{\otimes d}) &\simeq H^0(X^*, (\text{Det } \mathcal{U}(V))^{\otimes d})^{\Gamma} \quad (\text{by (1) and Proposition 6.4}) \\ &\simeq H^0(X^*, \mathcal{L}(\chi_o)^{\otimes d m_V})^{\Gamma} \quad (\text{under } \overline{\xi}). \end{aligned}$$

We complete the proof of the theorem by showing that the restriction map

$$H^0(X, \mathfrak{L}(\chi_o)^{\otimes dm_V})^\Gamma \rightarrow H^0(X^*, \mathfrak{L}(\chi_o)^{\otimes dm_V})^\Gamma$$

is an isomorphism:

It suffices to show that any Γ -invariant section σ of $\mathfrak{L}(\chi_o)^{\otimes dm_V}$ over X^* extends to a section over X , for then the extension will automatically be Γ -invariant by (b) and unique by (a). By the above commutative diagram, this is equivalent to showing that any Γ -invariant section σ_o of $(\text{Det } \mathcal{U}(V))^{\otimes d}$ over X^* extends to the whole of X . But this is the content of Proposition (6.5), thereby completing the proof of the theorem. \square

(6.7) Proposition. *For any $d \geq 0$, and finite dimensional representation V of G , we have*

$$[L(\mathbb{C}, dm_V)^*]^\Gamma = [L(\mathbb{C}, dm_V)^*]^{\text{Lie } \Gamma} = [L(\mathbb{C}, dm_V)^*]^{s \otimes \mathbb{C}[C^*]},$$

where $L(\mathbb{C}, dm_V)$ is canonically an algebraic Γ -module as in §2.7, \mathfrak{g} is the Lie algebra of the group G and (as in §1.1) $\mathbb{C}[C^*]$ is the ring of regular functions on the affine curve C^* .

Proof. Abbreviate $L(\mathbb{C}, dm_V)$ by V . Fix $v \in V$ and consider the morphism $\pi_v : \Gamma \rightarrow V$ given by $\pi_v(\gamma) = \gamma.v$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Recall (cf. Lemma B.6) that, by definition, the action of the Lie algebra $\text{Lie } \Gamma$ on $v \in V$ is given by the induced map $(d\pi_v)_e : T_e(\Gamma) = \text{Lie } \Gamma \rightarrow T_v(V) = V$.

Fix $\theta \in V^*$. For any $v \in V$, define the map $\theta_v : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^1$ by $\theta_v(\gamma) = \theta(\gamma.v)$. The induced map $(d\theta_v)_e : T_e(\Gamma) = \text{Lie } \Gamma \rightarrow T_{\theta(v)}(\mathbf{A}^1) = \mathbf{A}^1$ is given by

$$(1) \quad (d\theta_v)_e(a) = \theta(a.v), \text{ for } a \in \text{Lie } \Gamma.$$

For any $\gamma_o \in \Gamma$, we now determine the map $(d\theta_v)_{\gamma_o}$: Consider the right translation map $R_{\gamma_o} : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$, given by $R_{\gamma_o}(\gamma) = \gamma\gamma_o$. Then we have

$$(2) \quad (d\theta_v)_{\gamma_o} \circ (dR_{\gamma_o})_e = (d\theta_{\gamma_o.v})_e.$$

If $\theta \in [V^*]^\Gamma$, then θ_v (for any fixed $v \in V$) is the constant map $\gamma \mapsto \theta(v)$. In particular, $(d\theta_v)_e \equiv 0$, proving (by 1) that $\theta \in [V^*]^{\text{Lie } \Gamma}$. Conversely, take $\theta \in [V^*]^{\text{Lie } \Gamma}$. Then by (1) and (2), for any fixed $v \in V$, $(d\theta_v)_{\gamma_o} \equiv 0$ for any $\gamma_o \in \Gamma$. In particular, for any fixed $v \in V$ and $i \geq 0$, the map $\theta_{v|_{\Gamma_i}} : \Gamma_i \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^1$ is as in the proof of Lemma 2.1) is constant on the irreducible components of Γ_i (as the base field is of char. 0). But since Γ is connected (cf. proof of Proposition 2.4), θ_v itself is forced to be a constant. Thus, we have $(\gamma\theta - \theta)v = 0$, for every $v \in V$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$, proving that $\theta \in [V^*]^\Gamma$. Finally, by Lemma (2.2), we have $\text{Lie } \Gamma = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[C^*]$. This proves the proposition. \square

(6.8) Remarks. (a) From the proof it is clear that the above proposition is true with $L(\mathbb{C}, dm_V)$ replaced by any algebraic representation of the ind-group Γ .

(b) In Conformal Field Theory, the space of vacua is defined to be the space of invariants $[L(\mathbb{C}, d)^*]^{s \otimes \mathbb{C}[C^*]}$ of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[C^*]$ (cf. [TUY, Definition

2.2.2]). We see, by Theorem (6.6) and Proposition (6.7), that the space of vacua is isomorphic with the space of generalized theta functions.

(c) Assertion (c) in the proof of Theorem (6.6) is true with X^* replaced by X . (In fact, in this case we do not even need to use Proposition (2.4), but need only the connectedness of Γ .) We outline an argument:

Following the same notation as in the proof of assertion (c), in this case, for any fixed $\gamma \in \Gamma$ the map $\epsilon_{|\gamma, \times X} : \gamma \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ is a constant α_γ (since X is a connected projective ind-variety). From this it can be easily seen that the map $\alpha : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ taking $\gamma \mapsto \alpha_\gamma$ is a group morphism. In particular, the derivative $(d\alpha)_e : \text{Lie } \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a Lie algebra homomorphism. Since the commutator $[\text{Lie } \Gamma, \text{Lie } \Gamma] = \text{Lie } \Gamma$, we get that $d\alpha \equiv 0$. Hence, by an argument used in the proof of Proposition (6.7), we see that the map α itself is identically 1. This proves assertion (c) for X .

As an immediate consequence of the above remark (b), we obtain the following.

(6.9) Corollary. *Let the notation and assumptions be as in Theorem (6.6). Then the space of coinvariants $L(\mathbb{C}, d\text{mv})/((\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[C^*]).L(\mathbb{C}, d\text{mv}))$ is finite dimensional. (Cf. [K, Exercise 11.10, p. 209] for a purely algebraic proof of this corollary.)*

7. Proof of Proposition (6.5).

(7.1) Lemma. *Let X be an irreducible normal variety, $U \subset X$ a non-empty open subset and \mathcal{L} a line bundle on X . Then any element of $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^0(U, \mathcal{L}^n)$ which is integral over $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^n)$ belongs to $\bigoplus_n H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^n)$.*

Proof. Since the rings in question are graded, it suffices to prove the lemma only for homogeneous elements. Let $b \in H^0(U, \mathcal{L}^{n_0})$ be integral over $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^n)$, i.e., b satisfies a relation $b^m + a_1 b^{m-1} + \dots + a_m = 0$ with $a_i \in \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^n)$. Let D be a prime divisor in $X \setminus U$ and let b have a pole of order $\ell \geq 0$ along D . Then the order of the pole of b^m along D is of course ℓm and that of $a_i b^{m-i}$ is $\leq \ell(m-1)$ for every $i \geq 1$. But since $b^m + a_1 b^{m-1} + \dots + a_m = 0$ is by assumption regular along D , we are forced to have $\ell = 0$, i.e., b is regular along D . Hence $b \in H^0(X, \mathcal{L}^{n_0})$. \square

(7.2) Lemma. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism between projective varieties and \mathcal{L} an ample line bundle on Y . Then the ring $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^0(X, f^* \mathcal{L}^n)$ is integral over the ring $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^n)$.*

Proof. First of all,

$$(1) \quad \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^0(X, f^* \mathcal{L}^n) \approx H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^n \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} f_* \mathcal{O}).$$

Since $f_* \mathcal{O}$ is coherent, we can write

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{L}_m \rightarrow f_* \mathcal{O} \rightarrow 0,$$

for some line bundles \mathcal{L}_i on Y . Since \mathcal{L} is ample on Y , there exists $n_o \geq 1$ such that for $n \geq n_o$, $H^1(Y, \mathcal{L}^n \otimes \mathcal{K}) = 0$, in particular,

$$(2) \quad H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^n \otimes (\bigoplus_i \mathcal{L}_i)) \twoheadrightarrow H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^n \otimes f_* \mathcal{O})$$

is surjective for $n \geq n_o$. We now prove that $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^n \otimes \mathcal{L}_i)$ is finitely generated over $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^n)$, for any line bundle \mathcal{L}_i on Y :

Consider the sheaf exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{\Delta(Y)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y \times Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\Delta(Y) \subset Y \times Y$ is the diagonal and $\mathcal{I}_{\Delta(Y)}$ is the ideal sheaf of $\Delta(Y)$ in $Y \times Y$. There exist $\ell_o, m_o \geq 1$ such that for $n \geq \ell_o$ and $m \geq m_o$,

$$H^1(Y \times Y, \mathcal{I}_{\Delta(Y)} \otimes (\mathcal{L}^n \boxtimes (\mathcal{L}^m \otimes \mathcal{L}_i))) = 0.$$

In particular, for $n \geq \ell_o$,

$$H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^n) \otimes H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{m_o} \otimes \mathcal{L}_i) \twoheadrightarrow H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^{n+m_o} \otimes \mathcal{L}_i)$$

is surjective. This, in particular (using 2), proves that the ring $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^n \otimes f_*(\mathcal{O}))$ is finitely generated over $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^0(Y, \mathcal{L}^n)$, and hence integral (cf. [AM, Proposition 5.1]). This proves the lemma by (1). \square

(7.3) Proposition. *Let Y be a normal irreducible variety parametrizing a family \mathcal{E} of G -bundles on C . Consider the induced map $\beta : Y^s \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$ (cf. Theorem 3.4; where Y^s is the subset of Y consisting of those $y \in Y$ such that \mathcal{E}_y is semistable). Fix a representation V of G and fix an isomorphism*

$$\Theta(\mathcal{E}(V))|_{Y^s} \approx \beta^*(\Theta(V)).$$

Then for any section $\sigma \in H^0(\mathfrak{M}, \Theta(V)^{\otimes d})$ ($d \geq 0$), the pull-back section $\beta^ \sigma$ extends to an element of $H^0(Y, \Theta(\mathcal{E}(V))^{\otimes d})$.*

Proof. Consider the diagram (cf. §3.7, the notation of which we follow here)

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Theta(\mathcal{E}(V))|_{Y^s}^{\otimes d} & \longrightarrow & \Theta(V)^{\otimes d} & \longrightarrow & \Theta^{\otimes d} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y^s & \xrightarrow{\beta} & \mathfrak{M} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{M}_o. \end{array}$$

By Lemma (7.1), it suffices to show that $\beta^* \sigma \in H^0(Y^s, \Theta(\mathcal{E}(V))^{\otimes d})$ is integral over $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^0(Y, \Theta(\mathcal{E}(V))^{\otimes n})$:

Since σ is integral over $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^0(\mathfrak{M}_o, \Theta^{\otimes n})$ (by Lemma 7.2), there exists a relation

$$(*) \quad \sigma^p + a_1 \sigma^{p-1} + \cdots + a_p = 0, \quad \text{for some } a_i \in \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^0(\mathfrak{M}_o, \Theta^{\otimes n}).$$

Now we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y^s & \hookrightarrow & Y_o^s \\ \beta \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta_o \\ \mathfrak{M} & \rightarrow & \mathfrak{M}_o \end{array}$$

where $Y_o^s := \{y \in Y : \mathcal{E}_y(V) \text{ is a semistable } GL(V)\text{-bundle}\}$. Assume that $\beta_o^* a_i$ can be extended to the whole of Y (which is possible by the following Lemma 7.5), then we get from (*)

$$(\beta^* \sigma)^p + (\beta_o^* a_1)|_{Y^s} (\beta^* \sigma)^{p-1} + \cdots + (\beta_o^* a_p)|_{Y^s} = 0,$$

which proves the proposition. \square

(7.4) G.I.T. construction of the moduli of vector bundles. We recall the construction of the moduli spaces of vector bundles on C using G.I.T.. Let $r \geq 1$ and δ be integers. For the fixed point $p \in C$ and for a coherent sheaf \mathbf{F} on C , put $\mathbf{F}(m) = \mathbf{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}(mp)$, for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_C$ is the structure sheaf of C . We can choose a large enough integer $m_o = m_o(r, \delta)$ such that for any semistable vector bundle \mathbf{E} of rank r and degree δ on C , we have $H^1(\mathbf{E}(m_o)) = 0$ and $\mathbf{E}(m_o)$ is generated by its global sections. Let $q := \dim H^0(\mathbf{E}(m_o)) = \delta + r(m_o + 1 - g)$ (where g is the genus of C) and consider the *Grothendieck quot scheme* Q consisting of those coherent sheaves on C which are quotients of $\mathbb{C}^q \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}$ with Hilbert polynomial (in the indeterminate v) $rv + q$. The group $GL(q, \mathbb{C})$ operates canonically on Q and the action on $C \times Q$ (with the trivial action on C) lifts to an action of the tautological sheaf \mathcal{T} on $C \times Q$.

We denote by R_o the $GL(q)$ -invariant open subset of Q consisting of those $x \in Q$ such that $\mathcal{T}_x := \mathcal{T}|_{C \times x}$ is locally free and such that the following canonical map is an isomorphism:

$$\mathbb{C}^q = H^0(\mathbb{C}^q \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^0(\mathcal{T}_x).$$

Then R_o is smooth and irreducible and $\mathcal{T}|_{C \times R_o}$ is a rank- r vector bundle. Define the open subset (of R_o) $R_o^s = \{x \in R_o : \mathcal{T}_x \text{ is semistable}\}$. If we choose sufficiently large m_o , the G.I.T. quotient $R_o^s // GL(q)$ yields the *moduli space* \mathfrak{M}_o of *vector bundles* of rank r and degree δ . (We choose such a m_o in the sequel.) (For all this, see [NRa, Appendix A] or [Le].)

Now let $\mathcal{V}_o \rightarrow C \times T_o$ be a family of vector bundles of rank r and degree δ (parametrized by a variety T_o). We can find an integer m_{T_o} such that for $m \geq m_{T_o}$, we have :

- (1) $R^1 p_{2*}(\mathcal{V}_o(m)) = 0$.
- (2) $p_{2*}(\mathcal{V}_o(m))$ is a vector bundle on T_o (of rank $q := \delta + r(m + 1 - g)$), and
- (3) the canonical map $p_2^* p_{2*}(\mathcal{V}_o(m)) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_o(m)$ is surjective,

where $p_2 : C \times T_o \rightarrow T_o$ (resp. $p_1 : C \times T_o \rightarrow C$) is the projection on the second (resp. first) factor, and $\mathcal{V}_o(m) := \mathcal{V}_o \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{C \times T_o}} p_1^* \mathcal{O}(mp)$.

Choose \bar{m}_o larger than m_{T_o} and m_o , where m_o is as above. Let \mathbf{P}_o be the frame bundle of $p_{2*}(\mathcal{V}_o(\bar{m}_o))$ with the projection $\pi_o : \mathbf{P}_o \rightarrow T_o$. Then there exists a canonical $GL(q)$ -equivariant morphism $\varphi_o : \mathbf{P}_o \rightarrow R_o$, such that the families $\pi_o^*(\mathcal{V}_o)$ and $\varphi_o^*(\mathcal{T}(-\bar{m}_o))$ are isomorphic, where $\mathcal{T}(-m) := \mathcal{T} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{C \times R_o}} p_C^* \mathcal{O}(-mp)$ and $p_C : C \times R_o \rightarrow C$ is the projection on the first factor.

With the same notation as in Proposition (7.3) and its proof, we have the following:

(7.5) Lemma. *For any $\sigma_o \in H^0(\mathfrak{M}_o, \Theta^{\otimes d})$ ($d \geq 0$) the pull-back section $\beta_o^* \sigma_o \in H^0(Y_o^s, \Theta(\mathcal{E}(V))^{\otimes d})$ can be extended to the whole of Y .*

Proof. In the construction as in §7.4, take $r = \dim V$, $\delta = 0$, and $T_o = Y$.

Consider the diagram (where the map π is the quotient map and the other maps are as explained in §§7.3-7.4):

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & P_o & \\
 \pi_o \swarrow & & \searrow \varphi_o \\
 Y_o^s \hookrightarrow Y & & R_o \hookrightarrow R_o^s \\
 \beta_o \searrow & & \swarrow \pi \\
 & \mathfrak{M}_o &
 \end{array}$$

Now since $\text{codim}_{R_o}(R_o \setminus R_o^s) \geq 2$ and R_o is smooth (in particular normal), the section $\pi^* \sigma_o$ extends (say to $\overline{\pi^* \sigma_o}$) to the whole of R_o . Pull $\overline{\pi^* \sigma_o}$ via the $\text{GL}(q)$ -equivariant morphism φ_o , and then push via the $\text{GL}(q)$ -bundle π_o . This gives an extension of the section $\beta_o^* \sigma_o$ to the whole of Y . This proves the lemma, thereby proving Proposition (7.3) completely. \square

Finally we prove Proposition (6.5) and thus complete the proof of Theorem (6.6).

(7.6) Proof of Proposition (6.5). Let $\tilde{\sigma}$ be a Γ -invariant regular section of $\psi^*(\Theta(V))^{\otimes d}$ on X^s . By Proposition (6.4), there is a section σ of $\Theta(V)^{\otimes d}$ over \mathfrak{M} such that $\psi^*(\sigma) = \tilde{\sigma}$. Let $X_{\mathfrak{w}}$ be a Schubert variety. Since $X_{\mathfrak{w}}$ is irreducible and normal (cf. [Ku₁, Theorem 2.16]), by Proposition (7.3), $\tilde{\sigma}|_{X_{\mathfrak{w}}^s}$ extends to a (unique) section $\bar{\sigma}_{\mathfrak{w}}$ of $(\text{Det } \mathcal{U}(V))^{\otimes d}$ on $X_{\mathfrak{w}}$. By the uniqueness of extensions, it is clear that for any $\mathfrak{v} \leq \mathfrak{w}$, $\bar{\sigma}_{\mathfrak{w}}|_{X_{\mathfrak{v}}^s} = \bar{\sigma}_{\mathfrak{v}}$. In particular, the sections $\{\bar{\sigma}_{\mathfrak{w}}\}$ give rise to a section $\bar{\sigma}$ of $(\text{Det } \mathcal{U}(V))^{\otimes d}$ on the whole of X , extending the section $\tilde{\sigma}$. This completes the proof of the proposition. \square

Appendix A. Affine Lie algebras - Basic definitions and representations.

The basic reference for this section is Kac's book [K].

(A.1) *Definition.* Let \mathfrak{g} be a finite dimensional complex simple Lie algebra. (We also fix a Borel subalgebra \mathfrak{b} and a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{b}$ of \mathfrak{g} .) The associated *affine Kac-Moody Lie algebra* is by definition the space

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} := \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}K ,$$

together with the Lie bracket (for $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $P, Q \in \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$)

$$[X \otimes P, Y \otimes Q] = [X, Y] \otimes PQ + (\langle X, Y \rangle \operatorname{Res}_{t=0}(\frac{dP}{dt}Q))K, \text{ and}$$

$$[\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, K] = 0 ,$$

where \langle, \rangle is the Killing form on \mathfrak{g} , normalized so that $\langle \theta, \theta \rangle = 2$ for the highest root θ of \mathfrak{g} . We also define a certain completion $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\text{comp}}$ of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ by

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\text{comp}} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}((t)) \oplus \mathbb{C}K ,$$

where $\mathbb{C}((t))$ is the field of Laurent power series. Then $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\text{comp}}$ is a Lie algebra under the same bracket as above.

The Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is a Lie subalgebra of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ sitting as $\mathfrak{g} \otimes t^0$. The Lie algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ admits a distinguished '*parabolic*' subalgebra

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{p}} := \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t] \oplus \mathbb{C}K.$$

We also define its '*nil-radical*' $\tilde{\mathfrak{u}}$ (which is an ideal of $\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}$) by

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{u}} := \mathfrak{g} \otimes t\mathbb{C}[t],$$

and '*Levi component*' (which is a Lie subalgebra of $\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}$)

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}^{\circ} := \mathfrak{g} \otimes t^0 \oplus \mathbb{C}K.$$

Clearly (as a vector space)

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{p}} = \tilde{\mathfrak{u}} \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{p}}^{\circ}.$$

Define the *loop algebra* $L(\mathfrak{g}) := \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$ with Lie bracket $[X \otimes P, Y \otimes Q] = [X, Y] \otimes PQ$, for $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $P, Q \in \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$. Then $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ can be viewed as a one-dimensional central extension of $L(\mathfrak{g})$:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}K \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \xrightarrow{\pi} L(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow 0,$$

where the Lie algebra homomorphism π is defined by $\pi(X \otimes P) = X \otimes P$ and $\pi(K) = 0$.

(A.2) Irreducible representations of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. Fix an irreducible (finite dimensional) representation V of \mathfrak{g} and a number $\ell \in \mathbb{C}$ (to be called the *central charge*). Then we define the associated *generalized Verma module* for $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ as

$$M(V, \ell) = U(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes_{U(\tilde{\mathfrak{p}})} I_\ell(V),$$

where the $\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module $I_\ell(V)$ has the underlying vector space same as V , on which $\tilde{\mathfrak{u}}$ acts trivially, the central element K acts via the scalar ℓ and the action of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes t^0$ is via the \mathfrak{g} -module structure on V .

In the case when $\ell \neq -h$ (where h is the dual Coxeter number of \mathfrak{g} , cf. Remark 5.3), $M(V, \ell)$ has a unique irreducible quotient denoted $L(V, \ell)$ (cf. [KL₂, §2.8]). We assume in the sequel that $\ell \neq -h$. It is easy to see that the $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module structure on $M(V, \ell)$ (and also $L(V, \ell)$) extends to a $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\text{comp}}$ -module structure.

(A.3) Remark. As can be easily seen, any vector $v \in M(V, \ell)$ is contained in a finite dimensional \mathfrak{g} -submodule of $M(V, \ell)$. In particular, the same property holds for any vector in $L(V, \ell)$.

(A.4) Definition. Consider the Lie subalgebra \mathfrak{r}° of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ spanned by $\{Y_\theta \otimes t, \theta^\vee \otimes 1, X_\theta \otimes t^{-1}\}$, where Y_θ (resp. X_θ) is a non-zero root vector of \mathfrak{g} corresponding to the root $-\theta$ (resp. θ) and the coroot θ^\vee is to be thought of as an element of \mathfrak{h} . Then the Lie algebra \mathfrak{r}° is isomorphic with $sl(2)$ (cf. proof of Theorem 5.4).

A $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module W is said to be *integrable* if every vector $v \in W$ is contained in a finite dimensional \mathfrak{g} -submodule of W and also v is contained in a finite dimensional \mathfrak{r}° -submodule of W .

The following lemma follows as a consequence of $sl(2)$ -theory.

(A.5) Lemma. *The irreducible module $L(V, \ell)$ (as in § A.2) is integrable if and only if ℓ is an integer and $\ell \geq \lambda, \theta^\vee >$, where λ is the highest weight of V .*

Appendix B. An introduction to Ind-varieties.

In this section we take k to be any algebraically closed field of arbitrary char.

(B.1) Definition [Sa]. By an *ind-variety* over k we mean a set X together with a filtration

$$X_0 \subseteq X_1 \subseteq X_2 \subseteq \dots,$$

such that

- (1) $\bigcup_{n \geq 0} X_n = X$, and
- (2) Each X_n is a (finite dimensional) variety over k such that the inclusion $X_n \hookrightarrow X_{n+1}$ is a closed immersion.

An ind-variety X is said to be *projective* (resp. *affine*) if each X_n is projective (resp. affine). For an ind-variety X , we define its *ring of regular functions* $k[X]$ by $k[X] = \text{Inv. lt. } k[X_n]_{n \rightarrow \infty}$, where $k[X_n]$ is the ring of regular functions on X_n . Putting the discrete topology on each $k[X_n]$ and taking the inverse limit topology on $k[X]$, we obtain $k[X]$ as a topological ring.

Let X and Y be two ind-varieties with filtrations X_n and Y_n respectively. A map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be a *morphism* if for every $n \geq 0$, there exists a number $m(n) \geq 0$ such that $f(X_n) \subseteq Y_{m(n)}$ and moreover $f|_{X_n} : X_n \rightarrow Y_{m(n)}$ is a morphism. Clearly, a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ (between two ind-varieties) induces a continuous k -algebra homomorphism $f^* : k[Y] \rightarrow k[X]$.

A morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be an *isomorphism* if f is bijective and $f^{-1} : Y \rightarrow X$ also is a morphism. Two ind-variety structures on the same set X are said to be *equivalent* if the identity map $I : X \rightarrow X$ is an isomorphism of ind-varieties. A morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is called a *closed immersion* if for every $n \geq 0$, $f|_{X_n} : X_n \rightarrow Y_{m(n)}$ is a closed immersion.

We define the *Zariski topology* on an ind-variety X by declaring a set $U \subseteq X$ open if and only if $U \cap X_n$ is Zariski-open in X_n for each n .

(B.2) Exercises. (a) For an ind-variety X , a subset $Z \subseteq X$ is closed (under the Zariski topology) if and only if $Z \cap X_n$ is closed in X_n for each n .

(b) A morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ between two ind-varieties is continuous.

(c) Any continuous map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ between two ind-varieties satisfies that for each n , there exists a $m(n)$ such that $f(X_n) \subseteq Y_{m(n)}$.

(B.3) Examples. (1) Any (finite dimensional) variety X is of course canonically an ind-variety, where we take each $X_n = X$.

(2) If X and Y are ind-varieties then $X \times Y$ is canonically an ind-variety, where we define the filtration by

$$(X \times Y)_n = X_n \times Y_n.$$

(3) $\mathbf{A}^\infty := \{(a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots) : \text{all but finitely many } a_i \text{'s are zero and each } a_i \in k\}$ is an ind-variety under the filtration : $\mathbf{A}^1 \subset \mathbf{A}^2 \subset \mathbf{A}^3 \subset \dots$, where $\mathbf{A}^n \subset \mathbf{A}^\infty$ is the set of all the sequences with $a_{n+1} = a_{n+2} = \dots = 0$, which of course is the n -dimensional affine space.

(4) Any vector space V of countable dimension over k is canonically an affine ind-variety: Take a basis $\{e_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ of V . This gives rise to a k -linear isomorphism $\mathbf{A}^\infty \xrightarrow{\sim} V$ (taking $(a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots) \mapsto \sum a_i e_i$). By transporting the ind-variety structure from \mathbf{A}^∞ via this isomorphism, we get an (affine) ind-variety structure on V . It is easy to see that a different choice of basis of V gives an equivalent ind-variety structure on V .

Similarly, the space $\mathbf{P}(V)$ of lines in V is canonically a projective ind-variety.

(B.4) Definitions. (a) Let X be an ind-variety with the filtration (X_n) . For any $x \in X$, define the *Zariski tangent space* $T_x(X)$ of X at x by

$$T_x(X) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_x(X_n),$$

where $T_x(X_n)$ is the Zariski tangent space of X_n at x . (Observe that $x \in X_n$ for all large enough n .)

A morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ clearly induces a linear map $(df)_x : T_x(X) \rightarrow T_{f(x)}(Y)$ (for any $x \in X$), called the *derivative* of f at x .

(b) An ind-variety H is said to be an *ind-algebraic group* (for short an *ind-group*), if the underlying set H is a group such that the map $H \times H \rightarrow H$, taking $(x, y) \mapsto xy^{-1}$, is a morphism. In this article, we only have occasion to consider affine ind-groups, i.e., ind-algebraic groups H such that H is an affine ind-variety. *So this will be our tacit assumption on ind-groups.*

By a *group morphism* between two ind-groups H and K , we mean a group homomorphism $f : H \rightarrow K$ such that f is also a morphism of ind-varieties.

An abstract representation of the ind-group H in a countable dimensional k -vector space V is said to be *algebraic* if the map $H \times V \rightarrow V$, defined by $(h, v) \mapsto h.v$, is a morphism.

For an ind-group H and ind-variety Y , we say that Y is an *H -variety* if the group H acts on Y such that the action $H \times Y \rightarrow Y$ is a morphism of ind-varieties.

(B.5) Proposition [Sa]. *For an ind-group H , the Zariski tangent space $T_e(H)$ at the identity element e is endowed with a natural Lie algebra structure (described in the proof). We denote this Lie algebra by $\text{Lie } H$.*

Moreover, if $\alpha : H \rightarrow K$ is a group morphism between two ind-groups, then the derivative $(d\alpha)_e : \text{Lie } H \rightarrow \text{Lie } K$ is a Lie algebra homomorphism.

Proof. Denote $k[H]$ by A . The multiplication map $\mu = \mu_H : H \times H \rightarrow H$, taking $(h_1, h_2) \mapsto h_1 h_2$, induces a continuous homomorphism $\mu^* : A \rightarrow k[H \times H]$. There is a canonical inclusion $A \otimes_k A \hookrightarrow k[H \times H]$, and it is easy to see that the image is dense in $k[H \times H]$. So we denote $k[H \times H]$ by $A \hat{\otimes} A$, and view it as a certain completion of $A \otimes A$. Let $\epsilon : A \rightarrow k$ be the homomorphism, taking $f \mapsto f(e)$. Let $\mathfrak{m} = \ker \epsilon$. Then for any $f \in \mathfrak{m}$

$$(1) \quad \mu^* f - f \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes f \in \mathfrak{m} \hat{\otimes} \mathfrak{m},$$

where $\mathfrak{m} \hat{\otimes} \mathfrak{m}$ denotes the closure of $\mathfrak{m} \otimes \mathfrak{m}$ in $A \hat{\otimes} A$.

A continuous derivation $D : A \rightarrow A$ is said to be *invariant* if $L_h^* \circ D = D \circ L_h^*$, for all $h \in H$, where $L_h^* : A \rightarrow A$ is the algebra homomorphism induced from the left translation map $L_h : H \rightarrow H$ taking $g \mapsto hg$. The set $\text{Der } A$ of continuous invariant derivations of A is a Lie algebra under

$$[D_1, D_2] := D_1 \circ D_2 - D_2 \circ D_1, \quad D_1, D_2 \in \text{Der } A.$$

Define the map $\eta : T_e(H) \rightarrow \text{Der } A$ as follows. Take $v \in T_e(H)$. Then $v \in T_e(H_n)$ for some n (where H_n is the filtration of H). By definition, $T_e(H_n) = \text{Hom}_k(\mathfrak{m}_n/\mathfrak{m}_n^2, k)$, where $\mathfrak{m}_n := \{f \in k[H_n] : f(e) = 0\}$ is the maximal ideal of $k[H_n]$ corresponding to the point e . In particular, v gives rise to a k -linear map $\hat{v} : \mathfrak{m}_n \rightarrow k$. Let $\bar{v} : A \rightarrow k$ be the continuous linear map defined by $\bar{v}(1) = 0$, and $\bar{v}|_{\mathfrak{m}} = \hat{v} \circ \pi_n$, where $\pi_n : \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_n$ is the canonical restriction map. Now the map $\eta(v) : A \rightarrow A$ is defined by

$$\eta(v) = (I \hat{\otimes} \bar{v}) \circ \mu^*,$$

where $I : A \rightarrow A$ is the identity map and $I \hat{\otimes} \bar{v} : A \hat{\otimes} A \rightarrow A \hat{\otimes} k = A$ is the completion of the map $I \otimes \bar{v}$. By using (1), we get that $\eta(v)$ is a derivation. Further, it can be seen that $\eta(v)$ is invariant and hence $\eta(v) \in \text{Der } A$.

Conversely, we define a map $\xi : \text{Der } A \rightarrow T_e(H)$ as follows. Take $D \in \text{Der } A$ and consider $\epsilon \circ D|_{\mathfrak{m}} : \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow k$. Since D is continuous, there exists some n such that $\epsilon \circ D|_{\mathfrak{m}}$ factors through \mathfrak{m}_n , giving rise to a map (denoted) $\beta_D : \mathfrak{m}_n \rightarrow k$. Since D is a derivation, $\beta_D(\mathfrak{m}_n^2) = 0$ and hence β_D gives rise to an element $\hat{\beta}_D \in T_e(H_n)$. Now set $\xi(D) = \hat{\beta}_D$.

It can be easily seen that $\xi \circ \eta$ and $\eta \circ \xi$ both are the identity maps, in particular, η and ξ are isomorphisms. We now transport the Lie algebra structure from $\text{Der } A$ to $T_e(H)$ (via η).

Finally, we prove that for any group morphism $\alpha : H \rightarrow K$, the derivative $\dot{\alpha} = (d\alpha)_e : \text{Lie } H \rightarrow \text{Lie } K$ is a Lie algebra homomorphism:

To prove this, it suffices to show that the following diagram is commutative (for any $v \in \text{Lie } H$):

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 k[K] & \xrightarrow{\alpha^*} & k[H] \\
 \eta(\dot{\alpha}v) \downarrow & & \eta(v) \downarrow \\
 k[K] & \xrightarrow{\alpha^*} & k[H].
 \end{array}
 \quad (*)$$

Take $f \in \mathfrak{m}_K$, where $\mathfrak{m}_K \subset k[K]$ is the maximal ideal corresponding to the point e . Then, by the definition of the map η ,

$$(2) \quad \eta(v)(\alpha^* f) = (I \hat{\otimes} \bar{v}) \mu_H^*(\alpha^* f), \quad \text{and}$$

$$(3) \quad \eta(\dot{\alpha}v)f = (I \hat{\otimes} (\bar{\dot{\alpha}v})) \mu_K^*(f).$$

Further,

$$(4) \quad \alpha^* \eta(\dot{\alpha}v)f = (\alpha^* \hat{\otimes} (\bar{\dot{\alpha}v})) \mu_K^*(f), \quad \text{whereas}$$

$$(5) \quad \overline{\dot{\alpha}v} = \bar{v} \circ \alpha^*, \quad \text{and}$$

$$(6) \quad (\alpha^* \hat{\otimes} \alpha^*) \circ \mu_K^* = \mu_H^* \circ \alpha^*.$$

Now combining (2)–(6), we get the commutativity of the diagram (*). This proves the proposition. \square

(B.6) Lemma. *An algebraic representation θ of an ind-group H in a (countable dimensional) vector space V induces (on ‘differentiation’ as defined below) a representation $d\theta$ of the Lie algebra $\text{Lie } (H)$ on the same space V .*

Proof. Fix $v \in V$ and define the map $\theta_v : H \rightarrow V$ by $h \mapsto hv$. Consider the derivative $(d\theta_v)_e : T_e(H) = \text{Lie } (H) \rightarrow T_v(V) \approx V$. Then the representation $d\theta : \text{Lie } (H) \times V \rightarrow V$ is defined as $(x, v) \mapsto (d\theta_v)_e(x)$. We claim that $d\theta$ is a Lie algebra representation:

We abbreviate $d\theta(x, v)$ by $x.v$. For any $v \in V$, define the evaluation map $e(v) : k[V] \rightarrow k$ by $e(v)f = f(v)$, for $f \in k[V]$. Fix any $v_0 \in V$. Then $v \in T_{v_0}(V) \approx V$ induces a k -linear map $\bar{v} : k[V] \rightarrow k$, such that $\bar{v}(1) = 0$ (cf. the proof of Proposition B.5). If $v, w \in T_{v_0}(V)$ are such that $\bar{v}|_{V^*} = \bar{w}|_{V^*}$, then $\bar{v} = \bar{w}$, where $V^* \subset k[V]$ denotes the full vector space dual of V . Moreover, as is easy to see,

$$(1) \quad \bar{v}|_{V^*} = e(v)|_{V^*}.$$

By definition (for any $v \in V$ and $x \in T_e(H)$)

$$(2) \quad \overline{x \cdot v} = (\bar{x} \hat{\otimes} e(v)) \circ \theta^*,$$

where $\theta : H \times V \rightarrow V$ is the representation. Since θ is linear in the V -variable,

$$(3) \quad \theta^*(V^*) \subset k[H] \hat{\otimes} V^*,$$

where $k[H] \hat{\otimes} V^*$ is the closure of $k[H] \otimes V^*$ in $k[H] \hat{\otimes} k[V] := k[H \times V]$.

Consider the following commutative diagram (for any $x, y \in T_e(H)$ and $v \in V$), where $A = k[H]$, and I stands for the identity maps.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & k[V] & \\
 & \downarrow \theta^* & \\
 & A \hat{\otimes} k[V] & \\
 \mu^* \hat{\otimes} I \swarrow & & \searrow I \hat{\otimes} \theta^* \\
 (A \hat{\otimes} A) \hat{\otimes} k[V] & \approx & A \hat{\otimes} (A \hat{\otimes} k[V]) \\
 (\bar{x} \hat{\otimes} \bar{y}) \hat{\otimes} e(v) \searrow & & \swarrow \bar{x} \hat{\otimes} (\bar{y} \hat{\otimes} e(v)) \\
 k \otimes k \otimes k & = & k.
 \end{array}$$

The commutativity of the above diagram and (1)–(3) give the following (for any $x, y \in T_e(H)$ and $v \in V$).

$$(4) \quad e(x \cdot (y \cdot v))|_{V^*} = (((\bar{x} \hat{\otimes} \bar{y}) \hat{\otimes} e(v)) \circ (\mu^* \hat{\otimes} I) \circ \theta^*)|_{V^*}.$$

By (4) we get

$$(5) \quad (e(x \cdot (y \cdot v)) - e(y \cdot (x \cdot v)))|_{V^*} = (((\bar{x} \hat{\otimes} \bar{y} - \bar{y} \hat{\otimes} \bar{x}) \hat{\otimes} e(v)) \circ (\mu^* \hat{\otimes} I) \circ \theta^*)|_{V^*}.$$

But, as can be easily seen from the definition of the bracket in $T_e(H)$ (cf. proof of Proposition B.5),

$$(6) \quad (\bar{x} \hat{\otimes} \bar{y} - \bar{y} \hat{\otimes} \bar{x}) \circ \mu^* = \overline{[x, y]}.$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned}
 e(x \cdot (y \cdot v) - y \cdot (x \cdot v))|_{V^*} &= ((\overline{[x, y]} \hat{\otimes} e(v)) \circ \theta^*)|_{V^*}, \\
 &= (\overline{[x, y] \cdot v})|_{V^*}, \text{ by (2)} \\
 &= e([x, y] \cdot v)|_{V^*}, \text{ by (1)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

This gives that $x \cdot (y \cdot v) - y \cdot (x \cdot v) = [x, y] \cdot v$, proving the lemma. \square

(B.7) Definition. For an ind-variety Y , by an *algebraic vector bundle of rank r* over Y , we mean an ind-variety E together with a morphism $\theta : E \rightarrow Y$ such that (for any n) $E_n \rightarrow Y_n$ is an algebraic vector bundle of rank r over the (finite dimensional) variety Y_n , where $\{Y_n\}$ is the filtration of Y giving the ind-variety structure and $E_n := \theta^{-1}(Y_n)$. If $r = 1$, we call E an *algebraic line bundle* over Y .

Let E and F be two algebraic vector bundles over Y . Then a morphism (of ind-varieties) $\varphi : E \rightarrow F$ is called a *bundle morphism* if the following diagram is commutative :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & F \\ \searrow & & \swarrow \\ & Y & \end{array}$$

and moreover $\varphi|_{E_n} : E_n \rightarrow F_n$ is a bundle morphism for all n . In particular, we have the notion of isomorphism of vector bundles over Y .

We define $\text{Pic } Y$ as the set of isomorphism classes of algebraic line bundles on Y . It is clearly an abelian group under the tensor product of line bundles.

We similarly define the notion of principal H -bundles on an ind-variety (for a finite dimensional algebraic group H).

For an ind-group H and H -variety Y (cf. §B.4), an algebraic vector bundle $\theta : E \rightarrow Y$ is said to be an *H -equivariant vector bundle* if the ind-variety E also is an H -variety, such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H \times E & \longrightarrow & E \\ \downarrow I \times \theta & & \downarrow \theta \\ H \times Y & \longrightarrow & Y, \end{array}$$

and moreover for any $y \in Y$ and $h \in H$ the fiber map $h \times \theta^{-1}y \rightarrow \theta^{-1}(hy)$ is linear.

Appendix C. Affine Kac-Moody groups and their flag varieties.

Let G be a connected simply-connected simple algebraic group and let $\mathcal{G} := G(\mathbb{C}((t)))$, $\mathcal{P} := G(\mathbb{C}[[t]])$. We fix a Borel subgroup $B \subset G$ and a maximal torus $T \subset B$, and define the *standard Borel subgroup* \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{G} as $ev_0^{-1}(B)$, where $ev_0 : \mathcal{P} = G(\mathbb{C}[[t]]) \rightarrow G$ is the group homomorphism induced from the \mathbb{C} -algebra homomorphism $\mathbb{C}[[t]] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, taking $t \mapsto 0$.

Let $N(T)$ be the normalizer of T in G and consider the set $\text{Mor}(\mathbb{C}^*, N(T))$ of all the regular maps $f : \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow N(T)$, which is a group under pointwise multiplication. Then T can be thought of as a (normal) subgroup of $\text{Mor}(\mathbb{C}^*, N(T))$ consisting of constant loops in T . Then the *affine Weyl group* \widetilde{W} of G is by definition $\widetilde{W} = \text{Mor}(\mathbb{C}^*, N(T))/T$. Clearly the (finite) Weyl group $W := N(T)/T$ of G is a subgroup of \widetilde{W} .

(C.1) Bruhat Decomposition. We can view $\text{Mor}(\mathbb{C}^*, N(T))$ as a subgroup of \mathcal{G} . In particular, any element $w \in \text{Mor}(\mathbb{C}^*, N(T))$ can be thought of as an element

(denoted by the same symbol) w of \mathcal{G} . The *generalized flag variety* $X := \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P}$ has the following *Bruhat decomposition*:

$$(1) \quad X = \bigcup_{\mathfrak{w} \in \widetilde{W}/W} B\mathfrak{w}\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{P},$$

where the notation $B\mathfrak{w}\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{P}$ means $Bw\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{P}$ for any choice of the coset representative w of \mathfrak{w} . (The set $B\mathfrak{w}\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{P}$ is independent of the choice.) Moreover, the union in (1) is disjoint.

The affine Weyl group \widetilde{W} is a Coxeter group and hence has a Bruhat (or Chevalley) partial order \leq . This induces a partial order (again denoted by) \leq in \widetilde{W}/W defined by

$$u := u \bmod W \leq v \quad (\text{for } u, v \in \widetilde{W})$$

if and only if there exists a $w \in W$ such that

$$u \leq vw.$$

We define the *generalized Schubert variety* $X_{\mathfrak{w}}$ (for any $\mathfrak{w} \in \widetilde{W}/W$) by

$$(2) \quad X_{\mathfrak{w}} := \bigcup_{\mathfrak{v} \leq \mathfrak{w}} B\mathfrak{v}\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{P}.$$

Then clearly $X_{\mathfrak{v}} \subseteq X_{\mathfrak{w}}$ if and only if $\mathfrak{v} \leq \mathfrak{w}$.

(C.2) Definition. Let \mathfrak{g} be the Lie algebra of G . We define the *adjoint representation* Ad of \mathcal{G} in $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\text{comp}}$ as follows (cf. [PS, Proposition 4.3.2]):

Embed $G \hookrightarrow SL_N$ and define, for any $g \in \mathcal{G}$, $Y \in \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}((t))$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$

$$\text{Ad}(g)(Y + zK) = gYg^{-1} + \left(z - \text{Res}_{t=0} \left\langle g^{-1} \frac{dg}{dt}, Y \right\rangle_t \right) K,$$

where \langle, \rangle_t is the $\mathbb{C}((t))$ -bilinear extension of the normalized Killing form \langle, \rangle on \mathfrak{g} (cf. §A.1).

The following lemma is well known, but we give a proof for completeness. Even though we do not need, a more general lemma (where the base field \mathbb{C} is replaced by any \mathbb{C} -algebra) for $G = SL_N$ is proved by Faltings (cf. [BL, Appendix to Sect. 7]).

(C.3) Lemma. *Let $\pi : \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \text{End } W$ be an integrable highest weight representation of $\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ (in particular $W \simeq L(V, \ell)$ as in Lemma A.5). Then there exists a unique group homomorphism $\hat{\pi} : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow PGL(W)$, such that the following holds for any $g \in \mathcal{G}$ and $X \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\text{comp}}$:*

$$(*) \quad \hat{\pi}(g)\hat{\pi}(X)\hat{\pi}(g)^{-1} = \hat{\pi}(\text{Ad } g X),$$

where $\bar{\pi} : \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\text{comp}} \rightarrow \text{End } W$ is the extension of π (cf. §A.2), $PGL(W) := GL(W)/\mathbb{C}^*$, and $GL(W)$ is the group of all the linear automorphisms of W . (We view $\hat{\pi}(g)\bar{\pi}(X)\hat{\pi}(g)^{-1}$ as an element of $\text{End } W$ by taking any lift of $\hat{\pi}(g)$ in $GL(W)$.)

Proof. Fix $g \in \mathcal{G}$. We first prove that if there exists an element $\theta \in PGL(W)$ such that $\theta\bar{\pi}(X)\theta^{-1} = \bar{\pi}(\text{Ad } g X)$, for all $X \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\text{comp}}$, then θ is unique:

For, if possible, let θ_1 be another such element. Then

$$(\theta_1^{-1}\theta)\bar{\pi}(X)(\theta_1^{-1}\theta)^{-1} = \bar{\pi}(X), \quad \text{for all } X \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\text{comp}}.$$

But W being irreducible, $(\theta_1^{-1}\theta) = 1$ (in $PGL(W)$). This proves the uniqueness assertion.

Define the set

$$S = \{g \in \mathcal{G} : \hat{\pi}(g) \text{ is defined satisfying } (*) \text{ for all } X \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\text{comp}}\}.$$

By uniqueness, it is clear that S is a subgroup of \mathcal{G} and moreover the map $\hat{\pi} : S \rightarrow PGL(W)$ is a group homomorphism. We next prove that $S = \mathcal{G}$:

For any root vector $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $p \in \mathbb{C}(t)$, define

$$(1) \quad \hat{\pi}(\exp(x \otimes p)) = \exp(\bar{\pi}(x \otimes p)).$$

(Since x is a root vector and W is integrable, $\bar{\pi}(x \otimes p) \in \text{End } W$ is locally nilpotent, in particular, $\exp(\bar{\pi}(x \otimes p))$ is well defined.) It is easy to see that $\hat{\pi}(\exp(x \otimes p))$, as defined by (1), satisfies $(*)$ for every $x \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\text{comp}}$. Further, by a result of Steinberg, the group generated by the elements $\exp(\bar{\pi}(x \otimes p))$ is the whole group \mathcal{G} . This proves the lemma. \square

(C.4) Central Extension. Recall the definition of the integrable highest weight (irreducible) $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module $W_o = L(\mathbb{C}, 1)$ with central charge 1 from Lemma (A.5), where \mathbb{C} is the trivial one-dimensional representation of \mathfrak{g} . By Lemma (C.3), there exists a group homomorphism $\hat{\pi} : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow PGL(W_o)$. We define the group $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ as the pull-back $\hat{\pi}^*(GL(W_o))$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\mathcal{G}} & \longrightarrow & GL(W_o) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{G} & \xrightarrow{\hat{\pi}} & PGL(W_o). \end{array}$$

Then $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ is a central extension:

$$(1) \quad 1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{G}} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{G} \rightarrow 1.$$

By the very definition of $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$, the $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module W_o becomes a $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ -module. In particular, the tensor product $W_o^{\otimes m}$ (for $m \geq 0$) acquires a canonical $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ -module structure. The integrable $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module $L(\mathbb{C}, m)$ with central charge $m \geq 0$ occurs uniquely as a $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ -submodule of $W_o^{\otimes m}$, and it is easy to see (using (1) of the proof of Lemma C.3) that $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ keeps $L(\mathbb{C}, m) \subset W_o^{\otimes m}$ stable. In particular, $L(\mathbb{C}, m)$ acquires a canonical $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ -module structure.

(C.5) Realizing X as an ind-variety via representation theory. Define the filtration $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ of X as follows:

$$X_n := \cup X_{\mathfrak{w}},$$

where the union is taken over those $\mathfrak{w} = w \bmod W \in \widetilde{W}/W$ such that $\ell(w) \leq n$.

Fix any integer $\ell > 0$ and consider the irreducible $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module $L(\mathbb{C}, \ell)$. From the Bruhat decomposition, it is easy to see that the map $i = i_\ell : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(L(\mathbb{C}, \ell))$, $g\mathcal{P} \mapsto [gv_0]$ (where v_0 is the highest weight vector of $L(\mathbb{C}, \ell)$ and $\mathbb{P}(L(\mathbb{C}, \ell))$ denotes the space of lines in $L(\mathbb{C}, \ell)$) is injective. As proved in [Sl, §2.4], for any n , there exists a finite dimensional subspace $W_n \subset L(\mathbb{C}, \ell)$ such that $i(X_n) \subset \mathbb{P}(W_n)$ and moreover $i(X_n)$ is Zariski-closed in $\mathbb{P}(W_n)$. We endow X_n with the projective (reduced) variety structure so that $i|_{X_n}$ is a closed immersion. This makes X into a projective ind-variety. Further, the ind-variety structure does not depend upon the particular choice of $\ell > 0$ (cf. [Sl, §2.5]). Equipped with this ind-variety structure, we denote X by X_{rep} .

For any $\mathfrak{w} \in \widetilde{W}/W$ the generalized Schubert variety $X_{\mathfrak{w}}$ is an irreducible Zariski-closed subset of X_{rep} . We endow $X_{\mathfrak{w}}$ with the projective (reduced) variety structure so that $X_{\mathfrak{w}} \hookrightarrow X$ is a closed immersion. Then $B\mathfrak{w}\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{P} \subset X_{\mathfrak{w}}$ is an open subset, which is biregular isomorphic with the affine space $\mathbb{C}^{\ell(\mathfrak{w})}$, where $\ell(\mathfrak{w})$ is the length of the smallest element in the coset \mathfrak{w} .

Since X_n is a variety, we can equip X_n with the (Hausdorff) analytic topology and put the inductive limit topology on X . The decomposition (1) of §(C.1) provides a cellular decomposition of X , making it into a CW complex.

Following [Sl, §2.7], we define the homogeneous line bundles on X_{rep} :

(C.6) Definition. For any countable dimensional vector space V , we first define the *tautological line bundle* \mathcal{L}_V on $\mathbb{P}(V)$ as follows: Consider the subset

$$\mathcal{L}_V = \{(x, v) \in \mathbb{P}(V) \times V : v \in x\}.$$

Then \mathcal{L}_V is a Zariski-closed subset of the ind-variety $\mathbb{P}(V) \times V$. We equip \mathcal{L}_V with the ind-variety structure so that $\mathcal{L}_V \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(V) \times V$ is a closed immersion. Now the projection on the first factor $\mathcal{L}_V \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V)$ realizes \mathcal{L}_V as an algebraic line bundle on $\mathbb{P}(V)$.

For any $\ell > 0$, define the algebraic line bundle $\mathcal{L}(\ell\chi_0)$ on X as the pull-back of the dual \mathcal{L}^* of the tautological line bundle $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{L(\mathbb{C}, \ell)}$ on $\mathbb{P}(L(\mathbb{C}, \ell))$ via the embedding $i_\ell : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(L(\mathbb{C}, \ell))$ of the above section. For any integer $\ell < 0$, we define the line bundle $\mathcal{L}(\ell\chi_0)$ as the dual $\mathcal{L}(-\ell\chi_0)^*$ and for $\ell = 0$, $\mathcal{L}(\ell\chi_0)$ is defined to be the trivial line bundle. It is easy to see (cf. [Sl, §2.7]) that the line bundle $\mathcal{L}(\ell\chi_0)$ is isomorphic with the line bundle $\mathcal{L}(\chi_0)^{\otimes \ell}$.

The group \mathcal{G} acts (set theoretically) on $X = \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P}$ via $g(h\mathcal{P}) = gh\mathcal{P}$, for $g, h \in \mathcal{G}$. We denote the action of $g \in \mathcal{G}$ on X by L_g . This action lifts to an action of the group $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ on the line bundle $\mathcal{L}(\ell\chi_0)$ (for $\ell < 0$) via

$$g(x, v) = (L_{\pi(g)}x, gv), \quad \text{for any } g \in \tilde{\mathcal{G}}, x \in X \text{ and } v \in i_\ell(x),$$

where $\pi : \tilde{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is the canonical map. Observe that for any fixed $g \in \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$, the action of g on $\mathcal{L}(\ell_{\chi_o})$ is an algebraic automorphism of the algebraic line bundle $\mathcal{L}(\ell_{\chi_o})$ inducing the automorphism $L_{\pi(g)}$ on the base X .

Set $\mathcal{L}_o := \mathcal{L}(-\chi_o)$, and define the *Mumford group* (cf. [PS, Remark (i), page 115]) $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{L}_o) = \{(g, \varphi) : g \in \mathcal{G} \text{ and } \varphi \text{ is an algebraic automorphism of the line bundle } \mathcal{L}_o \text{ inducing the map } L_g \text{ on the base}\}$. Then $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{L}_o)$ is a group under

$$(g_1, \varphi_1)(g_2, \varphi_2) = (g_1 g_2, \varphi_1 \varphi_2).$$

The projection on the first factor gives a group homomorphism $\delta : \text{Aut}(\mathcal{L}_o) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$. Since $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ acts on \mathcal{L}_o , there is a canonical group homomorphism $\xi : \tilde{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{L}_o)$ making the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\mathcal{G}} & \xrightarrow{\xi} & \text{Aut}(\mathcal{L}_o) \\ \pi \searrow & & \swarrow \delta \\ & \mathcal{G} & \end{array}$$

Since π is surjective, so is δ . In particular, ξ is an isomorphism.

We also need another ‘lattice’ description of the ind-variety structure on X (cf. [KL, §5]).

(C.7) Realizing X as an ind-variety via lattices (SL_N case). We first consider the case of $G = SL_N$. Denote $V = \mathbb{C}^N$, and $A = \mathbb{C}[[t]]$. For any $n \geq 0$, consider the set \mathcal{F}_n of A -submodules $L \subset V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}((t))$ such that (denoting $V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} A$ by L_o)

$$t^n L_o \subset L \subset t^{-n} L_o, \text{ and } \dim(L/t^n L_o) = nN.$$

Let $V_n := t^{-n} L_o / t^n L_o$ be the complex vector space of dimension $2nN$. Then the multiplication by t induces a nilpotent endomorphism \bar{t}_n of V_n and hence $1 + \bar{t}_n$ is a (unipotent) automorphism of V_n . In particular, $1 + \bar{t}_n$ induces a biregular isomorphism (denoted by the same symbol) of the Grassmannian $\text{Gr}(nN, 2nN)$ of nN -dimensional subspaces of the $2nN$ -dimensional space V_n . Let $\text{Gr}(nN, 2nN)^{1+\bar{t}_n}$ denote its fixed point. Then clearly the map $j_n : \mathcal{F}_n \rightarrow \text{Gr}(nN, 2nN)^{1+\bar{t}_n}$ given by $L \mapsto L/t^n L_o$ is a bijection. We pull the (reduced) subvariety structure of $\text{Gr}(nN, 2nN)^{1+\bar{t}_n}$ via j_n to equip \mathcal{F}_n with a projective variety structure. We next claim that the canonical inclusion $\mathcal{F}_n \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{n+1}$ is a closed immersion:

Consider the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}_n & \xrightarrow{j_n} & \text{Gr}(nN, 2nN)^{1+\bar{t}_n} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \theta_n \\ \mathcal{F}_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{j_{n+1}} & \text{Gr}((n+1)N, 2(n+1)N)^{1+\bar{t}_{n+1}} \end{array}$$

where the map θ_n takes $W \subset t^{-n} L_o / t^n L_o \approx t^{n-1} V \oplus t^{n-2} V \oplus \cdots \oplus t^{-n} V \mapsto t^n V \oplus W$. It is easy to see that θ_n is a closed immersion. This equips $\mathcal{F} = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{F}_n$ with a projective ind-variety structure.

Let $\mathcal{G}^\circ := SL_N(\mathbb{C}((t)))$ and $\mathcal{P}^\circ := SL_N(A)$ and set $X^\circ = \mathcal{G}^\circ / \mathcal{P}^\circ$. By virtue of the following lemma, the map $\beta : X^\circ \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ (defined below) is a bijection. By

transporting the projective ind-variety structure from \mathcal{F} to X° (via β) we equip X° with a projective ind-variety structure. With this structure we denote X° by X_{lat}° . We also define the filtration \hat{X}_n° of X° by

$$\hat{X}_n^\circ = \beta^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_n).$$

The group \mathcal{G}° acts canonically on $V \otimes \mathbb{C}((t))$.

(C.8) Lemma. *The map $g\mathcal{P}^\circ \mapsto gL_o$ (for $g \in \mathcal{G}^\circ$) induces a bijection $\beta : X^\circ \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$.*

Proof. Fix $g \in \mathcal{G}^\circ$. It is easy to see that there exists some n (depending upon g) such that

$$(1) \quad t^n L_o \subset gL_o \subset t^{-n} L_o.$$

Of course gL_o is t -stable. We next calculate the dimension of $gL_o/t^n L_o$:

By the Bruhat decomposition (1) of §(C.1), it suffices to assume that g is a morphism $\mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow D$ taking $1 \mapsto 1$, where D is the diagonal subgroup of SL_N . Write

$$g(t) = \begin{pmatrix} t^{n_1} & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & t^{n_N} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{C}^* \text{ and } n_i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Then $\sum n_i = 0$. Now

$$\dim(gL_o/t^n L_o) = (n - n_1) + \cdots + (n - n_N) = Nn - \sum n_i = Nn.$$

This proves that $gL_o \in \mathcal{F}_n$.

Conversely, take $L \in \mathcal{F}_n$. Since A is a PID and $t^k L_o$ is A -free of rank N (for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$), we get that L is A -free of rank N . Further, $L \otimes_A \mathbb{C}((t)) \rightarrow V((t))$ is an isomorphism, where $V((t)) := V \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}((t))$. Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_N\}$ be the standard \mathbb{C} -basis of V and take an A -basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_N\}$ of L . Now define the $\mathbb{C}((t))$ -linear automorphism g of $V((t))$ by $ge_i = v_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq N$). We prove that $\det g$ is a unit of A : Write $\det g = t^k u$, where $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and u is a unit of A . Consider the $\mathbb{C}((t))$ -linear automorphism α of $V((t))$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha e_i &= e_i, \text{ for } 1 \leq i < N, \\ &= t^{-k} u^{-1} e_N, \text{ for } i = N. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\det(g\alpha) = 1$, and $t^{n+|k|} L_o \subset (g\alpha)L_o \subset t^{-n-|k|} L_o$.

Hence, by the first part of the proof, we get

$$(2) \quad \dim \left(\frac{g\alpha(L_o)}{t^{n+|k|} L_o} \right) = (n + |k|)N.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} (3) \quad \dim \left(\frac{g\alpha(L_o)}{t^{n+|k|} L_o} \right) &= \dim \frac{gL_o}{t^n L_o} + |k|N + k \\ &= Nn + |k|N + k \quad (\text{since } L \in \mathcal{F}_n). \end{aligned}$$

Now combining (2) and (3), we get $k = 0$, hence $(g\alpha)L_o = gL_o = L$. This proves the surjectivity of β . The injectivity of β is clear. This proves the lemma. \square

(C.9) Realizing X as an ind-variety via lattices (general case). We now come to the case of general (connected, simply-connected, simple) G . Fix an embedding $G \hookrightarrow SL_N$. This gives rise to an embedding

$$X = \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{P} \hookrightarrow X^o = \mathcal{G}^o/\mathcal{P}^o.$$

The filtration \hat{X}_n^o of X^o (given in §C.7) on restriction gives the filtration \hat{X}_n of X , i.e.,

$$\hat{X}_n := \hat{X}_n^o \cap X.$$

In (a subsequent) Lemma (C.11), we prove that \hat{X}_n is a Zariski-closed subset of \hat{X}_n^o . This allows us to put the reduced subvariety structure on \hat{X}_n making X into a projective ind-variety. Equipped with this ind-variety structure, we denote X by X_{lat} .

(C.10) Lemma. *The two filtrations X_n (cf. §C.5) and \hat{X}_n of X are compatible, i.e., for every n there exists $k(n)$ such that*

$$X_n \subseteq \hat{X}_{k(n)} \text{ and } \hat{X}_n \subseteq X_{k(n)}.$$

Proof. Fix a maximal torus $T \subset G$ and an embedding $G \hookrightarrow SL_N$ such that T goes inside the diagonal subgroup D of SL_N . There is a bijection $\widetilde{W}/W \simeq \text{Mor}_1(\mathbb{C}^*, T)$, where Mor_1 denotes the set of morphisms $\mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow T$ such that $1 \mapsto 1$. Since the set $\{\mathfrak{w} \in \widetilde{W}/W : \ell(w) \leq n\}$ is finite, it is easy to see that $X_n \subset \hat{X}_{k(n)}$ (for some large enough $k(n)$).

Conversely (for a fixed n), we want to show that for all but finitely many $\mathfrak{w} \in \widetilde{W}/W$, $(B\mathfrak{w}\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{P}) \cap \hat{X}_n = \phi$: Represent \mathfrak{w} as a morphism $\mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow T \hookrightarrow D$

$$t \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} t^{n_1(\mathfrak{w})} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & t^{n_N(\mathfrak{w})} \end{pmatrix},$$

for some $n_i(\mathfrak{w}) \in \mathbb{Z}$. We first claim that any \mathfrak{w} such that $n_i(\mathfrak{w}) < -n$ (for some i) satisfies $(B\mathfrak{w}\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{P}) \cap \hat{X}_n = \phi$: If for some $b \in B$, $b\mathfrak{w}L_o \in \mathcal{F}_n$, then clearly $\mathfrak{w}L_o \in b^{-1}\mathcal{F}_n = \mathcal{F}_n$, a contradiction to the choice of \mathfrak{w} ! Now observe that the set $\{\mathfrak{w} \in \widetilde{W}/W : n_i(\mathfrak{w}) \geq -n \text{ for all } i\}$ is finite, since $\sum n_i(\mathfrak{w}) = 0$. From this, it follows that $\hat{X}_n \subset X_{k(n)}$, for some large enough $k(n)$. This proves the lemma. \square

(C.11) Lemma. *With the notation as in §(C.9), \hat{X}_n is a Zariski-closed subset of \hat{X}_n^o (for all $n \geq 0$).*

Proof. Fix $\mathfrak{w} \in \widetilde{W}/W$ (\widetilde{W} is the affine Weyl group corresponding to G) and take a coset representative w of \mathfrak{w} of minimal length. Choose any reduced decomposition $w = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_p}$ (where s_j 's are the simple reflections in \widetilde{W}), and consider the Bott-Samelson-Demazure-Hansen variety Z_w defined in [Sl, §2.3]. Let \mathcal{P}_j be the minimal

parabolic subgroup of \mathcal{G} corresponding to the simple reflection s_j . Recall that, set theoretically, $Z_w := \mathcal{P}_{i_1} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{P}_{i_p} / \mathcal{B}^p$, where \mathcal{B}^p acts on $\mathcal{P}_{i_1} \times \mathcal{P}_{i_2} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{P}_{i_p}$ from the right via

$$(x_1, \dots, x_p)(b_1, \dots, b_p) = (x_1 b_1, b_1^{-1} x_2 b_2, \dots, b_{p-1}^{-1} x_p b_p),$$

for $x_j \in \mathcal{P}_{i_j}$ and $b_j \in \mathcal{B}$.

Define the map $\theta_w : Z_w \rightarrow X$ by $\theta_w((x_1, \dots, x_p) \bmod \mathcal{B}^p) = x_1 \dots x_p \mathcal{P}$. Since $\text{Im } \theta_w = X_{\mathfrak{w}}$ (cf. [Sl, §2.4]), by the above lemma, $\text{Im}(i \circ \theta_w) \subset \hat{X}_m^o$ for some m , where $i : X \hookrightarrow X^o$ is the inclusion. By an argument similar to the proof of [Sl, Theorem 2.4], it can be easily seen that $i \circ \theta_w : Z_w \rightarrow X_{\text{lat}}^o$ is a morphism. In particular, Z_w being projective, $i(X_{\mathfrak{w}})$ is closed in \hat{X}_n^o . We now prove that $i(\hat{X}_n)$ is closed in \hat{X}_n^o :

Observe that \hat{X}_n is left \mathcal{B} -stable. Fix any $\mathfrak{w} \in \widetilde{W}/W$ such that $\mathcal{B}\mathfrak{w}\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{P} \subset \hat{X}_n$. Then we claim that $X_{\mathfrak{w}} \subset \hat{X}_n$: There is an open (dense) subset $Y_w \subset Z_w$ such that $\theta_w(Y_w) = \mathcal{B}\mathfrak{w}\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{P}$. Hence, considering the morphism $i \circ \theta_w : Z_w \rightarrow X^o$, we see that $i \circ \theta_w(Z_w) \subset \hat{X}_n^o$ (since \hat{X}_n^o is projective). In particular, $X_{\mathfrak{w}} \subset \hat{X}_n$ and thus \hat{X}_n is a finite union (by Lemma C.10) of Schubert varieties $X_{\mathfrak{w}}$. Now since $i(X_{\mathfrak{w}})$ is closed in \hat{X}_n^o , so is $i(\hat{X}_n)$. This proves the lemma. \square

(C.12) Proposition. *The identity map $X_{\text{rep}} \rightarrow X_{\text{lat}}$ is an isomorphism of ind-varieties.*

Proof. Embed $G \hookrightarrow \text{SL}(N)$ as in §(C.9) and follow the same notation as in §§(C.7) and (C.9). By definition, $X_{\text{lat}} \hookrightarrow X_{\text{lat}}^o$ is a closed immersion. Similarly, we claim that $X_{\text{rep}} \hookrightarrow X_{\text{rep}}^o$ is a closed immersion:

Take the integrable highest weight module $L = L(\mathbb{C}, \ell)$ for $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^o$ (for any fixed integer $\ell > 0$, where $\mathfrak{g}^o = \mathfrak{sl}_N$), and let $W \subseteq L$ be the (integrable highest weight) $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module spanned by the highest weight vector of L . Then we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_{\text{rep}} & \hookrightarrow & X_{\text{rep}}^o \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{P}(W) & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{P}(L) \end{array}$$

where both the vertical maps are by definition closed immersions, and moreover $\mathbb{P}(W) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(L)$ is of course a closed immersion. This proves that $X_{\text{rep}} \hookrightarrow X_{\text{rep}}^o$ is a closed immersion. So, to prove the lemma, we can take $G = \text{SL}_N$:

Fix $\mathfrak{w} \in \widetilde{W}/W$ (where \widetilde{W} is the affine Weyl group corresponding to $G = \text{SL}_N$). By the proof of Lemma (C.11) (following the same notation), the map $\theta_w : Z_w \rightarrow X_{\text{lat}}^o$ is a morphism with its image precisely equal to $X_{\mathfrak{w}}^o$. We denote $X_{\mathfrak{w}}^o$ endowed with the reduced subvariety structure from X_{rep}^o by $X_{\mathfrak{w}, \text{rep}}^o$ (and a similar meaning for $X_{\mathfrak{w}, \text{lat}}^o$). Then the map $\bar{\theta}_w : Z_w \rightarrow X_{\mathfrak{w}, \text{rep}}^o$ (the map $\bar{\theta}_w$ at the level of sets is nothing but θ_w) is a surjective morphism (cf. [Sl, Theorem 2.4]) and moreover $X_{\mathfrak{w}, \text{rep}}^o$ is an irreducible normal variety (cf. [Kul, Theorem 2.16]). We claim that the inclusion map $I_{\mathfrak{w}} : X_{\mathfrak{w}, \text{rep}}^o \rightarrow X_{\text{lat}}^o$ is a morphism:

First of all, by Lemma (C.10), $\text{Im } I_{\mathfrak{w}} \subset \hat{X}_n^o$, for some n . Now the map $\bar{\theta}_w : Z_w \rightarrow X_{\mathfrak{w}, \text{rep}}^o$ being a proper surjective morphism, the (Zariski) topology on $X_{\mathfrak{w}, \text{rep}}^o$ is the quotient topology. Let $\mathcal{U} \subset \hat{X}_n^o$ be an open subset. Then $\theta_w^{-1}(\mathcal{U}) = (\bar{\theta}_w)^{-1} I_{\mathfrak{w}}^{-1}(\mathcal{U})$ is open in Z_w and hence $I_{\mathfrak{w}}^{-1}(\mathcal{U})$ is open in $X_{\mathfrak{w}, \text{rep}}^o$. To prove that $I_{\mathfrak{w}}$ is a morphism,

it suffices to show that for any affine open $\mathcal{U} \subset \hat{X}_n^o$, the map $I_{\mathfrak{w}|_{I_{\mathfrak{w}}^{-1}(\mathcal{U})}} : I_{\mathfrak{w}}^{-1}(\mathcal{U}) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ is a morphism: But this follows from Proposition (4.1), since the map $I_{\mathfrak{w}} \circ \bar{\theta}_w = \theta_w$ is a morphism.

Conversely, fix $n \geq 0$ and take \hat{X}_n^o . Then (for the identity map $I : X_{\text{rep}}^o \rightarrow X_{\text{lat}}^o$) $I^{-1}(\hat{X}_n^o) \subset X_{\text{rep}}^o$ is a Zariski-closed subset and moreover (by Lemma C.10) $I^{-1}(\hat{X}_n^o) \subset X_m^o$ (for some m), in particular, $I^{-1}(\hat{X}_n^o)$ acquires the structure of a projective subvariety of X_{rep}^o . The bijective map $I_n : I^{-1}(\hat{X}_n^o) \rightarrow \hat{X}_{n,\text{lat}}^o$ (where $I_n := I|_{I^{-1}(\hat{X}_n^o)}$) is a morphism (since $X_m^o \subset X_{\mathfrak{w}}^o$, for some $\mathfrak{w} \in \widetilde{W}/W$). Further, the variety $\hat{X}_{n,\text{lat}}^o$ is isomorphic with the variety $Gr(nN, 2nN)^{1+\bar{i}_n}$ (cf. §C.7). But $Gr(nN, 2nN)^{1+\bar{i}_n}$ is known to be irreducible and normal by using a result of Kostant (cf. [Ku₂]). Moreover, I_n being a homeomorphism (since I_n is a proper surjective morphism), $I^{-1}(\hat{X}_n^o)$ is irreducible as well. Hence by [Mum, page 288, I. Original form], I_n is an isomorphism. This shows that the identity map $X_{\text{lat}}^o \rightarrow X_{\text{rep}}^o$ also is a morphism, proving the proposition. \square

So we identify X_{lat} with X_{rep} and just denote them by X . We have the following proposition determining $\text{Pic}(X)$.

(C.13) Proposition. *The map $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X)$ given by*

$$d \mapsto \mathcal{L}(d\chi_o)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. For any $\mathfrak{w} \in \widetilde{W}/W$, since $X_{\mathfrak{w}}$ is a projective variety, by GAGA, the natural map

$$(1) \quad \text{Pic}(X_{\mathfrak{w}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Pic}_{an}(X_{\mathfrak{w}})$$

is an isomorphism, where $\text{Pic}_{an}(X_{\mathfrak{w}})$ is the set of isomorphism classes of analytic line bundles on $X_{\mathfrak{w}}$.

We have the sheaf exact sequence:

$$(2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{an} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{an}^* \rightarrow 0,$$

where \mathcal{O}_{an} (resp. \mathcal{O}_{an}^*) denotes the sheaf of analytic functions (resp. the sheaf of invertible analytic functions) on $X_{\mathfrak{w}}$ (under the analytic topology). Taking the associated long exact cohomology sequence, we get

$$(3) \quad \cdots \rightarrow H^1(X_{\mathfrak{w}}, \mathcal{O}_{an}) \rightarrow H^1(X_{\mathfrak{w}}, \mathcal{O}_{an}^*) \xrightarrow{c_1} H^2(X_{\mathfrak{w}}, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(X_{\mathfrak{w}}, \mathcal{O}_{an}) \rightarrow \cdots,$$

where the map c_1 associates to any line bundle its first Chern class. Now

$$(4) \quad H^i(X_{\mathfrak{w}}, \mathcal{O}) = 0, \quad \text{for all } i > 0,$$

by [Ku₁, Theorem 2.16(3)] (also proved in [M]); and by GAGA

$$(5) \quad H^i(X_{\mathfrak{w}}, \mathcal{O}) \approx H^i(X_{\mathfrak{w}}, \mathcal{O}_{an}),$$

and hence the map c_1 is an isomorphism. But

$$(6) \quad \text{Pic}_{an}(X_{\mathfrak{w}}) \approx H^1(X_{\mathfrak{w}}, \mathcal{O}_{an}^*).$$

Hence, by combining (1) and (3)–(6), we get the isomorphism (again denoted by)

$$(7) \quad c_1 : \text{Pic}(X_{\mathfrak{w}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^2(X_{\mathfrak{w}}, \mathbb{Z}).$$

Further, the following diagram is commutative (whenever $X_{\mathfrak{w}} \subseteq X_{\mathfrak{v}}$) :

$$(D) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Pic}(X_{\mathfrak{v}}) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{c_1} & H^2(X_{\mathfrak{v}}, \mathbb{Z}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Pic}(X_{\mathfrak{w}}) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{c_1} & H^2(X_{\mathfrak{w}}, \mathbb{Z}), \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are the canonical restriction maps. But from the Bruhat decomposition, for any $\mathfrak{w} \geq s_o$, the restriction map

$$(8) \quad H^2(X_{\mathfrak{w}}, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(X_{s_o}, \mathbb{Z})$$

is an isomorphism, where s_o is the (simple) reflection corresponding to the simple coroot α_0^\vee (as in [K, Chapter 7]), and $s_o := s_o \bmod W$. Moreover, X_{s_o} being isomorphic with the complex projective space \mathbb{P}^1 , $H^2(X_{s_o}, \mathbb{Z})$ is a free \mathbb{Z} -module of rank 1, which is generated by the first Chern class of the line bundle $\mathcal{L}(\chi_o)|_{X_{s_o}}$. In particular, $\text{Pic}(X_{\mathfrak{w}})$ is freely generated by $\mathcal{L}(\chi_o)|_{X_{\mathfrak{w}}}$, for any $\mathfrak{w} \geq s_o$.

We next prove that the canonical map $\alpha : \text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow \varprojlim_{\mathfrak{w} \in \widetilde{W}/W} \text{Pic}(X_{\mathfrak{w}})$ is an isomorphism:

Since the line bundles $\mathcal{L}(d\chi_o)$ (for $d \in \mathbb{Z}$) are algebraic line bundles on X , the surjectivity of the map α follows. Now we come to the injectivity of α :

Let $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Ker } \alpha$. Fix a non-zero vector v_o in the fiber of \mathcal{L} over the base point $e \in X$. Then $\mathcal{L}|_{X_{\mathfrak{w}}}$ being a trivial line bundle on each $X_{\mathfrak{w}}$, we can choose a nowhere-vanishing section $s_{\mathfrak{w}}$ of $\mathcal{L}|_{X_{\mathfrak{w}}}$ such that $s_{\mathfrak{w}}(e) = v_o$. We next show that for any $\mathfrak{v} \geq \mathfrak{w}$, $s_{\mathfrak{v}|X_{\mathfrak{w}}} = s_{\mathfrak{w}}$: Clearly $s_{\mathfrak{v}|X_{\mathfrak{w}}} = f s_{\mathfrak{w}}$, for some regular function $f : X_{\mathfrak{w}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$. But $X_{\mathfrak{w}}$ being projective and irreducible, f is constant and in fact $f \equiv 1$ since $s_{\mathfrak{v}}(e) = s_{\mathfrak{w}}(e)$. So the sections $s_{\mathfrak{w}}$ give rise to a nowhere-vanishing (regular) section s of \mathcal{L} on the whole of X such that $s|_{X_{\mathfrak{w}}} = s_{\mathfrak{w}}$. From this it is easy to see that \mathcal{L} is isomorphic with the trivial line bundle on X . This proves that α is injective, thereby completing the proof of the proposition. \square

REFERENCES

- [AM] Atiyah, M.F. and Macdonald, I.G., *Introduction to Commutative Algebra*, Addison-Wesley, 1969.
- [BL] Beauville, A. and Laszlo, Y., *Conformal blocks and generalized theta functions*, Commun. Math. Phys. **164**, 385–419, (1994).

- [BL₂] Beauville, A. and Laszlo, Y., *Un lemme de descente*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris **320**, 335–340, (1995).
- [B] Borel, A., *Linear Algebraic Groups*, W.A. Benjamin, New York, 1969.
- [Bo] Bourbaki, N., *Groupes et Algèbres de Lie*, Chap. 4–6, Masson, Paris, 1981.
- [DN] Drezet, J.-M. and Narasimhan, M.S., *Groupe de Picard des variétés de modules de fibrés semi-stables sur les courbes algébriques*, Invent. Math. **97**, 53–94, (1989).
- [D] Dynkin, E.B., *Semisimple subalgebras of semisimple Lie algebras*, Am. Math. Soc. Transl. (Ser. II) **6**, 111–244, (1957).
- [Fa] Faltings, G., *A proof for the Verlinde formula*, J. Alg. Geom. **3**, 347–374, (1994).
- [F] Fulton, W., *Introduction to Intersection Theory in Algebraic Geometry*, Reg. Conf. Ser. in Math., number 54, AMS, Providence, RI, 1984.
- [G] Grothendieck, A., *Éléments de géométrie algébrique IV (Seconde Partie)*, Publications Math. IHES **24**, (1965).
- [GK] Guruprasad, K. and Kumar, S., *A new geometric invariant associated to the space of flat connections*, Compositio Math. **73**, 199–222, (1990).
- [H₁] Harder, G., *Halbeinfache gruppenschemata über Dedekindsringen*, Invent. Math. **4**, 165–191, (1967).
- [H₂] Harder, G., *Halbeinfache gruppenschemata über vollständigen kurven*, Invent. Math. **6**, 107–149, (1968).
- [Ha] Hartshorne, R., *Algebraic Geometry*, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York, Springer, 1977.
- [Hu] Humphreys, J.E., *Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory*, Graduate Texts in Math., vol. 9, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York, Springer, 1972.
- [Hur] Hurtubise, J.C., *Holomorphic maps of a Riemann surface into a flag manifold*, J. of Diff. Geom. **43**, 99–118, (1996).
- [IM] Iwahori, N. and Matsumoto, H., *On some Bruhat decomposition and the structure of the Hecke rings of p -adic Chevalley groups*, Publ. Math. IHES **25**, 237–280, (1965).
- [K] Kac, V.G., *Infinite Dimensional Lie Algebras*, Third edition, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- [KL] Kazhdan, D. and Lusztig, G., *Schubert varieties and Poincare duality*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. (A.M.S.) **36**, 185–203, (1980).
- [KL₂] Kazhdan, D. and Lusztig, G., *Tensor structures arising from affine Lie algebras*, J. of A.M.S. **6**, 905–947, (1993).
- [Ku₁] Kumar, S., *Demazure character formula in arbitrary Kac–Moody setting*, Invent Math. **89**, 395–423, (1987).
- [Ku₂] Kumar, S., *Normality of certain Springer fibers*, (handwritten notes), 1986.
- [KNR] Kumar, S., Narasimhan, M.S., and Ramanathan, A., *Infinite Grassmannians and moduli spaces of G -bundles*, Math. Annalen **300**, 41–75 (1994).
- [L] Lang, S., *Introduction to Arakelov Theory*, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York, Springer, 1988.
- [Le] Le Potier, J., *Fibrés Vectoriels sur les Courbes Algébriques*, Cours de

- DEA, Université Paris 7, 1991.
- [M] Mathieu, O., *Formules de caractères pour les algèbres de Kac-Moody générales*, Astérisque **159-160**, 1-267, (1988).
 - [Mum] Mumford, D., *The Red Book of Varieties and Schemes*, Springer Lecture Notes in Math., no. 1358, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1988.
 - [NRa] Narasimhan, M.S. and Ramadas, T.R., *Factorisation of generalised theta functions. I*, Invent. Math. **114**, 565-623, (1993).
 - [NR] Narasimhan, M.S. and Ramanan, S., *Moduli of vector bundles on a compact Riemann surface*, Ann. of Math. **89**, 14-51, (1969).
 - [NS] Narasimhan, M.S. and Seshadri, C.S., *Stable and unitary vector bundles on a compact Riemann surface*, Ann. of Math. **82**, 540-567, (1965).
 - [PS] Pressley, A. and Segal, G., *Loop Groups*, Oxford Science Publications, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1986.
 - [Q] Quillen, D., *Determinants of Cauchy-Riemann operators over a Riemann surface*, Funct. Anal. Appl. **19**, 31-34, (1985).
 - [Ra] Raghunathan, M. S., *Principal bundles on affine space*, In: C.P. Ramanujam-A Tribute, T.I.F.R. Studies in Math., no. 8, Oxford University Press, pp. 187-206, 1978.
 - [RR] Ramanan, S. and Ramanathan, A., *Some remarks on the instability flag*, Tohoku Math. J. **36**, 269-291, (1984).
 - [R₁] Ramanathan, A., *Stable principal bundles on a compact Riemann surface-Construction of moduli space*, Thesis, University of Bombay, 1976.
 - [R₂] Ramanathan, A., *Stable principal bundles on a compact Riemann surface*, Math. Ann. **213**, 129-152, (1975).
 - [R₃] Ramanathan, A., *Deformations of principal bundles on the projective line*, Invent. Math. **71**, 165-191, (1983).
 - [Sa] Safarevič, I.R., *On some infinite-dimensional groups. II*, Math. USSR Izvestija **18**, 185-194, (1982).
 - [Se₁] Serre, J.P., *Espaces fibrés algébriques*, In: Anneaux de Chow et applications, Séminaire C. Chevalley, 1958.
 - [Se₂] Serre, J.P., *Cohomologie Galoisienne*, Springer Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 5, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1964.
 - [Sl] Slodowy, P., *On the geometry of Schubert varieties attached to Kac-Moody Lie algebras*, In: Can. Math. Soc. Conf. Proc. on 'Algebraic Geometry' (Vancouver), vol. 6, pp. 405-442, 1984.
 - [TUY] Tsuchiya, A., Ueno, K. and Yamada, Y., *Conformal field theory on universal family of stable curves with gauge symmetries*, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **19**, 459-565, (1989).